



**North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP)  
Leadership Council (LC) & Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) Meeting  
MEETING MATERIALS**

**Friday, October 15, 2021; 10 am – 12:30 pm**

**ZOOM MEETING INSTRUCTIONS**

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83975069784?pwd=emNKUnQ0NVRpZmJobGgzQXZSUGtUUT09>

Meeting ID: 839 7506 9784

Passcode: 324938

One tap mobile

+16699006833,,83975069784#,,,,\*324938# US (San Jose)

+12532158782,,83975069784#,,,,\*324938# US (Tacoma)

Dial by your location

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 929 436 2866 US (New York)

Meeting ID: 839 7506 9784

Passcode: 324938

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83975069784?pwd=emNKUnQ0NVRpZmJobGgzQXZSUGtUUT09>

---

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

*The following items correspond to the NCRP Quarterly Meeting agenda for October 15, 2021 per agenda order and item number. The items below include background information for agenda items that require additional explanation and, in some cases, include recommendations for action. The meeting agenda and other meeting materials can be found on the NCRP website at*

<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/north-coast-resource-partnership-quarterly-meetings/>

---

## VI NCRP STRATEGIC PLANNING REPORT OUT

The NCRP held a Strategic Planning Meeting on September 24, 2021 with Executive Committee members, TPRC Co-Chairs, Staff Team and representatives from Sonoma Water and Humboldt County.

Meeting topics included:

- Role & Responsibilities of the Executive Committee
  - History & Evolution of NCRP
  - FUTURE: Perspectives
  - NCRP Conference & Forest and Wildfire Resilience Task Force Meeting: Sonoma Co, May 2022
- 

## VII JUNIPER TREES AND WATER YIELD

*Information provided by Supervisor Criss. Source Material for background comes from a Project Coordinated by Ore-Cal RC&D for the benefit of the Klamath Watershed.*

Due to various reasons, including the practice of fire suppression, we've seen an expansion of native Western Juniper Trees beyond their ecological historical numbers across sections of the Western United States. This includes portions of the Klamath River Watershed. Conceptually the expansion of Western Juniper Trees has impacted the hydrologic cycle, aquatic habitats, and natural environmental ecosystems.

It's been recognized by the Forest Service, NRCS and other organizations that the expansion of Western Juniper Trees has impacted the watersheds. Juniper Trees consume water. The broad canopies of Juniper Trees intercept rain and snow, allowing much of it to directly evaporate rather than reach the ground. The natural vegetation is impacted, reducing forages. We've seen a reduction in Mule Deer populations in these areas.

In regard to fire resiliency, young Junipers would burn historically if they encroached away from rock outcrops and more into the forest. With their expansion into the forest, they are easily fire susceptible and can serve as a ladder fuel to spread canopy fires. It must be noted, what's being proposed is not the elimination of Native Western Juniper Trees, just reducing their numbers back to historical standards.

Economically, Western Juniper Trees are not a high commercial product. To pay for reducing their numbers purely on revenue created by their products is cost prohibitive. However, when we consider the ecological benefits, hydrological benefits and fire resiliency of our landscapes, there is a benefit for treatment. Also economically, if less water is evaporated due to Juniper Trees or consumed by Juniper Trees, it increases the water quantity for the benefit of fisheries and agriculture, which are highly important to the economies of the North Coast Resource Partnership.

It is hoped by partners pushing this effort, that this is something that fits the goals and objectives of the North Coast Resource Partnership, a common theme of agreement to build upon.

**Requested Actions for consideration by NCRP Leadership Council:** Legislative Support, lobbying, support for Ore-Cal RC&D's efforts, pursue funding, technical assistance.

---

## VIII DROUGHT AND PROPOSITION 1 IRWM FUNDING

### DROUGHT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

California Department of Water Resources (DWR) recently announced two drought relief funding opportunities described below. Eligible applicants may apply directly for funding by submitting an application to DWR. The funding guidelines also provide an opportunity to bundle projects into regional applications. In addition to sharing this information, staff are reaching out and gathering information about water district drought needs and their readiness to apply for these grant opportunities and have been asked whether the NCRP will be submitting a regional application.

#### [Small Community Drought Relief Program](#)

The Department of Water Resources (DWR), in coordination with the State Water Board, is offering \$200 M in funding to support small communities facing water supply challenges due to the current drought. There will be no formal proposal solicitation for this Program. Applications for funding are accepted on a first come first served basis until all the funds are awarded, or until December 29, 2023, whichever comes first. To date, approximately 30% of the funding has been awarded.

Guidelines: [Small-Community-Drought-Relief-Guidelines\\_2021.pdf \(ca.gov\)](#)

#### [Urban and Multibenefit Drought Relief Grant Program](#)

The DWR, is providing \$190 million in grant funding for interim or immediate relief in response to conditions arising from drought across California. DWR may establish a maximum award size depending on the applications received and available funds. A set-aside of at least \$50 million will be made to support Underrepresented Communities and Tribes and IRWM funding area grantees, such as the NCRP may be awarded up to \$5 M to fund eligible drought relief projects benefiting these communities. The drought relief goal is to address immediate impacts on human health and safety and on fish and wildlife resources, and to provide water to persons or communities that lose or are threatened with the loss or contamination of water supplies.

The Draft Guidelines/ Proposal Solicitation were released for a 15-day public comment period in late September. Applications will be accepted following publication of the Final Guidelines/ Proposal Solicitation, anticipated in late October 2021. Applications will be accepted on a rolling basis and evaluated at set intervals, on an expediated schedule. Minimum grants awards are \$2 M, though smaller projects can be bundled into one application to meet the minimum budget amount. No funding match is required though recommended. Applicants may request advanced payment of 25% of the grant award, though grants submitted by IRWM regions such as the NCRP, are authorized to receive up to 50% advanced payment. Draft Guidelines: [2021 Urban and Multibenefit Drought Relief Funding \(ca.gov\)](#)

### [PROPOSITION 1 IRWM FUNDING](#)

The IRWM Implementation Grant Program provides funding for implementation projects that meet the intent of [Proposition 1, Chapter 7](#). Approximately \$403 million in grant funding is being made available for implementation projects with at least \$51 million being made available for projects that provide

benefits to [Disadvantaged Communities](#). The North Coast funding area Proposition 1 IRWM allocation is \$26.5 M and approximately \$22 M is available for implementation projects over two rounds of funding. In April 2020, DWR awarded \$12,720,000 for the first round of NCRP IRWM funding to implement 20 projects leaving approximately \$9,280,000 available for the second round of funding. DWR anticipates releasing the Draft Proposition 1 - Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) in the fall of 2021 and the Final PSP by early 2022 with regional applications due in the spring or fall of 2022.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Given the expedited schedule of the drought funding, direct NCRP staff to work with the TPRC Co-Chairs and the Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee to develop and implement a streamlined approach to evaluating the projects to be included in one or more NCRP regional applications in alignment with the final guidelines. Authorize the Executive Committee to make the final decision about the priority projects to be included in these regional applications should the submittal of the regional application(s) need to be made prior to the next NCRP Quarterly meeting in January 2022.

Direct staff to work with the TPRC Co-Chairs and the Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee to review the Draft Proposition 1 - Round 2 IRWM Implementation Grant PSP and develop draft NCRP solicitation materials anticipated for release in early 2022.

---

## **IX NCRP LEADERSHIP COUNCIL DECISIONS**

During the July 2021 meeting, the NCRP Leadership Council requested that – in the interest of efficiency - staff “bundle” straightforward decisions into one agenda item, with each sub-item and staff recommendation being reviewed individually, and all items being adopted unless there is concern about any sub-item. NCRP staff look forward to hearing additional refinements to this approach.

### **A. NORTH COAST DURABLE COLLABORATIVE MOU**

The North Coast Durable Collaborative (Durable Collaborative) and the NCRP are committed to working more effectively with one another on behalf of the North Coast region and its communities. During the July 2021 NCRP Quarterly meeting the NCRP staff team was authorized to develop a MOU with the North Coast Durable Collaborative. The MOU describes the intent of the NCRP and the Durable Collaborative to actively collaborate on shared messaging, legislative and funding opportunities, and negotiate contracts or grant agreements with individual RCDs and the Durable Collaborative for technical assistance to project sponsors in the NCRP region. A copy of the MOU can be found in Attachment A.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt the MOU between the NCRP and the Durable Collaborative via signatures of the NCRP Co-Chairs.

## **B. UPDATES TO NCRP POLICIES & PROCEDURES HANDBOOK, 2021**

In April 2021, the Leadership Council approved the 2021 version of the NCRP Policies and Procedures Handbook which describes the governance structure, committee and staff roles, mission, principles, goals and objectives, Leadership Council decisions and policies approved during the quarterly meetings. It also lists the Leadership Council & TPRC membership, NCRP projects, and MoMU signatories and other elements of the NCRP that change over time. During the July 2021 NCRP Quarterly Meeting, the Policy Review Panel name was changed to NCRP Leadership Council. Attachment B includes a version of the Handbook that incorporates the name change and recent decisions for review and approval.

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve the NCRP Policies and Procedures Handbook, 2021 for posting to the NCRP website.

## **C. APPROVE GRANT AGREEMENT WITH GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH FOR REGIONAL BIOMASS PLAN**

During the July 2021 meeting, the NCRP Leadership Council approved staff to negotiate a grant agreement with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research to develop a regional biomass plan and detailed sub-regional plans for selected areas of the North Coast. Following is the high-level scope of work for this grant agreement, which will be refined in the coming months, and adaptively updated as more information becomes available.

### **Regionwide and Local Assessments**

1. Feedstock Analysis
  - a. Analyze the expected level and duration of supply of bone-dry tons of woody feedstock from local vegetation management, including landowner vegetation management, agricultural pruning and fuels management, road clearance by public agencies and timber companies.
  - b. Evaluate the ideal geographic scope/relationship between feedstock source areas and processing locations and types (perhaps a range, depending on conditions)
  - c. Assess the existing and potential demand for woody feedstock – locally and regionally.
  - d. Evaluate types of business opportunities that could be supported from the generated material
  - e. Analyze the transportation implications of woody feedstock production and end use – including GHG emissions and cost
2. Legal Analysis
  - a. Evaluate the authorities necessary to support community scale vegetation management
  - b. Evaluate existing authorities that could be re-purposed to support woody biomass utilization as well as new authorities (eg, special district, enterprise zone, EIFDs, PACE or PAYS programs, Resource Conservation Districts, JPA, county land use authority, county departments, tribal departments or governments, community choice aggregation - CCA)

- c. Whether a new or expanded existing authority, assess the type of organizational and legal structure required to coordinate and execute community scale vegetation management, while maximizing efficiency and minimizing risk and liability.
  - d. Determine the level of regulatory authority needed to ensure participation, and whether regulatory authority already exists (eg, code enforcement) and can be integrated with new or existing authority to achieve the goal of sustainable feedstock supply. Identify expected landowner concerns re: regulatory consequences of allowing land access or inspection. Evaluate potential efficacy of voluntary, incentive based programs.
- 3. Economic Analysis
  - a. Evaluate opportunities to integrate forest treatment funding across private and public partners, including insurance companies, private landowners, timber companies, philanthropic organizations, CCAs, USFS, NRCS, CNRA, DOC, ARB, CAL FIRE and Cal Trans. Evaluate opportunities for biomass utilization to pay for vegetation management, and what structures would be required.
  - b. Perform an economic and cost-benefit analysis that assesses implications for fuel load reduction and associated cost savings, local and regional jobs, revenue, ecosystem services (carbon, GHGs, water, health).
  - c. Evaluate duration and nature of subsidies required to ensure long term sustainability of the feedstock supply and use.
  - d. Evaluate opportunities to integrate other federal, state and local fire and forest health objectives and incentives (such as home hardening or vegetation management in the WUI) to increase program uptake by landowners.
- 4. Regional Advisory Committee, Decision Maker and Stakeholder Outreach
  - a. Perform outreach via polls, workshops, focus groups and meetings to determine community and decision maker interest in community scale vegetation management, and identify any concerns or incentives that need to be addressed in the structure of the new or existing authority.
  - b. Form an advisory committee of Tribal, local, regional, state and federal experts to guide the pilot project, provide input on approach and scope of work, and review preliminary work products
- 5. Cross-Boundary Collaboration
  - a. Actively participate with other OPR funded pilots to learn and share findings, align approaches, and evaluate legal structures for most effective approach to sustainable feedstock aggregation
- 6. Sub-regional Detailed Assessments and Plans
  - a. Identify and work with up to three sub-regional areas to work with Tribes, counties or other entities to further refine, localize and test the information and strategies included in the regional biomass plan

Per direction provided by the Leadership Council at the July 2021 meeting, staff will work with the Ad Hoc to gather ongoing input on this regional biomass plan and regular updates will be provided to the full NCRP Leadership Council.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) Authorize the NCRP Co-Chairs to sign a letter of support on behalf of the NCRP Leadership Council supporting the NCRP in working with OPR and other regional partners to develop this plan; and
- 2) authorize the County of Humboldt to enter into a grant agreement with OPR to implement the scope of work outlined above.

**D. PROJECT SUBMITTAL INTO NCRP PLAN: DRY CREEK RANCHERIA SAFE AND RELIABLE WATER**

Funding opportunities for project implementation sometimes require or give preference to projects that are included in an Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. Recently the NCRP was approached by the Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians with a project submittal request for inclusion into the NCRP Plan entitled “Dry Creek Rancheria Safe and Reliable Water Project,” which will provide water to a proposed housing project in southwest Cloverdale serving low-income Dry Creek Tribal elders.

In accordance to the adopted procedure for including a project into the NCRP Plan on an on-going basis, the Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians submitted a signed MoMU and NCRP staff reviewed the preliminary project information materials for eligibility. The TPRC reviewed the materials for alignment with the NCRP Goals and Objectives and technical content. For clarity, the Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians is not requesting funding through the NCRP at this point, only to be listed in the NCRP Plan. Attachment C provides preliminary project information for your review.

**TPRC RECOMMENDATION:**

The TPRC recommends that the NCRP Leadership Council approve the Dry Creek Rancheria Safe and Reliable Water Project for inclusion into the NCRP Plan.

**X PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION: NCRP BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS**

The NCRP has been asked whether there is interest in expanding the regional geographic scope of the North Coast Resource Partnership to include the complete county landscapes of all or some current NCRP counties (eg, Sonoma, Siskiyou and Modoc).

When the NCRP was formed in 2005, it intentionally aligned its boundary with that of the Regional Water Quality Control Board Region 1, which is focused on hydrologic boundaries, including watersheds that drain to the Pacific. This resulted in parts of Sonoma County, Siskiyou County and Modoc county not being included in the NCRP boundary, as their watersheds drain to the Sacramento River or the San Francisco Bay.

As the NCRP has evolved in scope and scale, there has been ongoing discussion of expanding to include full counties, and this issue has been discussed with the California Department of Conservation, the Director of the Governor's Wildfire and Forest Resilience Task Force and other agency partners. There is an interest in revisiting the boundary due to the expanded work on wildfire resiliency, climate adaptation and biodiversity enhancement, all of which are affected by watershed boundaries, yet require consideration of other factors that go beyond watersheds. Additionally, there is an opportunity to better align with boundaries established by the Governor's Wildfire and Forest Resilience Task Force and the Regional Forest and Fire Capacity program that is administered by the Department of Conservation, and perhaps other agency partners. This topic has been explored previously, and the NCRP has received funding for projects beyond its boundaries in the past on a case-by-case basis with approval from the Leadership Council.

Some potential challenges that may require a creative solution or the continuation of case-by-case decision making include:

- Challenge: Requirements of particular funding agencies (eg, DWR or IRWM funding may only be allocated inside the regional boundary established by the current adopted NCRP IRWM Plan and IRWM Regional Acceptance Process)
  - Options for Addressing: a) Require that DWR IRWM funding remain within the footprint of the adopted NCRP IRWM Plan and Regional Acceptance Process; b) consider revising both in future
- Challenge: Parts of Sonoma, Siskiyou and Modoc may fall within other funding area boundaries, allowing for expanded funding opportunities for those areas that are not available to the geographic areas in the current NCRP boundary (eg, SF Bay Restoration Authority, Bay Area IRWM or other IRWM regions, Sierra Nevada Conservancy)
  - Option for Addressing: evaluation process can include extra points for areas that do not have other source of funding, or for IRWM regions, the above approach can be retained
- There may be jurisdictional or representation issues of concern to North Coast Tribes, counties or Leadership Council members.
  - Option for Addressing: per discussion of NCRP Leadership Council and partner agencies

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION, NCRP BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS:**

NCRP staff will gather input from the Leadership Council and others during the October 15, 2021 meeting, discuss opportunities, challenges and preferences with agency partners, and bring a staff recommendation for Leadership Council consideration during the January 2022 NCRP Quarterly Meeting.



## UPDATES

### A. TRIBAL ENGAGEMENT UPDATE

Sherri Norris, NCRP Director of Tribal Engagement will provide a verbal update, time permitting.

### B. REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR & PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION UPDATE

INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT (IRWM) IMPLEMENTATION PROJECTS									
Grant Round	Total Projects	Grant Amount	Amount Invoiced	% Complete	Total Projects Complete at End of Year (Estimated for 2021)				
					2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<i>Prop. 84 Drought (2015)</i>	11	\$8.7 million	\$8.3 million	95%	3	7	7	10	10 <sup>(1)</sup>
<i>Prop. 84 Final (2016)</i>	25	\$11.0 million	\$9.47 <sup>(2)</sup>	86%	1	3	4	17	23 <sup>(3)</sup>
<i>Prop. 1 Round 1</i>	20	12.7 million	\$2.7 million <sup>(4)</sup>	21%	--	--	--	--	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>\$32.7 million</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>

**Overview:** The Humboldt County Regional Administrator Team (Admin Team) continues to collaborate with funders and local project sponsors (LPS) to ensure quality grant deliverables and timely reimbursement payments. Members of the Admin Team are available to discuss suggestions or concerns regarding their work on behalf of the North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP); see contact list below.

**Prop. 84 Drought Round:** This grant agreement ended on June 30, 2020. However, one LPS is working to complete all final closeout documentation. DWR has allowed an unfunded extension of time for the preparation and submittal of the Project Completion Report and final deliverables. Although the proposed project was found to be infeasible, the grant funds did provide an opportunity for the LPS to obtain useful information and they will continue to explore alternative solutions. The grant is expected to be completely closed by November 2021. The Admin Team continues to obtain, review, and submit post-performance monitoring reports from the LPS, which will be ongoing per the agreed upon duration and schedule.

**Prop. 84 Final Round:** One additional project was successfully closed out since the last report and the final retention payment is pending. Staff are in the process of reviewing two additional project completion reports to ensure that project objectives were met and to allow for retention release to the respective LPS. DWR invoice payment turnaround has been roughly 30-45 days. Amendment 9 was

<sup>1</sup> One project encountered insurmountable obstacles that made project completion infeasible

<sup>2</sup> Included a \$1.1 million advanced payment

<sup>3</sup> One of the 25 projects was withdrawn by the LPS for reasons of hardship and another encountered an obstacle that made project completion infeasible

<sup>4</sup> Included a \$1.6 million advanced payment

recently approved to request budget adjustments necessary for the successful completion of the program. The Admin Team has ramped up support for the remaining projects to ensure their success as the end of the grant term quickly approaches.

**Prop. 1 Round 1:** Seven of the 20 Projects have completed the required CEQA documentation, planning and designs and have been approved by DWR to commence construction activities. Notable for this early in a project round, there is one LPS that has completed 90% of their project to date. The first quarterly reporting for the Advanced Payment expenditures was submitted to DWR. Six Projects that received the Advance Payment of grant funds have cumulatively spent ten percent of the \$1.6 million received. The advanced payment of funds greatly reduces budgetary issues for the agencies and NGOs. Several projects are realizing the latent affects that the Covid-19 Public Health Emergency has had on the construction industry; finding that important materials, such as piping and water tanks, are in short supply. The supply shortage may require projects to delay construction activities until next year, still leaving sufficient time to complete the projects before the grant termination date. The first grant agreement amendment was approved by DWR, which included budget adjustment requests from two LPS. The Admin Team continues to provide grant agreement administration support and coordinate with the LPS and DWR to secure approval for construction activities, invoice payments and Advance Payment Accountability Reporting.

PLANNING PROJECTS			
Title and Funding Source	Grant Term	Status	Grant Amount
<i>North Coast Resource Partnership Outreach &amp; Involvement: Tribal Engagement &amp; Economic Opportunity for Disadvantaged Communities (DACTI)</i> Dept. of Water Resources, Proposition 1	April 2017 to April 2022	In progress	\$2.65 million
<i>Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) Program</i> CA Natural Resources Agency, administered by the CA Dept. of Conservation	May 2019 to March 2023	In progress	\$4 million

### **Tribal Engagement & Economic Opportunity for Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)**

Technical assistance for DACs and Tribes is ramping up to help them identify needs and potential solutions and prepare them to take advantage of the next round of support and funding opportunities, including Prop. 1 funding as well as pending Drought funding. However, there is still some concern that even with a year extension, the planning and project development technical assistance provided through this program will not be adequately aligned with Prop. 1 Round 2 implementation funds. A potential Drought funding solicitation by DWR may further delay the roll-out of Round 2. Staff are working with DWR to determine the need and potential for an additional extension. Despite the delay of Round 2 and the challenges encountered, the NCRP project team, including West Coast Watershed (WCW) and the California Environmental Indian Alliance (CEIA), will continue to find innovative ways to adapt and meaningfully engage with the DACs and Tribes around the region in need of support and technical assistance.

### **Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) Block Grant**

The Humboldt Admin Team continues to work toward the goals of the project in close coordination with WCW and CIEA, with County staff providing grant agreement administration and project management support, including the administration of 13 demonstration projects. The initial RFFC budget allocation to the NCRP was \$4 million. The California Department of Conservation (DOC) and the Natural Resources Agency (NRA) continue to provide program guidance to staff as the RFFC program unfolds. A grant

agreement amendment for a one-year extension was recently approved and a third amendment is underway to ensure sufficient funds are budgeted to the successful completion of the north coast regional priority plan (RPP).

The majority of demonstration project work has been completed and most demonstration LPS have turned their attention to development of a RPP section describing project methods, outcomes, and recommendations for application elsewhere in the region. Staff are working closely with LPS to ensure each RPP section is comprehensive and meets the needs and standards of the RPP. Most demonstration project sponsors have also agreed to review specific plan content related to their individual areas of expertise. LPS review is expected to contribute valuable insights and perspectives from practitioners in the field. Where project funds will be used to support this effort, amendments are being developed to extend the term into April thereby providing maximum flexibility for participation in multiple rounds of RPP review over the fall and early spring.

The DOC and the NCRP RFFC staff team are in the process of executing a grant agreement for a second RFFC budget allocation to the NCRP supported by the Early Action Wildfire Resilience budget. DOC allocated \$2.9 million to this agreement and the funds must be spent by March 30, 2025. The Early Action funds are intended to provide support for continued project Identification, evaluation, and documentation; the provision of more technical assistance and subgrants to help partners build the capacity necessary to manage increases in project development and implementation workload; continued outreach and communication about the program; and collaboration and coordination with partners within the region and beyond. This grant agreement will be executed between the County of Humboldt and DOC, unlike the first, which was an agreement with NRA—parent agency to DOC. This more direct relationship with DOC is expected to streamline administration and decrease invoice and agreement processing time.

A third RFFC budget allocation to the NCRP from the 2021-22 State Budget is expected before the end of the year (~\$3.5 million). These funds are intended to provide long-term support for RFFC program implementation over a 7-year period. They are meant to fund a broad range of capacity development, planning, project development, and demonstration project implementation. The NCRP will use these funds for continued collaboration with partners in the north coast region to develop and assemble the strategies necessary to increase wildfire and forest resilience and develop the pipeline of shovel ready projects to achieve them.

CONTACTS		
Name	Contact Information	NCRP Admin Role
Hank Seemann, Deputy-Director	<a href="mailto:hseemann@co.humboldt.ca.us">hseemann@co.humboldt.ca.us</a>	Program Management
Cybelle Immitt, Natural Resources Planning Manager	<a href="mailto:cimmitt@co.humboldt.ca.us">cimmitt@co.humboldt.ca.us</a>	Regional Administration Team Management and Program Oversight
Denise Monday, Senior Environmental Analyst	<a href="mailto:dmonday@co.humboldt.ca.us">dmonday@co.humboldt.ca.us</a>	Lead Admin for Prop. 84 Drought and Prop. 1 Round 1
Lauren Rowan, Environmental Analyst	<a href="mailto:lrowan@co.humboldt.ca.us">lrowan@co.humboldt.ca.us</a>	Lead Admin for Prop. 84 Final Round
Julia Cavalli, Senior Environmental Analyst	<a href="mailto:jcavalli1@co.humboldt.ca.us">jcavalli1@co.humboldt.ca.us</a>	Lead admin for DACTI and RFFC planning grants, including RFFC Demo Projects

### **C. NCRP REGIONAL FOREST AND FIRE CAPACITY PROGRAM UPDATE**

NCRP Leadership Council, TPRC members, staff, agency partners and multiple stakeholders met in Humboldt County July 14-16 for a Tribal field tour and meeting (July 14), a one-day workshop focused on prioritizing investments in the North Coast Region (July 15), and the NCRP Quarterly Meeting (July 16). The three-day meeting was hosted by the Bear River Tribe of Rohnerville Rancheria at their Tish Non Community Center. NCRP Co Vice-Chair and Tribal Council Vice-Chair Edwin Smith and Bear River Chairwoman Josefina Cortez welcomed the attendees, and Bear River Cultural Coordinator Barry Brenard gave a blessing a prayer to open the meeting. Attendees received a tour of and attendees were welcomed by Tribal Chairwoman and received a tour of the future Bear River Cultural Center and renewable energy initiatives of the Tribe. During the July 15 workshop, NCRP staff and partners provided overviews of North Coast issues and opportunities, Tribal stewardship, key elements of the RFFC Program, the Wildfire and Forest Resilience Task Force and other agency and partner priorities. Staff received feedback on the draft RFFC plan elements, including the approach to prioritizing investments via the NCRP Adaptive Prioritization Framework, using regional remote sensing, TEK and other local local expertise, and the NCRP approved project evaluation, ranking and approval process. Since the July 2021 quarterly meeting, NCRP staff and consultants have continued to make progress on the development of the RFFC Regional Priority Plan funded by the Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) Program. The NCRP staff and consultant team has incorporated agency and stakeholder input from this workshop into the draft plan, as well as input and content from technical consultants and various partners.

On October 1, the NCRP RFFC Ad Hoc Committee met with staff to provide input on the draft RFFC Regional Plan outline, the approach to developing a digital document, draft solutions, recommendations and strategic actions, as well as project identification and tracking platforms. NCRP staff are in the process of integrating the Ad Hoc's recommendations into the plan draft.

NCRP is continuing to build a "project pipeline" of wildfire, forest and community resilience projects for the RFFC program, and is moving all projects into a web-based platform that will allow transparent access to North Coast Region projects, including location, project sponsor, project type, status, performance metrics, etc. Over 2000 projects have been identified to date.

At the direction of the NCRP Leadership Council during their last meeting, NCRP staff – with support from multiple Tribal, federal, state and local partners – submitted a \$7 million grant to USGS to fill gaps in lidar in the North Coast Region and other areas in Northern California. Partners committed to over \$3 million in funding to match the request to USGS. If funding is awarded, these data will be critical for supporting regional evaluations of fuel loading, community health and safety, forest health, water quality and supply, habitat protection and enhancement and climate action.

NCRP staff continue to work with CAL FIRE and DOC to design a multiyear "pilot project" to model RFFC and Forest Health Program integration while achieving the objectives of both programs, and will work with the RFFC Ad Hoc Committee on a detailed work plan and implementation approach in the coming months. Per the direction of the NCRP Leadership Council at their July 2021 meeting, NCRP staff are working to bring an agenda item before the Humboldt County's Board of Supervisors to request that Humboldt County – as fiscal sponsor for the NCRP – enter into an agreement with the Humboldt Area

Foundation (HAF) and Wild Rivers Community Foundation (WRCF). This grant will complement and support RFFC efforts to support capacity building, including strengthening the long-term sustainability of fire response programs of area Tribes and very rural fire departments and protection districts.

### **NCRP RFFC TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE UPDATE, Round 1**

Technical Assistance is a hallmark program for the Partnership. The RFFC ad hoc oversaw the development of the first phase RFFC technical assistance program and solicitation process for identifying project sponsors in need of assistance for developing on-the-ground projects to improve forest health and increase fire resiliency. The intent of the first phase was to get a better understanding about the kinds of technical assistance expertise, tools and resources were needed in the region. Late 2020, the NCRP announced a call for technical assistance concept proposals and received 8 proposal requests. Proposals for technical assistance were evaluated based on a selection process developed by the NCRP Forestry Ad Hoc committee. The Ad Hoc committee met on November 6 to review the proposal evaluation and determined that all proposals were to receive some level of technical assistance.

<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, RUTH LAKE HEADWATERS RESTORATION</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> Ruth Lake, Town of Ruth, Mad River area, Humboldt and Trinity counties	
<b>Project size:</b> 3000 acres	<b>Organization Type:</b> Local agency/special district
<b>Project Abstract:</b> Ruth Lake is the primary drinking water source for two-thirds of the residents of Humboldt County, a source of recreation in Trinity County and the primary recreational source in the Ruth/Mad River Area. The Water District will reforest 2200 acres of District and private property that encompasses the headwaters of the Mad River caused by the 2020 August Complex fire. This will prevent further erosion that could harm the RW Matthews Dam and the drinking water supply for many communities. Partners will grow and plant seedlings for 750 acres of USFS land that burned adjacent to District property. The California Conservation Corps will conduct reforestation planting and prescribed burning.	
<b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> The District needs engineering and forestry management technical assistance to determine the best approach to protect our headwaters and forest area around Ruth Lake. Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District requested technical assistance to perform fire recovery around Ruth Lake.	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> BBW	
<b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>CAL FIRE Forest Health Grant application</u> that was recently awarded in the amount of \$5,000,000. This task that involved writing the grant narrative, carbon calculations, budget for reforestation, collaboration with the Six Rivers National Forest, providing technical edits.</li> <li>• <u>Development of a reforestation plan and strategy:</u> This task is in progress and will support the Forest Health Grant implementation and include CEQA and NEPA coordination for site preparation and reforestation work.</li> <li>• <u>Development of a General Forest Management Plan:</u> This task is also in progress. Field reconnaissance has occurred and significant amount of GIS mapping to support the plan development. Map layers include soils, slope classes, adjacent ownerships, aerial photo overlays, habitat typing, burned area mapping, etc. BBW plans to schedule a presentation to the HBMWd Board in November of December to present findings. The FMP will provide management options for the property. BBW staff also conducted an archeological records check, quantified the amount of post fire debris on the Ruth Lake shoreline for FEMA debris clean-up funding.</li> </ul>	

<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">MCKINLEYVILLE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, MCKINLEYVILLE COMMUNITY FOREST</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> McKinleyville area, Humboldt County	
<b>Project size:</b> 556 acres	<b>Organization Type:</b> Local agency/special district
<b>Project Abstract:</b> The Trust for Public Land (TPL) is working with the McKinleyville Community Services District (MCSD) to acquire 556 acres of ecologically important private timberlands adjacent to the disadvantaged community of McKinleyville, and convert it into a Community Forest for public recreation and environmental health. Community forests are an innovative approach to forest management aimed at better integrating social and ecological factors while promoting public access. This project presents an exciting opportunity for MCSD, and also presents a new set of challenges. In order to understand and adequately address these challenges MCSD wants to develop a comprehensive Forest Management Plan for which MCSD is seeking technical assistance.	
<b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> The primary component of this Technical Assistance request will be the development of a Community Forest Management Plan that incorporates public input, environmental health, and revenue development for long term maintenance and sustainability. MCSD is asking for direct project development technical assistance by a team of regional experts including site assessment, mapping and GIS analysis, greenhouse gas calculations, cost estimates, permitting support, project benefits quantification and preliminary project design.	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> BBW	
<b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b> An administrative draft McKinleyville Community Forest Management Plan (FMP) was delivered to the MCSD staff and Community Forest subcommittee. BBW held a Zoom conference call with the MCSD to present the report, which was well received. A finalized draft is expected to be presented to the MCSD full board in October of November. BBW expects to receive some minor edits and additions from the district prior to the full board and public presentation. The FMP describes the forest baseline conditions and options and recommendations for forest management using a community-based approach and will be used by the District as the basis for their community outreach.	
<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">SHASTA VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT, SISKIYOU PRESCRIBED BURN ASSOCIATION PLANNING PROJECT</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> Scott Valley, Shasta Valley, Quartz Valley Rancheria, the Mid-Klamath region, Siskiyou County	
<b>Project size:</b> 20-200 acres	<b>Organization Type:</b> Resource Conservation District
<b>Project Abstract:</b> The Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District (SVRCD) is working with local partners to develop the Siskiyou Prescribed Burn Association (PBA) to engage landowners, tribes, and local organizations and agencies to work together to safely conduct burns in the interest of restoring historic roles of fire, using fire as a management tool, and reducing wildfire hazard to our communities and watersheds throughout the diverse fire-adapted landscapes of Siskiyou County. The PBA is currently in development. SVRCD is planning demonstration burns funded by NCRP RFFC Program in the Siskiyou County Collaborative Prescribed Burn Association and Demonstration Project ("PBA Demonstration Project"). In the process of collaborating with the PBA partners and working with landowners to develop plans to burn their properties, SVRCD has faced some limitations. First, the	

environmental compliance required for the PBA Demonstration Project further limits the timeline of implementing burns.	
<b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> While SVRCD staff has extensive experience in prescribed fire planning and implementation we don't hold the highly specialized qualifications or certification to complete the totality of the environmental compliance and certified burn plans. Additionally, the level of compliance and planning needed, which is beyond the scope we originally anticipated, requires considerable time investment. We are requesting technical assistance to work with landowners in developing burn plans and to solicit experts to assist us in navigating the environmental compliance requirements for implementing prescribed fire including surveys and document preparation to increase capacity and strategic prescribed fire opportunities in Siskiyou County.	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> BBW	
<b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b> BBW staff conducted a site visit with RCD staff to several private properties that represent several forest types in Siskiyou County. The goal is to find the best fit for permitting and environmental compliance to support a suite of projects that include prescribed fire, forest thinning and pruning (shaded fuel breaks), wetland enhancement and oak woodland restoration. A "project description" for a long list of properties is being prepared for a CEQA initial study. BBW initiated a Zoom meeting with RCD staff and Board of Forestry staff to see if a special BOF set aside funding program could be used for environmental analysis to support this effort. Archeological surveys are likely the main technical need for moving projects ahead that are not likely to fit under a CAL FIFE Exemption or CEQA Categorical Exemption. A follow up meeting with next steps is scheduled for week of Sept. 6th. [75% remaining budget]	
<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">SALMONID RESTORATION FEDERATION, WATER STORAGE FOR FIRE SUPPRESSION IN THE SOUTH FORK EEL</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> Briceland area, Humboldt County	
<b>Project size:</b> 3,000 acres	<b>Organization Type:</b> Non-profit organization
<b>Project Abstract:</b> SRF has proposed to construct several off-stream ponds in Redwood Creek that would total 15.3 million gallons of water storage. Two of the ponds would be sited on the Marshall Ranch, adjacent to Redwood Creek, a tributary to the South Fork Eel River. SRF is currently vetting the feasibility of two additional ponds on the Lost Coast Forestland property in the headwaters of Redwood Creek. This flow enhancement project will deliver 50 gallons per minute (gpm) of cool water to Redwood Creek during the five-month dry season to benefit juvenile salmonids, and provide multiple benefits including erosion control, habitat enhancement, and emergency fire suppression water storage.  SRF is proposing to add additional water storage tanks as part of this project for community fire suppression. SRF is requesting technical assistance to design the tank layout and identify other locations for water storage to improve water supply reliability and fire suppression efforts.	
<b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> As our flow enhancement project has evolved, it has become more evident that the fire suppression component of this project is integral and should be expanded. SRF is specifically requesting technical assistance to develop the layout and design of additional fire suppression water storage tanks on the Marshall Ranch project site and potentially for downstream neighbors in Redwood Creek.	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> GHD	
<b>Technical Assistance To be Provided:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Storage Needs Analysis and Sizing Analysis:</u> GHD will work with SRF to determine the triggers that initiated the</li> </ul>	

need for additional potable water storage. This will include an evaluation of project negotiations to date with regulatory agencies, property owners, project funders, and others. Once the triggers and needs are identified, the types of storage material options and sizes will be developed.

- Coordination with BCSD and BVFD: GHD will coordinate with the BCSD and BVFD to determine if synergies exist to enhance regional water supply reliability and fire resilience with the addition of fire suppression storage to the Briceland Community.
- Preliminary Storage Alternatives Evaluation: GHD will develop a preliminary analysis of storage system sizing, water storage tank material, and storage locations. This will be combined with an overview analysis of permitting, costs, and constructability to develop a comparison of alternatives. A conceptual layout of the preferred alternative will be developed, which can be used to pursue design and construction costs.
- Summary Technical Memorandum: GHD shall produce a Summary Technical Memorandum, which includes, at a minimum, the following information:
  - 1) Project Locations
    - a) Map of Existing Service Areas
    - b) Map of Potential Project Locations
  - 2) Background
    - a) Description of Marshall Ranch Project
    - b) Description of Water System needs for Marshall Ranch
    - c) Description of Regulatory Considerations
  - 3) Preliminary Storage Alternatives Evaluation
    - a) Storage Sizing Needs
    - b) Storage Tank Type and Locations
    - c) Permitting and Constructability Review
    - d) Advantages/Disadvantages
    - e) Comparison of Alternatives
  - 4) Conceptual Layout of the Preferred Alternative

**Organization, Project Name:** [SCOTT RIVER WATERSHED COUNCIL, KLAMATH WET MEADOW PLANNING PROJECT](#)

**Location /Area Served:** Scott Valley, Siskiyou County

**Project size:** 1500+ acres

**Organization Type:** Non-profit organization

**Project Abstract:** This project will provide Technical Assistance to the Scott River Watershed Council (SRWC)'s Klamath Wet Meadow Planning Project (KWMPP) to accelerate and enhance the development of shovel ready and readily fundable restoration designs by the production of greenhouse gas calculations, cost estimates, permitting, project benefit quantification, and reporting. The KWMPP will evaluate and plan restoration in a range of degraded mountain meadows and streams encompassing approximately 1,563 acres for the purpose of improving forest and watershed health, salmonid recovery, water quality and supply improvements, intra-regional cooperation, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and economic vitality.

**Technical Assistance Request:** To produce greenhouse gas calculations, cost estimates, project benefit quantification, support permitting towards the development of grant applications to a number of potential funders. These components would greatly enhance the fundability of project designs, leading to faster implementation and having these materials in hand will enhance efforts to achieve project funding and move all project activities forward towards the ultimate goal of implementing restoration designs in important headwater meadows.



<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> BBW	
<b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b> BBW provided technical assistance and conceptual proposals for support of a biochar grant application that was successful. BBW with subconsultant Stillwater Sciences has worked with the Council and the KNF to move forward a mountain meadow restoration project in the East Fork of the Scott River. Wildlife Conservation Board and CD Fish & Wildlife grant applications are in progress. BBW staff coordinating and supporting work done to date with KNF silvicultural, wildlife and hydrology staff. Most of the project area has had NEPA completed. [75% remaining budget]	
<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">UC COOPERATIVE EXTENSION, SONOMA COUNTY, EDUCATIONAL VIDEO SERIES TO INFORM LANDOWNERS ON TOOLS FOR VEGETATION MANAGEMENT</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> Dry Creek, Lake Sonoma, Sonoma County	
<b>Project size:</b> unknown	<b>Organization Type:</b> Non-profit organization
<b>Project Abstract:</b> University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE), Sonoma County, will produce a video series to educate landowners and the public about the importance of fuel management, the various methods available to manage vegetation, and to promote a new web-based prioritization tool. The videos will consist of new footage, stock footage, still images, maps, and graphics. These videos will be shared via social media, available on websites, and used in presentations/events. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote new web-based tool for landowners to identify/prioritize fuel reduction projects</li> <li>• Provide information for landowners and the general public about options for fire fuel management</li> <li>• Motivate landowners to take action on their property</li> <li>• Demonstrate various methods of fuels management, pros/cons of each, and their relative cost</li> </ul>	
<b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> UCCE requests technical assistance to develop informative and instructional videos to update the beta <a href="#">UCCE Wildfire Fuel Mapper</a> .	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> Topo Collective	
<b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b> Topo Collective worked with UCCE Sonoma County and Tukman Geospatial to produce several educational videos for a new web-based tool designed to help landowners prioritize areas for fuel management in Sonoma County. The videos consist of new footage, stock footage, still images, and graphics. The tone for the videos is energetic and informative, using local experts and landowners to help explain various concepts. These videos are expected to be shared via social media, available on websites, and used in presentations/events. The key messages: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote new web-based tool for landowners to identify/prioritize fuel reduction projects</li> <li>• Provide information for landowners and the general public about options for fire fuel management</li> <li>• Motivate landowners to take action on their property</li> <li>• Provide resources for landowners who have used the web-tool, but may be unclear about next steps</li> </ul> The backend of the tool/website is complete (data acquisition, management, analysis, and hosting, etc.), and the team is making some cartographic updates to the reports the website makes available. Updates are expected in September and then the UCCE is planning a “rollout” style campaign to share with the public throughout September which will include outreach to the press, partners, etc. The videos will be featured as part of the rollout, and will be uploaded to the mapper website and UCCE's social media. Edits are currently being made to the videos and will be made public mid-Sept. <u>Current versions of all three videos:</u> <a href="#">Promo</a>   <a href="#">Now What</a>   <a href="#">How to</a>	

<b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">COAST RIDGE COMMUNITY FOREST, UPSCALED FOREST HEALTH PROJECT</a>	
<b>Location /Area Served:</b> Cazadero, Fort Ross area, Sonoma	
<b>Project size:</b> 145 acres	<b>Organization Type:</b> Applying for Non-profit 501c3 status (December 2020)
<p><b>Project Abstract:</b> In the late 1960s and early 1970s, three ranches were subdivided in the hills above Cazadero. Most properties are 40 acres, held individually, two of the three ranches by members of ranch associations. The region, close to the Pacific Coast at Fort Ross, was susceptible to fire due to past logging and its residual slash as well as unusually hot and dry weather. These extreme conditions resulted in the Creighton Ridge fire of 1978 that burned more than 12,000 acres and destroyed 64 homes in the region. In response to the deforestation and loss of cover, work crews planted trees for several years with State of California assistance. The concept put forward by the state was to establish a forest of ponderosa pine (<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>) which would serve as ‘nurse trees’ to allow volunteer Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>) and redwood (<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>) trees to fill in, allowing removal of the pines. Approximately a half million pines were planted. At this time, these trees are about 40 years old and still growing densely and forming a forest. There have been no large and damaging fires in the project area since the Creighton Ridge Fire. Vegetation encroaches on already narrow roads. In several areas’ residents and emergency personnel have only one way in-and-out. Despite ongoing restoration and forest management efforts, fuel load is excessive and the area is at high risk of catastrophic wildfire. Individual landowners lack the resources to manage their properties effectively for fire and extreme weather conditions. Landowners are working together as a group, focusing on community-scale land management issues to mitigate the impacts of the pine plantations and additional fuel loads while creating maximum benefits to the people and ecosystems of the affected watersheds.</p>	
<p><b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> We are seeking technical assistance from NCRP for project development on scaling up the fire prevention project described above to a CAL FIRE Forest Health project focusing on forest health in addition to fire prevention and resilience. Roadside fuels reduction would be increased from 30' edge of road to 100' edge of road allowing for expanded grazing efforts and more potential for prescribed fire. Types of technical assistance that we are unable to provide are site assessment, grant tool assistance, greenhouse gas calculations or associated cost estimates, project benefits quantification, and preliminary design/report. Mapping and GIS analysis will be conducted by the project forester. The project will be implemented through collaboration among landowners and multiple partners including UCCE, CAL FIRE, local RCDs, NRCS, and other public and private partners throughout the region.</p>	
<b>Technical Assistance Provider:</b> Sonoma RCD	
<p><b>Technical Assistance Provided to date:</b></p> <p>Sonoma RCD’s staff forester provided technical assistance to redesign of the prior unfunded CCI proposal. Tasks were divided into six areas of focus: 1) writing required for the upgraded proposal; 2) advice on options for project CEQA compliance; 3) updated project mapping and shapefile support; 4) benefits quantification; 5) preliminary design; and 6) CAL FIRE Fire Prevention Grant application assistance. Contractor also visited project areas, met with landowners, and attended one community meeting with more than 40 people in attendance.</p> <p>Two grant proposals were submitted for funding, one to CAL FIRE, the other to the Sonoma County PG&amp;E Vegetation Management Grant Program. The CAL FIRE project proposed fuel treatment on 501 acres along 26 miles of road in rural Sonoma County, including 9.3 miles of Fort Ross Rd., to provide safe ingress and egress of residents and fire personnel. The Sonoma County grant focused only on Fort Ross Rd., an important county road corridor.</p>	

<p>The CAL FIRE grant was not selected for funding. The Sonoma County grant was funded for \$423,000, half the amount requested. The project was scaled down and the budget revised to fit the grant award. In addition to fuels reduction treatments, the grant also provides for the purchase of a community chipper. Implementation is now in progress.</p> <p>As a result of the outreach conducted during the project design phase, the community has come together in support of the entire project. Landowners for the Fort Ross Rd. project have signed Agreements allowing work to be done on their properties. Others are also submitting online agreements for future work that may be conducted should funding be obtained.</p> <p>CAL FIRE responded positively to the project and appreciated the long-range vision for future planning efforts. They offered their assistance in revising the proposal for submission in the next round of funding.</p>	
<p><b>Organization, Project Name:</b> <a href="#">SAFER WEST COUNTY, FIRE SAFE OCCIDENTAL FIRESHED TOOLBOX PROJECT</a></p>	
<p><b>Location /Area Served:</b> Occidental area, Sonoma County, region-wide</p>	
<p><b>Project size:</b> 25 square mile area</p>	<p><b>Organization Type:</b> Non-profit organization</p>
<p><b>Project Abstract:</b> The NCRP’s experts will create clear detailed maps to identify and prioritize fuel abatement targets in the approximately 25 square miles surrounding the town of the Occidental in Sonoma County, California by augmenting existing maps of the area, including the USDA’s Fireshed Registry mapping tools, to integrate information available and give Safer West County clear, detailed maps, including fire topography, that can be used to prioritize and quantify the work that needs to be done to develop more fire resilient forests. In conjunction with the mapping exercise, a working group will be assembled to define the legal and organizational challenges to developing forestry resilience plans in contiguous parcels in California. With resources available from NCRP, Safer West County, Fire Safe Occidental, Fire Safe Sonoma, legal, and community organizing experts, a template “Community Fireshed Toolbox” and process will be developed that, like the NCRP’s Community Watershed Toolbox, will allow the development of neighborhood scale forest management plans or community forest resilience plans (akin to rural private road agreements).</p>	
<p><b>Technical Assistance Request:</b> This project will: 1) identify the neighborhoods in an approximately 25 square mile area served by Fire Safe Occidental in greatest need of enhanced fire resiliency ; 2) use community-based forestry practices to develop a Toolbox for creating collective forest management or forest resiliency plans for neighborhood parcels (1-250 acres) with contiguous boundaries; 3) implement the Toolbox in two of the identified neighborhoods; and 4) refine the Toolbox so that it can be used in any similar setting.</p>	
<p><b>Technical Assistance was not provided:</b> Discussed with the applicant that the Toolbox project is not a good fit for this funding at this point in time and determined that they were interested in the <a href="#">UCCE Wildfire Fuel Mapper</a> tool and put them in contact with the UCCE team.</p>	

---

## D. NOTABLE LEGISLATION

### State Budget Update- October 2021

California's budget in 2021 enjoyed a record surplus that the Legislature and Governor negotiated throughout the entirety of the 2021 legislative session. The Legislature met the constitutional June 15 deadline by delivering something of a placeholder budget proposal via AB 128. AB 128 was signed by the Governor on June 28, the same day the Legislature passed SB 129 that proposed its second major budget proposal, refining many of the proposals in AB 128. SB 129 provides drought funding and some climate-oriented funding. In early September, the Legislature passed SB 170, the final budget bill to appropriate funding for wildfire, drought, sustainable agriculture, and climate resilience. For some of the funding packages, all or most of the funding is appropriated in fiscal year 2021-22 while for other packages the majority of the funding is proposed for appropriation in fiscal years 2022-23 or 2023-24.

The following reflects the agreed upon spending levels and fiscal years for each of the packages mentioned above. A more detailed breakdown of each of these packages can be found in the accompanying charts.

- **Climate Resilience:**
  - \$369M in FY 21-22
  - Agreement for \$2.09B in FY 22-23 and \$1.23B in FY 23-24
- **Drought and Water Resilience:**
  - \$3.27B in FY 2021-22
  - Agreement for allocations of \$880M in FY 22-23 and \$500M in FY 23-24
- **Wildfire Resilience:**
  - \$988M in FY 2021-22
- **Sustainable Agriculture:**
  - \$684M in 2021-22
  - Agreement for an additional \$417M in FY 2022-23

## BILLS TO WATCH

### *Biodiversity*

[AB 315](#) ([Stone](#) D) **Voluntary stream restoration property owner liability: indemnification.**

**Status & Current Text:** Presented to the Governor for signature or veto: 9/17/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would require a qualifying state agency, as defined, that funds a project to restore fish and wildlife habitats to indemnify and hold harmless a real property owner who voluntarily allows their real property to be used for such a project from civil liability for property damage or personal injury resulting from the project if the project qualifies for a specified exemption and meets specified requirements, including that the liability arises from, and the real property owner or any person or entity retained by the real property owner does not perform, the construction, design specifications, surveying, planning, supervision, testing, or observation of construction related to the project. The bill would authorize a qualifying state agency to indemnify and hold harmless a real property owner who voluntarily allows their real property to be used for that project from civil liability for property damage or personal injury resulting from the project in the case the project does not meet the specified exemption.

[SB 716](#) ([McGuire](#) D) **Land use: habitat restoration and enhancement: mitigation lands.**

**Status & Current Text:** Presented to the Governor for signature or veto: 9/17/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** The Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Act authorizes a project proponent to submit a habitat restoration or enhancement project to the Director of Fish and Wildlife for approval. This bill would extend the operation of the act until January 1, 2027 and would require the Department of Fish and Wildlife to submit a report on the implementation of the act to the Legislature no later than December 31, 2025.

### ***Bonds***

**[AB 1500](#) ([Garcia, Eduardo](#) D) Safe Drinking Water, Wildfire Prevention, Drought Preparation, Flood Protection, Extreme Heat Mitigation, and Workforce Development Bond Act of 2022.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 5/11/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would enact the Safe Drinking Water, Wildfire Prevention, Drought Preparation, Flood Protection, Extreme Heat Mitigation, and Workforce Development Bond Act of 2022, which, if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of \$7,080,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance projects for safe drinking water, wildfire prevention, drought preparation, flood protection, extreme heat mitigation, and workforce development programs.

**Notes:** The climate resilience bonds were held as climate resilience funding went through the budget process.

**[SB 45](#) ([Portantino](#) D) Wildfire Prevention, Safe Drinking Water, Drought Preparation, and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2022.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 4/8/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would enact the Wildfire Prevention, Safe Drinking Water, Drought Preparation, and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2022, which, if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of \$5,595,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance projects for a wildfire prevention, safe drinking water, drought preparation, and flood protection program.

**Notes:** The climate resilience bonds were held as climate resilience funding went through the budget process.

### ***Climate Policy***

**[AB 284](#) ([Rivas, Robert](#) D) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: climate goal: natural and working lands.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 7/14/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would require the State Air Resources Board, as part of the next scoping plan update, in collaboration with the Natural Resources Agency and other relevant state agencies and departments and no later than January 1, 2023, to identify a 2045 climate goal, with interim milestones, for the state's natural and working lands, as defined, and to integrate into the scoping plan update recommendations developed by the Natural Resources Agency and the Department of Food and Agriculture regarding practices, policy and financial incentives, market needs, and potential reductions in barriers that would help achieve the 2045 climate goal, among other recommendations. The bill would require the state board, in collaboration with the Natural Resources Agency and other relevant state agencies and departments, to include this information in each subsequent update to the scoping plan and update that information, as appropriate.

**[AB 1395](#) ([Muratsuchi](#) D) The California Climate Crisis Act.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 9/3/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 requires the State Air Resources Board to prepare and approve a scoping plan for achieving the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and to update the scoping plan at least once every 5 years. This bill, the California Climate Crisis Act, would declare the policy of the state both to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions as soon

as possible, but no later than 2045, and achieve and maintain net negative greenhouse gas emissions thereafter, and to ensure that by 2045, statewide anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are reduced to at least 90% below the 1990 levels.

### ***Public Meetings***

#### **AB 339 (Lee D) Local government: open and public meetings.**

**Status & Current Text:** Presented to the Governor for signature or veto: 9/17/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** The Ralph M. Brown Act requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative body of a local agency, as those terms are defined, be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and participate. Under existing law, a member of the legislative body who attends a meeting where action is taken in violation of this provision, with the intent to deprive the public of information that the member knows the public is entitled to, is guilty of a crime. This bill would require local agencies to conduct meetings subject to the act consistent with applicable state and federal civil rights laws, as specified.

#### **AB 361 (Rivas, Robert D) Open meetings: local agencies: teleconferences.**

**Status & Current Text:** Signed by the Governor: 9/16/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would authorize a local agency to use teleconferencing without complying with the teleconferencing requirements imposed by the Ralph M. Brown Act when a legislative body of a local agency holds a meeting for the purpose of declaring or ratifying a local emergency, during a declared state of emergency or local emergency, as those terms are defined, when state or local health officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing, and during a declared local emergency provided the legislative body determines, by majority vote, that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

### ***Recreation Economy***

#### **SB 285 (McGuire D) California Tourism Recovery Act.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 5/20/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** The California Tourism and Marketing Act, establishes a nonprofit mutual benefit corporation named the California Travel and Tourism Commission under the direction of a board of commissioners composed of 37 members, including the Director of the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development. This bill, the California Tourism Recovery Act, would require the commission to, upon a determination by the State Department of Public Health that it is safe to resume travel in California, implement a strategic media and jobs recovery campaign known as the "Calling All Californians" program for the purpose of reversing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the travel and tourism industry in California, as specified.

### ***Regions***

#### **AB 897 (Mullin D) Office of Planning and Research: regional climate networks: regional climate adaptation action plans.**

**Status & Current Text:** Two-year bill: 7/14/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Current law requires, by July 1, 2017, and every 3 years thereafter, the Natural Resources Agency to update, as prescribed, the state's climate adaptation strategy, known as the Safeguarding California Plan. Current law establishes the Office of Planning and Research in state government in the Governor's office. Current law establishes the Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Program to be administered by the office to coordinate regional and local efforts with state climate adaptation strategies to adapt to the impacts of climate change, as prescribed. This bill would authorize eligible entities, as defined, to establish and participate in a regional climate network, as defined. The bill would require the office, through the program, to encourage the inclusion of eligible entities with land use planning and hazard mitigation planning authority into regional climate networks. The bill would authorize a regional climate network to engage in activities to address climate change, as specified.

**Notes:** The budget created a regional climate resilience program under OPR similar to the program proposed in AB 897.

### ***Tribes***

**[AB 379](#) ([Gallagher R](#)) Wildlife conservation: conservation lands.**

**Status & Current Text:** Presented to the Governor for signature or veto: 9/17/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Current law authorizes the Department of Fish and Wildlife, with the approval of the Wildlife Conservation Board, to enter into agreements with any other department or agency of this state, any local agency, or nonprofit organization, to provide for the construction, management, or maintenance of the facilities authorized by the board, and authorizes such other department or agency of this state, local agency, or nonprofit organization, and each of them to construct, manage, or maintain those facilities pursuant to the agreement. Current law authorizes the board to make grants or loans to nonprofit organizations, local governmental agencies, federal agencies, and state agencies for various purposes in connection with fish and wildlife habitats. This bill would authorize the department to also enter into that type of agreement with a California Native American tribe.

### ***Wildfires***

**[AB 9](#) ([Wood D](#)) Fire safety and prevention: wildfires: fire adapted communities: Office of the State Fire Marshal: community wildfire preparedness and mitigation.**

**Status & Current Text:** Signed by the Governor: 9/23/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would establish in the Department of Conservation the Regional Forest and Fire Capacity Program to support regional leadership to build local and regional capacity and develop, prioritize, and implement strategies and projects that create fire adapted communities and landscapes by improving ecosystem health, community wildfire preparedness, and fire resilience. The bill would require, among other things, the department to, upon an appropriation by the Legislature, provide block grants to regional entities, as defined, to develop regional strategies that develop governance structures, identify wildfire risks, foster collaboration, and prioritize and implement projects within the region to achieve the goals of the program.

**[AB 642](#) ([Friedman D](#)) Wildfires.**

**Status & Current Text:** Signed by the Governor: 9/29/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Current law requires the Director of Forestry and Fire Protection to identify areas of the state as very high fire hazard severity zones, as provided. Current law requires a local agency, within 30 days of receiving a transmittal from the director that identifies very high fire hazard severity zones, to make the information available for public review. This bill would require the director to also identify areas in the state as moderate and high fire hazard severity zones. The bill would modify the factors the director is required to use to classify areas into fire hazard severity zones, as provided. The bill would instead require a local agency, within 30 days of receiving a transmittal from the director that identifies fire hazard severity zones, to make the information available for public review and comment.

**[AB 697](#) ([Chau D](#)) Forest resources: national forest lands: Good Neighbor Authority Fund: ecological restoration and fire resiliency projects.**

**Status & Current Text:** Signed by the Governor: 9/23/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would reorganize the law relating to the State Treasury the Good Neighbor Authority Fund. The bill would require the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency, under an agreement between the state and the federal government, to establish a program for purposes of conducting ecological restoration and fire resiliency projects on national forest lands, with priority given to forest restoration and fuels reduction projects that are

landscape scale and are focused on ecological restoration and to community fire protection and protection of water infrastructure and other infrastructure. The bill would require projects to be based on the best available science and emphasize the use of prescribed fire where appropriate.

**[SB 63](#) ([Stern D](#)) Fire prevention: vegetation management: public education: grants: defensible space: fire hazard severity zones.**

**Status & Current Text:** Signed by the Governor: 9/28/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would, among other things, require the Director of Forestry and Fire Protection to identify areas of the state as moderate and high fire hazard severity. The bill would modify the factors the director is required to use to identify areas into fire hazard severity zones, as provided. The bill would require a local agency to make this information available for public review and comment, as provided. By expanding the responsibility of a local agency, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

**[SB 332](#) ([Dodd D](#)) Civil liability: prescribed burning operations: gross negligence.**

**Status & Current Text:** Presented to the Governor for signature or veto: 9/9/2021 [html](#) [pdf](#)

**Summary:** Would provide that no person shall be liable for any fire suppression or other costs otherwise recoverable for a prescribed burn if specified conditions are met, including, among others, that the burn be for the purpose of wildland fire hazard reduction, ecological maintenance and restoration, cultural burning, silviculture, or agriculture, and that, when required, a certified burn boss review and approve a written prescription for the burn. The bill would provide that any person whose conduct constitutes gross negligence shall not be entitled to immunity from fire suppression or other costs otherwise recoverable, as specified. The bill would define terms for its purposes.



**Wildfire Resilience Expenditure Plan**  
(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Department	Program	Early Action 2020-21	2021-22	Total
Resilient Forests and Landscapes	CAL FIRE	Forest Health Program	\$155	\$160	\$315
		Forest Improvement Program for Small Landowners	\$10	\$40	\$50
		Forest Legacy & Demonstration Forests	\$8	\$19	\$27
		Urban Forestry	\$10	\$20	\$30
		Tribal Engagement	\$1	\$19	\$20
	State Parks, Fish & Wildlife	Stewardship of State-Owned Land	\$30	\$145	\$175
	Conservancies	Project Implementation in High-Risk Regions	\$69	\$139	\$208
Wildfire Fuel Breaks	CAL FIRE	CAL FIRE Unit Fire Prevention Projects	\$10	\$40	\$50
		Fire Prevention Grants	\$123	\$120	\$243
		Prescribed Fire & Hand Crews & Contract Counties	\$15	\$49	\$64
	California Conservation Corps	Fuel Reduction Crews & Residential Centers	\$0	\$27	\$27
	Department of Conservation	Regional Forest Capacity	\$50	\$60	\$110
Community Hardening	CalOES & CAL FIRE	Home Hardening	\$25	\$0	\$25
	CAL FIRE	Defensible Space Inspectors	\$2	\$13	\$15
	CAL FIRE & University of California	Land Use Planning & Public Education Outreach	\$0	\$7	\$7
Science-Based Management	CAL FIRE	California Vegetation Treatment Program	\$3	\$20	\$23
	Natural Resources Agency	LiDAR Remote Sensing	\$0	\$25	\$25
	CAL FIRE	Interagency Forest Data Hub	\$0	\$10	\$10
	CAL FIRE	Prescribed Fire Liability Pilot	\$0	\$20	\$20
	Air Resources Board and Water Board	Prescribed Fire Permitting	\$0	\$4	\$4
Economic Development of the Forest Sector	I Bank	Climate Catalyst Fund	\$16	\$33	\$49
	CAL FIRE	Workforce Training	\$6	\$18	\$24
	Office of Planning & Research	Market Development	\$3	\$0	\$3
Total			\$536	\$988	\$1,524

**Climate Resilience Package**  
(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Department	Program	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	Total
Extreme Heat	California Natural Resources Agency	Urban Greening	\$50	\$100	\$100	\$250
	Department of Community Services and Development	Low Income Weatherization	\$0	\$25	\$25	\$50
	Strategic Growth Council	Community Resilience Centers/Extreme Heat and Community Resilience Program	\$0	\$50	\$150	\$200
	Various	Extreme Heat Set Aside	\$0	\$150	\$150	\$300
Multi-Benefit and Nature Based Solutions	Department of Fish and Wildlife	Climate Change Impacts on Wildlife	\$15	\$35	\$0	\$50
	Department of Water Resources	Habitat Restoration	\$0	\$125	\$75	\$200
	Wildlife Conservation Board	Protect Fish and Wildlife from Changing Conditions	\$31	\$222	\$100	\$353
	Various	Multi-Benefit and Nature Based Solutions Set Aside	\$0	\$593	\$175	\$768
Building Ocean and Coastal Resilience	Ocean Protection Council	Ocean Protection	\$0	\$50	\$50	\$100
	State Coastal Conservancy	Coastal Protection and Adaptation	\$0	\$350	\$150	\$500
	Department of Parks and Recreation	State Parks Sea Level Rise Adaptation Strategy	\$12	\$0	\$0	\$12
Community Resilience	Strategic Growth Council	Transformative Climate Communities	\$115	\$165	\$140	\$420
		Regional Climate Collaboratives	\$10	\$10	\$0	\$20
	Office of Planning and Research	Climate Adaptation & Resilience Planning Grants	\$10	\$10	\$5	\$25
		Vulnerable Communities Platform and CalAdapt Mapping	\$5	\$0	\$0	\$5
		Regional Climate Resilience	\$25	\$125	\$100	\$250
	Various	Conservancy Funding	\$60	\$0	\$0	\$60
	Cal Volunteers	California Climate Action Corps	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$14
	California Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Justice Initiative	\$10	\$10	\$5	\$25
Other	Department of Conservation	Biomass to Hydrogen/Biofuels Pilot	\$0	\$50	\$0	\$50
	Various	Fifth Climate Assessment	\$22	\$0	\$0	\$22
	Air Resources Board	Fluorinated Gas Reduction Incentive Program	\$0	\$15	\$0	\$15
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$369</b>	<b>\$2,090</b>	<b>\$1,230</b>	<b>\$3,689</b>

## Water Resilience Package

(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Department	Program	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	Total
Drinking Water, Water Supply and Reliability, Flood	State Water Resources Control Board	Drinking Water/Wastewater Infrastructure	\$1,300	\$0	\$0	\$1,300
		PFA's Support	\$30	\$50	\$20	\$100
		Water Recycling/Groundwater Cleanup	\$200	\$100	\$100	\$400
	Department of Water Resources	Salton Sea	\$40	\$100	\$80	\$220
		SGMA Implementation	\$180	\$60	\$60	\$300
		Water Conveyance	\$100	\$100	\$0	\$200
		Flood	\$313	\$237	\$88	\$638
		Watershed Climate Studies	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$25
		Aqueduct Solar Panel Pilot Study	\$20	\$0	\$0	\$20
	Department of Food and Agriculture	State Water Efficiency and Enhancement Program	\$50	\$50	\$0	\$100
	Natural Resources Agency	Clear Lake Rehabilitation	\$5.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$6
Immediate Drought Support	Various	Data, Research, and Communications	\$127	\$0	\$0	\$127
	Various	Drought Technical Assistance, Emergency Water Supplies, & Control Section	\$52	\$0	\$0	\$52
	Department of Conservation	Multi-benefit Land Repurposing	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$50
	Department of Water Resources	Small Water Suppliers Drought Relief & Urban Water Management Grants	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$500
	Various	Drought Permitting, Compliance, and Enforcement	\$36	\$3.5	\$3.5	\$43
	Department of Fish and Wildlife	Drought Fisheries and Wildlife Support	\$43	\$0	\$0	\$43
Nature Based Solutions	Natural Resources Agency	Water Resilience Projects	\$165	\$100	\$180	\$445
	Wildlife Conservation Board	Wildlife Corridors/Fish Passage	\$65	\$40	\$0	\$105
		Stream Flow Enhancement Program	\$100	\$150	\$0	\$250
	State Water Resources Control Board	Border Rivers	\$20	\$0	\$0	\$20
	Various	Restoration of Natural Areas and Ecosystems	\$130.1	\$24.4	\$8.9	\$163
	Various	Urban Streams	\$30	\$20	\$0	\$50
Total			\$3,582	\$1,035	\$541	\$5,157



## Sustainable Agriculture

(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Program	2021-22	2022-23	Total
Healthy, Resilient, and Equitable Food Systems	California Farm to School Incubator Grant Program	\$30	\$30	\$60
	Farm to Community Food Hubs Program	\$15	\$0	\$15
	California Nutrition Incentive Program	\$10	\$10	\$20
	Healthy Refrigeration Grant Program	\$10	\$10	\$20
	Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.5
	Urban Agriculture Program	\$12	\$0	\$12
Climate Smart Agriculture for Sustainability and Resiliency	Agricultural Diesel Engine Replacement and Upgrades	\$213	\$150	\$363
	Healthy Soils	\$75	\$85	\$160
	Sustainable California Grown Cannabis Pilot Program	\$9	\$0	\$9
	Livestock Methane Reduction	\$32	\$48	\$80
	Pollinator Habitat Program	\$15	\$15	\$30
	Technical Assistance and Conservation Management Plans	\$17	\$22	\$39
	Transition to Safer, Sustainable Pest Management	\$9.8	\$0	\$10
	Incentives for Alternatives to Agricultural Burning in the San Joaquin Valley	\$180	\$0	\$180
	Research in GHG Reduction	\$5	\$5	\$10
	Invasive Species Council	\$5	\$5	\$10
	Climate Catalyst Fund	\$0	\$25	\$25
Economic Recovery & High-Road Job Growth	Technical Assistance Program for Underserved Farmers	\$5.4	\$5	\$10
	Impact Assessment and Alignment of Regulatory Reporting Requirements for Agriculture	\$4	\$2	\$6
	Fresno-Merced Future of Food Innovation Initiative	\$30	\$0	\$30
	New and Beginning Farmer Training and Farm Manager Apprenticeships Program	\$5	\$5	\$10
	Canine Blood Bank	\$1	\$0	\$1
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$683</b>	<b>\$417</b>	<b>\$1,100</b>

## Cap and Trade Expenditure Plan

(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Department	Program	2021-22
Equity Programs	Air Resources Board	AB 617 - Community Air Protection	\$260
		AB 617 - Local Air District Implementation	\$50
		AB 617 - Technical Assistance to Community Groups	\$10
	Community Services and Development	Low-Income Weatherization Program	\$15
	Water Board	Safe and Affordable Drinking Water (\$130 million total)	\$44
Low Carbon Transportation & ZEV Strategy	Air Resources Board	Clean Trucks, Buses, & Off-Road Freight Equipment	\$315
		Agricultural Diesel Engine Replacement and Upgrades	\$170
		Clean Vehicle Rebate Program	\$100
		Clean Cars 4 All & Transportation Equity Projects	\$150
Natural and Working Lands	CAL FIRE	Healthy & Resilient Forests (SB 901)	\$230
	Department of Food and Agriculture	Healthy Soils	\$25
Other	Cal Recycle	Waste Diversion/Recycling Infrastructure	\$130
	Air Resources Board	Woodstove Replacement	\$5
		Small Off Road Engines	\$30
Total			\$1,534

**Circular Economy Package**  
(Dollars in Millions)

Investment Category	Department	Program	2021-22	2022-23	Total
Organic Waste Infrastructure and Implementation	Cal Recycle	Edible Food Recovery	\$3	\$2	\$5
		Composting Opportunities	\$5	\$0	\$5
		Organic Infrastructure Grants	\$90	\$15	\$105
		Co-Digestion Capacity	\$10	\$10	\$20
		SB 1383 Local Jurisdiction Implementation Grants	\$60	\$0	\$60
Non-Organic Waste	Cal Recycle	Recycling Feasibility Grants	\$2	\$13	\$15
		Recycling Market Development Zone Loan Program	\$25	\$25	\$50
		Quality Incentive Payments for PET Thermoform-Free Beverage Container Loads	\$10	\$0	\$10
Total			\$205	\$65	\$270

## E. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, LEADERSHIP COUNCIL DIRECTION AND STAFF ACTION

### NCRP Executive Committee Strategic Planning Meeting

The NCRP held a Strategic Planning Meeting on September 24, 2021 with Executive Committee members, TPRC Co-Chairs, Staff Team and representatives from Sonoma Water and Humboldt County.

### NCRP Executive Committee Support Letters

- [Recommended Climate Resilience Budget Funding Allocations](#) letter sent to Governor Newsom and Legislative Leaders on behalf of 103 undersigned agencies and organizations, representing a diverse coalition of climate adaptation and resilience practitioners and organizations across the state, August 20, 2021
- [Acquisition of lidar for Northern California – Request for Support & Partnership](#) letter sent to North Coast Assemblymembers and Senators and agency leads, August 23, 2021

### NCRP Executive Committee & Staff Presentations

RFFC grant recipients Lessons Learning webinar, August 11, 2021; NCRP Staff

Overview of NCRP Wildfire Resilience Work, October 5, 2021, ARCCA and Capitol impact, California Legislative Staff Education Institute: NCRP Staff



**ATTACHMENT A**

**NORTH COAST DURABLE COLLABORATIVE MOU**

**NORTH COAST RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT COLLABORATIVE  
AND  
NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP**

**MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING**

THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (“MOU”), dated for reference purposes as September 9, 2021, is entered into by members of the North Coast Resource Conservation District Collaborative and the North Coast Resource Partnership (“NCRP”). Each party to this MOU may be referred to herein as a “Member” or “Party” and collectively as “Members” or “Parties.”

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, the following Resource Conservation Districts (hereinafter referred to as “North Coast RCDs” or “RCDs”) entered into an MOU FOR THE EXERCISE OF JOINT POWERS on July 1, 2019 to become the North Coast Resource Conservation District Collaborative (“Durable Collaborative”): the Del Norte Resource Conservation District, Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District, Lake County Resource Conservation District, Marin Resource Conservation District, Mendocino County Resource Conservation District, Napa County Resource Conservation District, Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District, and Trinity County Resource Conservation District; and

WHEREAS, for the purposes of this MOU, the Durable Collaborative refers to those RCDs whose jurisdictions overlap with the focus geography of the NCRP; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Durable Collaborative is to increase coordination and collaboration among RCDs to collectively address challenges to watershed health, biodiversity, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and the viability of agricultural and forestry operations, as well as to develop and provide consistent and coordinated services across RCDs’ jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, the Durable Collaborative provides member RCDs with local autonomy while empowering the sharing of knowledge, resources, goals, and strategies across RCD jurisdictions to achieve local, regional, and state priorities; and

WHEREAS, the Durable Collaborative enables member RCDs, on a voluntary-basis, to jointly obtain contracts and grants and share services, staff, facilities, equipment, and materials; and

WHEREAS, the NCRP is a coalition of Tribes and counties working together on integrated regional planning and project implementation to enhance working and natural lands, built infrastructure, local economies, and community health across the Tribal lands and counties of Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendocino, Modoc, Siskiyou, Sonoma, and Trinity in the North Coast Region; and

WHEREAS, the primary work of the NCRP is developing regional datasets, assessments, prioritization frameworks, and plans that integrate local knowledge and priorities; sharing and amplifying messages about the importance of the North Coast Region as a critical geography for investment; aligning with, synchronizing, and downscaling state and federal



objectives and priorities with NCRP priorities; leveraging funding that is disseminated to regional entities based on approved NCRP regional plan priorities; and integrating the priorities of NCRP partners and regional stakeholders; and

WHEREAS, the Durable Collaborative and the NCRP are collaborative and complementary entities that share an overlapping focus geography (the “Region”) and have unique and mutually supportive roles in the Region; and

WHEREAS, the Parties share an interest in increasing investment in natural resource management across the Region, focusing on forest management, water security, watershed health, and climate resilience and adaptation; and

WHEREAS, the NCRP relies on key partners and experts such as North Coast RCDs for technical assistance to project proponents and seeks to enhance collaboration on shared messaging, regional advocacy and development of funding; and

WHEREAS, NCRP seeks to negotiate with North Coast RCDs to provide technical assistance in the Region through master service agreements and work orders on an as-needed basis;

WHEREAS, the Durable Collaborative’s work through this MOU is intended to further the Parties’ mutual interests in the Region through shared messaging, regional advocacy, funding development, technical assistance and is not intended to benefit individual RCDs nor limit their ability to independently seek funding and fulfill their individual missions, including seeking funding via NCRP’s competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) processes; and

WHEREAS, this MOU takes into consideration the NCRP’s Policies and Procedures Handbook (2021); and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree the collaboration represented in this MOU shall maximize the combined strengths of the Durable Collaborative and the NCRP in serving the Region and its communities by collaborating in shared messaging, funding development, and the provision of quality technical assistance.

## **AGREEMENT**

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants hereinafter contained, the Parties hereto do hereby agree as follows:

1. **Recitals.** The above recitals are true and correct and hereby incorporated into this MOU.
2. **Purpose of the MOU.** The purpose of this MOU is to enable the Durable Collaborative and NCRP to actively collaborate on shared messaging, legislative, and funding opportunities and technical assistance.
3. **Principles of the MOU.** The MOU upholds the autonomy of the Durable Collaborative and the NCRP while empowering them to collaborate with one another to share knowledge, resources, goals, and strategies in achieving local, regional, and state priorities. The following principles guide the joint efforts of the Durable Collaborative and NCRP:
  - a. An appreciation for local stewardship, knowledge, experience, and needs that supports the value of local autonomy in project development and implementation;
  - b. A shared, open platform that provides an ongoing framework for inclusive, efficient, intraregional cooperation on technical assistance;
  - c. An inclusive approach that creates an avenue for Parties to participate at different levels;
  - d. A coordinated approach to communicating about and advocating for the issues that are important to all Parties in the Region.
4. **Organization and Function.**
  - a. Voluntary Participation in Projects. Each RCD member within the Durable Collaborative who is a signatory to this MOU is committing to work within the structure of the Durable Collaborative in meeting the purpose of this MOU. RCDs will maintain independence from one another until one or more RCDs choose to collaborate on a specific project or effort. Each RCD member within the Durable Collaborative has the power to enter into agreements with the NCRP to support the goals and mission stated in this MOU. The Durable Collaborative will be flexible and allow individual RCDs to determine how best to formalize agreements for individual projects or efforts. Each RCD may continue to utilize its best practices and individual discretion to review projects, develop and approve agreements, and set billing rates for its services. No RCD will commit to or conduct work within the jurisdictional boundaries of another Member without the approval of the other Member(s) operating in the affected area(s).
  - b. Contracts for Services. RCDs may provide technical services to NCRP by entering into master service agreements and work orders on an as-needed basis. The agreement will specify which RCDs are participating, timeline, billing rates, method for billing rate changes, and terms of payment.
  - c. Coordination of Advocacy Efforts. Coordination of advocacy efforts shall occur through staff-level collaboration, with involvement of Boards/Directors as required by each individual RCD's policies and procedures.
  - d. Terms and Conditions of Separate Agreements. Separate agreements entered into pursuant to this Section 4 may contain terms and conditions that include, but may not be limited to, the following: specific procedures for project decision-making, how funds will be received and disbursed, work reports, how information

will be furnished to the participating RCDs, and how information and decisions will be shared and discussed at the participating RCDs' Board of Directors meetings.

5. **Effective Date; Term.** This MOU shall become effective between NCRP and RCD members within the Durable Collaborative on the date when signed by NCRP ("Effective Date"), and shall continue in full force and effect until terminated.
6. **Amendment.** This MOU may only be amended pursuant to a written instrument executed by all Parties hereto.
7. **Parties to MOU.** Each Member certifies that it intends to and does contract with all other Members as Parties to this MOU.
8. **Integration.** The terms and conditions of this MOU, all exhibits attached hereto, and all documents expressly incorporated by reference, represent the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this MOU. This MOU shall supersede any and all prior agreements, oral or written, regarding the subject matter of this MOU.
9. **Periodic Review.** The Parties shall review and consider changes to the terms and conditions of this MOU yearly or as needed from the Effective Date, or as otherwise desired by the Parties.
10. **Governing Law.** This MOU will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California. The Parties will comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws in the exercise of their rights and performance of their obligations under this MOU.
11. **No Third Party Beneficiaries.** This MOU is made solely for the benefit of the Parties hereto, with no intent to benefit any non-signatory third parties.

SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGE(S)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING has been entered into by the Parties on the dates indicated below.

**Del Norte Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Humboldt County Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Marin Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Mendocino County Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Napa County Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Siskiyou Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Trinity County Resource Conservation District**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**North Coast Resource Partnership, Co-Chairs Leaf Hillman & James Gore**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



**ATTACHMENT B**

**NCRP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES HANDBOOK, 2021**



# POLICIES AND PROCEDURES HANDBOOK

2021



## Table of Contents

---

i.	BACKGROUND: NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP HANDBOOK .....	1
ii.	NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP STRUCTURE AND ROLES.....	1
iii.	NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP MISSION, GUIDING PRINCIPLES, GOALS & OBJECTIVES..	4
iv.	NCRP FUNDING AWARDS.....	6

## Appendices

---

<b>Appendix A</b>	NCRP Leadership Council Motions and Direction
<b>Appendix B</b>	NCRP Website Useful Links
<b>Appendix C</b>	NCRP Policies
<b>Appendix D</b>	NCRP Projects
<b>Appendix E</b>	NCRP MoMU signatories
<b>Appendix F</b>	NCIRWMP Memorandum of Mutual Understandings





## **i. BACKGROUND: NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP HANDBOOK**

First developed in 2011, the North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP) Policies and Procedures Handbook documents the history and approved institutional processes and protocols of the NCRP, including the governance structure, decision making process, technical review process, staff and consultant roles, NCRP mission, guiding principles, goals and objectives, project prioritization criteria, and all Leadership Council (LC) decisions and policies approved during NCRP quarterly meetings. The Handbook also lists projects identified and funded by the NCRP. The NCRP Handbook is a “living document” and is reviewed, updated and approved by the LC on an annual or as-needed basis.

## **ii. NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP STRUCTURE AND ROLES**

Started in 2005, the NCRP is an innovative, stakeholder-driven collaboration among Tribes, local government, watershed groups, and interested partners focused on healthy watersheds, safe and healthy communities, and economic vitality for the North Coast Region. The NCRP Region includes all or part of seven North Coast counties (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, and Sonoma) and the homelands of North Coast Tribes. The NCRP is governed by a Leadership Council comprised of Tribal and county representatives from the North Coast region. The LC makes all decisions for the NCRP and is supported by the Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC), an advisory body to the LC that provides scientific and technical expertise. Both committees are described in more detail below. Appendix A lists the NCRP Leadership Council decisions and other policy direction to date. The NCRP structure and the following roles are subject to revision based on the regular review and decision-making process of the Leadership Council. Updates to structures and roles will be reflected in the Handbook as changes are made.

### **Leadership Council**

The NCRP Leadership Council (known as the Policy Review Panel in the NCRP MoMU, which will be updated in the future) consists of two Board of Supervisors' appointees and alternates from each of the seven counties and three Tribal representatives and alternates selected by the North Coast Tribes according to the “Tribal Selection & Representation Process” as posted on the NCRP website with related Tribal nomination and voting documents. The NCRP LC nominates and elects two Co-Chairs (one Tribal and one county) and two Vice-Chairs (one Tribal and one county) on an as-needed basis and each position is brought before the LC for reconsideration and appointment every two years. This group of Tribal and county appointees provides direction and ultimate oversight to the NCRP planning process. Decision-making is usually by consensus, with each member having one vote. When decisions cannot be reached by consensus, the majority opinion prevails. The

NCRP LC is committed to transparency and inclusion, supporting input from stakeholders from throughout the region, as well as information sharing via the website and workshops. All meetings are noticed in advance, open to the public, and all meeting summaries and information are posted on the NCRP website. NCRP meetings and activities are in compliance with the Brown Act as well as the standards of the California Fair Political Practices Commission.

All NCRP LC and their member agencies are required to be signatories to the Memorandum of Mutual Understandings (MoMU) and in accordance with the IRWM Program, are required to formally adopt the NCRP Plan by resolution. A listing of current LC members can be found at <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/partnership/>.

### **Executive Committee**

The NCRP Executive Committee is a standing committee and is comprised of the LC Co-Chairs (one Tribal & one county), Co-Vice-Chairs (one Tribal & one county), and two additional members (one Tribal & one county). The LC reconsiders the members' appointment every two years or on an as-needed basis. The Executive Committee provides day-to-day leadership for the NCRP, providing guidance to staff, reviewing and signing letters of support, representing the NCRP with legislators and key agency partners and making time-sensitive decisions on behalf of the NCRP. Any time sensitive decisions made by the Executive Committee reflect previous LC direction and are consistent with LC approved goals and objectives. Decisions are made by unanimous or majority vote. When majority vote cannot be reached, the decision is brought before the full Leadership Council for consideration. Executive Committee decisions are reported via email or provided as an update to the full LC at their next quarterly NCRP meeting. A listing of current LC Executive Committee members can be found at <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/partnership/>.

### **Technical Peer Review Committee**

The Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) is comprised of two technical & scientific staff (and alternates) appointed by LC members or the Board of Supervisors from each county, as well as Tribal representatives (and alternates) selected by the North Coast Tribes according to the "Tribal Selection & Representation Process" as posted on the NCRP website with related Tribal nomination and voting documents. The TPRC nominates and submits prospective Co-Chair nominees for LC selection and approval on an as-needed or biennial basis. The TPRC provides support to the LC via the evaluation of projects and plan development. Expertise on the TPRC includes – but is not limited to - fisheries, traditional ecological knowledge, ecology, engineering, geology, agriculture, climate change, forest health, watershed planning and management, water infrastructure and energy. A listing of current TPRC members can be found at <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/partnership/>.

### **Ad Hoc Committees**

The NCRP Leadership Council forms Ad Hoc Committees on an as-needed basis to address a short duration issue or topic. These committees are not subject to the Brown Act and are disbanded once the topic has been addressed and outcomes have been reported to the Leadership Council. NCRP Ad Hoc Committees must comprise less than a quorum of the LC and may include members of the LC and TPRC, as well as NCRP core staff and consultants.

## **Matching Funds – Sonoma Water**

Since the inception of the NCRP, substantial matching funds and allocation of staff resources have been provided by the Sonoma County Water Agency (Sonoma Water). Sonoma Water supports the planning, outreach, legislative and funding application elements on behalf of the NCRP, and has contracted with West Coast Watershed to lead these efforts. Sonoma Water assigns staff as part of the core staff and consultant team to advise and coordinate on plan development, funding applications, meeting planning and outreach.

NCRP Staff and Title: Susan Haydon, NCRP Director of Legislation and Policy Development

## **Regional Contract Management - Humboldt County**

In 2005, the LC unanimously approved the County of Humboldt to act as the regional applicant and Regional Manager of grant funds for the NCRP. To date the County of Humboldt has successfully managed over \$85 million in grant funding for over 250 NCRP planning and implementation projects. The Regional Contract Management Team provides QA/QC on all invoices and progress reports submitted by sub-grantees prior to compiling regular grant progress reports and invoices to submit to the granting agency. The County of Humboldt NCRP team maintains auditable files and acts as the liaison between the project sponsors (sub-grantees, sub-contractors) and the granting agency to streamline communications. The County of Humboldt assigns staff to act as part of the core staff and consultant team to advise and coordinate on plan development, funding applications, meeting planning and outreach.

NCRP Staff and Title: Cybelle Immitt, NCRP Director of Administration and Contracting

## **Consultants**

The NCRP relies on an array of consultants to support its planning and implementation efforts - some are long term consultants that play a foundational role in the ongoing functions and processes of the NCRP, while others are short term technical consultants hired for a specific need. Following is a list of the current long-term consultants and a description of their roles and work on behalf of the NCRP.

### ***NCRP Lead Consultant - West Coast Watershed (WCW): LC & TPRC Coordination, Strategic Planning, Funding Applications, Plan Development, Stakeholder Engagement and Outreach, Communications***

West Coast Watershed (WCW) was hired by Sonoma Water in 2004 to support the formation and ongoing functioning of the NCRP. In close collaboration with assigned staff from Humboldt County, Sonoma Water and CIEA, WCW is tasked with planning and convening all NCRP meetings (LC, TPRC, Executive Committee, stakeholder workshops, conferences), maintaining the NCRP website (see Appendix B, NCRP Website Useful Links) and communication tools, creating and updating messaging documents, development of funding applications, development of NCRP data sets, research, assessments and plans, ensuring project identification, prioritization and evaluation, supporting the Executive Committee and LC in legislative and other outreach, supporting the TPRC in project evaluation and ranking. WCW hires and oversees technical consultants focused on spatial analysis and planning, water and wastewater infrastructure enhancement, forest and watershed health, research and technical writing, graphic design, etc.

NCRP Staff and Title: Karen Gaffney, Director of Strategic Planning and Communications

### ***NCRP Tribal Engagement Coordinator - California Indian Environmental Alliance (CIEA): Tribal Outreach and Engagement***

CIEA was recruited and approved by the NCRP Tribal LC members in 2014 to coordinate Tribal representation and engagement in the NCRP, ensuring that Tribal perspectives are included in all NCRP planning documents, messaging and communications, to advocate for state and federal resources for North Coast Tribes to perform outreach to North Coast Tribes to ensure that they are aware of and supported in applying for project funding, providing technical assistance to Tribes, and hiring, overseeing and working with Tribal technical consultants on various work products. CIEA coordinates and facilitates the nomination and voting process for Tribal selection of the LC and TPRC Tribal Representatives and the coordination meetings of selected NCRP Tribal Representatives and regional Tribes. As requested by the NCRP Tribes, CIEA staff represent the NCRP at conferences and meetings at the local, state and federal level. In close collaboration with assigned staff from Humboldt County, Sonoma Water and WCW, CIEA works to ensure effective communication with the NCRP Tribal representatives on meeting agendas, strategic plans and policy decisions of the NCRP.

NCRP Staff and Title: Sherri Norris, Director of Tribal Engagement

### **Memorandum of Mutual Understandings**

The NCRP adheres to the Memorandum of Mutual Understandings (MoMU), signed by over 140 agencies, special districts, Tribes, non-governmental organizations, watershed groups and other stakeholders signifying their support for and participation in the NCRP planning process. A list of MoMU signatories can be found in Appendix E and a copy of the MoMU can be found in Appendix F.

## **iii. NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP MISSION, GUIDING PRINCIPLES, GOALS & OBJECTIVES**

### **NCRP Mission**

Enhance the watersheds and communities of the North Coast region through collaborative, multi-objective planning and project implementation led by Tribes and counties.

### **NCRP Guiding Principles**

All NCRP actions and processes are guided by the following principles:

- Local leadership and governance - Tribal and county direction and decision making
- Transparency in all actions – meetings, decisions, planning and project selection
- Local knowledge and local autonomy – one size does not fit all
- Focus on common ground for the enhancement of all communities and watersheds
- Equity and fairness in all decisions and actions
- Focus on increasing investments and quality of life in economically disadvantaged communities
- Use the best available information, science and data to prioritize investments
- Align with and synchronize local, state, federal, Tribal priorities
- Integrate multiple goals and objectives into all activities
- All planning and capacity building focused on outcomes on the ground
- Attract and leverage funding for the region
- Communicate and amplify the importance of the North Coast Region and the need for investment

## **NCRP Goals and Objectives**

(as approved April 2019)

### **Goal 1: Intraregional Cooperation & Adaptive Management**

- Objective 1 – Respect local autonomy and local knowledge in Plan and project development and implementation
- Objective 2 – Provide an ongoing framework for inclusive, efficient intraregional cooperation and effective, accountable NCRP project implementation
- Objective 3 – Integrate Traditional Ecological Knowledge in collaboration with Tribes to incorporate these practices into North Coast Projects and Plans

### **Goal 2: Economic Vitality**

- Objective 4 – Ensure that economically disadvantaged communities are supported and that project implementation enhances the economic vitality of disadvantaged communities by improving built and natural infrastructure systems and promoting adequate housing
- Objective 5 – Conserve and improve the economic benefits of North Coast Region working landscapes and natural areas

### **Goal 3: Ecosystem Conservation and Enhancement**

- Objective 6 – Conserve, enhance, and restore watersheds and aquatic ecosystems, including functions, habitats, and elements that support biological diversity
- Objective 7 – Enhance salmonid populations by conserving, enhancing, and restoring required habitats and watershed processes

### **Goal 4: Beneficial Uses of Water**

- Objective 8 – Ensure water supply reliability and quality for municipal, domestic, agricultural, Tribal, and recreational uses while minimizing impacts to sensitive resources
- Objective 9 – Improve drinking water quality and water related infrastructure to protect public health, with a focus on economically disadvantaged communities
- Objective 10 – Protect groundwater resources from over-drafting and contamination

### **Goal 5: Climate Adaptation & Energy Independence**

- Objective 11 – Address climate change effects, impacts, and vulnerabilities, including droughts, fires, floods, and sea level rise. Develop adaptation strategies for local and regional sectors to improve air and water quality and promote public health and safety
- Objective 12 – Promote local energy independence, water/ energy use efficiency, GHG emission reduction, carbon sequestration, and jobs creation

### **Goal 6: Public Safety**

- Objective 13 – Improve flood protection, forest and community resiliency to reduce the public safety impacts associated with floods and wildfires

#### **iv. NCRP FUNDING AWARDS**

Proposition 50, NCIRWM Planning Grant	2005	\$500,000
Proposition 50, Implementation Grant, Round 1	2006	\$25,000,000
Proposition 50, Implementation Grant, Round 2	2007	\$2,079,000
Proposition 50 Implementation Supplemental Funding	2010	\$2,176,860
CEC Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant	2010	\$959,117
Proposition 50, DWR directed funding for Water & Wastewater Service Provider Outreach & Support Program	2011	\$500,000
Proposition 84, NCIRWMP Planning Grant	2011	\$1,000,000
Proposition 84, NCIRWMP Implementation Grant, Round 1	2011	\$8,222,000
Proposition 84, NCIRWMP Implementation Grant, Round 2	2013	\$5,386,000
Strategic Growth Council, Sustainable Communities Grant	2014	\$1,000,000
NCRP 2014 Proposition 84, IRWM Drought Project Grant	2014	\$8,700,000
NCRP 2015 Proposition 84, IRWM Project Grant	2015	\$11,047,939
NCRP Proposition 1, Disadvantaged Community Involvement funding	2017	\$2,650,000
California Natural Resource Agency, NCRP Regional Forest and Fire Capacity Program	2019	\$4,037,500
Proposition 1, Round 1 IRWM Implementation Project Grant	2020	\$12,720,000
		<b>\$85,978,416</b>

# Appendix A

NCRP Leadership  
Council Motions and  
Direction

2021

## NCRP Leadership Council Motions and Direction

---

Motion	Made by	Seconded	Vote	Date
Each participating North Coast County Board of Supervisors appoint two Supervisors, or their designees, to the North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel.	Jake Mackenzie	Not Recorded	Unanimous	3.3.05
The North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel be consistent in its movement toward adoption of an IRWM Plan for the North Coast Region and move to develop an implementation proposal that recognizes hydrological sub-regions nested within the North Coast hydrologic region.	Jake Mackenzie	Not Recorded	Unanimous	3.3.05
Jimmy Smith was nominated to continue serving as Chair of the Policy Review Panel.	Not Recorded	Not Recorded	Unanimous	3.3.05
Jake Mackenzie was nominated to continue serving as the Vice Chair of the Policy Review Panel.	Not Recorded	Not Recorded	Unanimous	3.3.05
Adopt three themes for the region: a) salmonid recovery, b) beneficial uses, c) regional autonomy	Hal Wagenet	Jill Geist and Mike Maxwell	Unanimous	4.28.05
TPRC will review projects, meet to define a process, and come back for guidance from the Policy Review Panel. A Policy Review Panel meeting will be set at the end of the TPRC review process.	Mike Maxwell	Mark Lancaster	Unanimous	4.28.05
Authorized Humboldt County to take the lead as the planning grant applicant on behalf of the North Coast Region.	Tom Stokely	Michael Delbar	Unanimous	4.28.05
Accept the top twenty projects listed in the TPRC submittal, with the ability of the PRP to re-prioritize and add \$3 million to bring the total to the \$50 million target.	Jake Mackenzie	David Bradshaw	Unanimous	6.8.05
Fund Siskiyou projects as recommended by the TPRC, representing a total of \$6,882,768, pending determination by DWR and SWRCB if the Scott Water Trust project is eligible for funding under this grant program.	Tom Stokely	Hal Wagenet	Unanimous	6.8.05
Fund: Project 55 - Crescent City, Del Norte at \$1,392,435, Project 153 - Water Supply Reliability, Mendocino at \$553,500 and the balance \$839,435 be allocated to fund Project 89 - Covelo.	Sarah Sampels	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	6.8.05
Approve minor plan modifications, including recalculation of the Disadvantaged Communities data, the incorporation of language about county resolutions of adoption, and minor typographic and aesthetic changes to the Phase I NCIRWM Plan.	Jake Mackenzie	Hal Wagenet	Unanimous	4.13.06



Adopt the memo to project proponents regarding the application process for the Step 2 NCIRWMP (attached to meeting summary).	Jake Mackenzie	Randy Poole	Unanimous	4.13.06
Should <u>not</u> consider the following two scenarios (when developing the NCIRWMP's Step 2 application): a) 50% across the board cut, b) 50% reduction in project load.	Jake Mackenzie	Not Recorded	Unanimous	4.13.06
<p>Accept the Consensus Recommendations (listed below) for Step 2.</p> <p>A. <b>Inclusiveness.</b> Ensure geographic representation in the region, including all seven counties. Where possible (and consistent with B, below) retain all Step 1 high priority projects in the Step 2 regional application, and assist project proponents with readiness.</p> <p>B. <b>Preparedness/Competitiveness Components</b></p> <p>1) Eligibility</p> <p>2) Step 2 criteria, including readiness, schedule, economic analysis, technical analysis, other expected benefits, cost/benefit, per step 2 PSP</p> <p>3) Responsiveness to state priorities: esp water conflicts/water rights TMDLs, 303(d), etc.</p> <p>4) voluntary budget reductions that retain project viability</p> <p>5) Responsiveness of project proponents</p> <p>6) Cease and desist</p> <p>(From my notes, not sure where this belongs though: ensuring regional consistency/integration with the IRWM Plan)</p> <p>C. <b>Process</b></p> <p>a) Project Team compiles all information and puts forward a draft regional application approach that is consistent with the above components b) At its May 11 meeting, TPRC reviews the Project Team's draft application approach (including projects) for its adherence to the above listed components and makes recommended revisions to the project slate and draft application. Develop a contingency funding list.</p> <p>c) At its May 15 meeting, PRP reviews the project slate; approves a final slate, reviews draft application approach, provides direction</p>	Jake Mackenzie	David Bradshaw	Unanimous	4.13.06
Authorized the Chair and Vice Chair to re-allocate funds to bring the slate up to the authorized \$25 million, if certain projects are not able to move forward due to lack of responsiveness/technical merit, or are funded from other sources.	Mark Lancaster	Mike Maxwell	Unanimous	5.15.06
Submit the \$25 million slate of projects decided upon by the PRP for the NCIRWMP Step 2 application to the State.	Bill Hoy	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	5.15.06
Projects that were dropped or received significant budget reductions in this round will receive priority consideration for discussion by the PRP in the next round of funding, pending potential revisions to the State's criteria.	Bill Hoy	Hal Wagenet	Unanimous	5.15.06
Approve thank you letters to the Technical Peer Review Committee.	Barry Shiohita	David Bradshaw	Unanimous	1.26.07

Re-appoint Chair Smith and Vice Chair Mackenzie to their current roles.	Michael Delbar	Barry Shioshita	Unanimous	1.26.07
Send a letter to DWR and SWRCB on behalf of the NCIRWMP effort to request that remaining Prop 50 funds be apportioned to proposals that were ranked above the line during round one (Step 2), in proportion to the order in which they were ranked.	Jake Mackenzie	Mark Lancaster	Unanimous	1.26.07
Form the sub-committee to review and make recommendations regarding potential funding allocation strategies for re-allocation of Step 2 funds for PRP consideration.	Jake Mackenzie	David Bradshaw	Unanimous	1.26.07
Pursue State and National awards programs for the NCIRWMP effort.	Michael Delbar	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	1.26.07
Re-allocate funds back to the county from which the project originated.	Michael Delbar	Jimmy Smith	6 ayes, 4 noes, 1 abstain	3.22.07
Staff should work with ICWMP's in the region to evaluate an application for funding through the NCIRWMP framework and bring a draft proposed process and application to the TPRC and PRP for review.	Jake Mackenzie	Tom Stokely	Unanimous	5.17.07
In the case of an absence of a PRP member, the PRP member may provide a proxy to a representative of the same county. The representative will not be required to provide written proof indicating their proxy.	Paul Kelley	Jill Geist	Unanimous	4.23.08
Authorize the PRP Chair and Vice Chair to decide if they wish to send a letter to the SWRCB requesting that the NCIRWMP Prop 50 implementation contract be extended until 2012.	Paul Kelley	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	4.23.08
Recognize PRP and TPRC alumni with a letters acknowledging and appreciating their service.	Jill Duffy	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	1.9.09
Add an agenda item regarding energy independence federal funding opportunities [this request was placed under the Prop 84 update]. Add a discussion on the addition of Siskiyou's water and wastewater infrastructure needs into the NCIRWMP [this request was included under the Funding Strategies item].	Randy Poole	Not Recorded	Unanimous	1.9.09
Directed staff to send a letter of support for the proposed regional acceptance process guidelines.	Jill Duffy	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	1.9.09
Adopt Option 1 under Expedited Round (planning/technical assistance for infrastructure for disadvantaged communities); Option 1 under Planning Grant (apply for general planning funds); implementation funding as soon as it becomes available; and include the exploration of concepts related to climate, water and energy within the NCIRWMP.	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	1.9.09
Outline a decision-making approach for review by the PRP (via email).	Marcia Armstrong	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	1.9.09
Allow the Chair and Vice Chair and staff to react as promptly as possible in conducting business in support of the NCIRWMP.	Jill Duffy	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	1.9.09

Submit a letter of intent to develop a Regional Sediment Master Plan subject to review and unanimous support of the PRP. Staff will request a timeline extension and also route to the TPRC for review.	Mark Lancaster	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	1.9.09
Develop a website template for ongoing project identification and upload.	Jill Duffy	Jake Mackenzie	Unanimous	1.9.09
Draft a letter of support for the low threat discharge amendment.	Jake Mackenzie	Carre Brown	6 ayes, 2 noes; Jake Mackenzie asked for the motion to be tabled while individual counties place this in front of their respective Boards for consideration. Unanimous.	1.9.09
Develop a draft proposal approach for technical assistance and capacity building to economically disadvantaged communities for small water/wastewater projects in the North Coast for review by the PRP and for potential submittal to DWR for Prop 84 regional funding.	Jake Mackenzie	Carre Brown	Unanimous	6.25.09
Authorize staff to pursue State and Federal energy independence funding opportunities using our NCIRWMP process.	Jake Mackenzie	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	6.25.09
Authorized staff to submit a comment letter (re: CEC's funding program) subject to PRP email review and approval.	Jake Mackenzie	Wendy Reiss	Unanimous	6.25.09
Authorized staff to send out an energy independence white paper for PRP review and finalize for adoption via email. [PRP agrees that the letter and white paper approval includes the setting up of meetings with funding agencies.]	Jake Mackenzie	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	6.25.09
Authorize staff to develop a process to scope out projects in alignment with our energy independence white paper and work with the Sonoma County Water Agency to conduct project assessments, analysis, and evaluate overall costs/benefits to determine the viability of a potential funding proposal for the North Coast Region.	Jake Mackenzie	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	6.25.09
Approve decision-making principles outlined by staff. [Timing: Legislative, funding or other decisions that need to be made within 48 hours may be made by Jimmy, Jake and/or staff - otherwise staff will send an email outlining the issue for the entire PRP to consider. Content: The content of the decision must be neutral/non-controversial and not conflict with any previous PRP decisions, direction, policies, goals and objectives. Reporting: All decisions made by Jimmy, Jake and staff on behalf of the PRP will be reported to the PRP within 24 hours.	Wendy Reiss	Carre Brown	Unanimous	6.25.09
Directed staff to develop draft agendas/budgets for a series of technical workshops throughout the region tailored to each geographic region and including information about the North Coast Energy Independence Program (Spring); and consider, to be followed by a 2-day policy workshop in the fall.	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	2.11.10

Revised agenda as follows: the 1:30 pm item (Biomass) was moved to 11:00 am and the 11:00 am item (Regional Administrator Update) was moved to 1:30 pm.	Paul Kelley	Jill Duffy	Unanimous	6.24.10
Amend the NCIRWMP governance structure and related documents to include 2 Tribal representatives on the PRP and 2 Tribal representatives on the TPRC, with appointees selected by North Coast Tribes. Supervisor Kelley proposed an amendment to the motion to include 3 Tribal representatives on the PRP and 3 representatives on the TPRC, with appointees selected by North Coast Tribes and with the suggestion that the Tribes consider appointing representatives based on geographic coverage (e.g. North/Central/South).	Jake Mackenzie	Jill Duffy	Mackenzie and Duffy approved the amendment to the motion. 6 ayes, 2 noes	6.24.10
Seek planning funds to assist with outreach, project development and the inclusion of Tribal issues within the NCIRWMP and the NCIRWMP's Prop 84 planning grant application to DWR.	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	6 ayes, 2 noes	6.24.10
Pursue additional Prop 84 funding that meets the objectives of the NCIRWMP and report back to the PRP with options.	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	6.24.10
Move forward with alternative two - the formation of a separate energy independence & climate advisory group that will advise the TPRC, PRP and staff as needed.	Jake Mackenzie	John McCowen	Unanimous	6.24.10
Adopt transmission of the Memorandum of Mutual Understandings to the various Boards of Supervisors (in the North Coast Region).	Jake Mackenzie	Judy Morris	Unanimous	11.10.10
Approve the recommended project slate and submit to DWR as an application. Further evaluate the Tule Lake project and determine if there are options for including it in the application if funding becomes available. Amended as follows: prior to contract being signed, each project proponent must have signed the MoMU. Bundle Hopland projects.	Paul Kelley	Jake Mackenzie	All amendments approved by Kelley and Mackenzie. Unanimous	11.10.10
Nominated Jake Mackenzie as Chair and Jimmy Smith as Vice Chair effective January 1.	Paul Kelley	John McCowen	Unanimous	11.10.10
Authorize the Chair/Vice Chair to take any necessary actions to carry out the policy direction of the PRP.	John McCowen	Paul Kelley	Unanimous	11.10.10
Policy Review Panel to form an Executive Committee comprised of the Chair, Vice Chair and Supervisor Judy Morris to make time-sensitive decisions and take action related to funding, legislative input and other IRWM program requirements that are consistent with past PRP direction and in the best interests of the North Coast Region. Such decisions would be relayed to the full PRP after completion. Email header to PRP will indicate time sensitive nature of correspondence.	John McCowen	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	7.21.11
Adopt meeting schedule and staff recommendation with the inclusion of teleconferencing options. [Staff recommends that regular NCIRWMP PRP/TPRC standing meetings be established on a quarterly basis (January, April, July, October), and that these meetings alternate between Humboldt, Mendocino, Trinity and Siskiyou counties. When there are not adequate agenda items to justify holding one of the standing meetings, the meeting may be cancelled by decision of the Chair and Vice Chair. When issues arise that must be addressed	Jimmy Smith	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	7.21.11

prior to a standing meeting, the Chair and Vice Chair may authorize staff to determine a meeting and date that allows for attendance by the majority of the PRP and/or the TPRC.				
Adopt staff recommendations to develop a Strategic Growth Council, Sustainable Communities Planning Grant application.	John McCowen	Judy Morris	Unanimous	7.21.11
Adopt staff recommendation to form an Ad Hoc Committee comprised of staff and PRP/TPRC members who will evaluate the existing approach to project evaluation and ranking and develop a draft approach for consideration at the next PRP meeting. Poll for Ad Hoc Committee by July 31.	John McCowen	Jimmy Smith	Unanimous	7.21.11
Adopt staff recommendation related to climate-energy committee. [Consult on an as-needed basis with experts from throughout and beyond the region on issues related to energy independence and climate change, instead of forming a new committee. Staff proposes that the consideration of the committee be re-evaluated in the future as needed.]	Kendall Smith	Efren Carrillo	Unanimous	7.21.11
Approved general consensus on the NCIRWMP Project Application, Review and Selection Process: General Process description and approach with Supervisor's McCowen's suggestions. [to add: "TPRC members shall review all projects they are assigned unless they recuse themselves because of potential conflict of interest".]	John McCowen	Judy Morris	Unanimous	1.19.12
Approved general consensus to move forward with the NCIRWMP Project Application, Review and Selection Process: Conflict of Interest Policy after Humboldt and Mendocino County Counsels review the proposed policy.	Jimmy Smith	John McCowen	Unanimous	1.19.12
Approved general consensus to adopt the NCIRWMP Project Application, Review and Selection Process: On-going Project Inclusion Process with Supervisor's McCowen's suggested edits. [to provide a more active voice.]	Gerry Hemmingsen	Judy Morris	Unanimous	1.19.12
Approved general consensus to approve recommendations for the NCIRWMP Project Application, Review and Selection Process: Project Budget Under-Runs and Funding Reallocation Process.	Kendall Smith	Judy Morris	Unanimous	1.19.12
Approve resolution honoring Jimmy Smith.	Jake Mackenzie	Judy Morris	Unanimous	7.19.12
Approve the PRP Directed Guidelines for Project Scoring and Selection.	Judy Morris	Marcia Armstrong	Unanimous	7.19.12
Approve the Public Input Guidelines, with suggested revisions. [Materials delivered to staff from the public as a part of public comment be published on the NCIRWMP website. Review by Humboldt County Counsel for Brown Act adherence. Consider re-wording the process to make it more understandable to project proponents.]	John McCowen	Grace Bennett	Unanimous	7.19.12
Approve the Draft NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Project Implementation Round 2 application process.	Jake Mackenzie	Judy Morris	Unanimous	7.19.12

Explore new options for name, logo, branding and bring alternatives and recommendations to the PRP for discussion at their meeting in October.	Judy Morris	Efren Carrillo	Unanimous	7.19.12
Support staff recommendation for Chair, Vice-chair and Executive Committee Election Process	Efren Carrillo	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	10.18.12
Nominate Supervisor Judy Morris as Vice Chair	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	10.18.12
Nominate Supervisor Marcia Armstrong	John McCowen	Grace Bennett	Unanimous	10.18.12
Recommends Sandra Perez and Wayne Haydon as Co-Chairs of the TPRC, as nominated by Mark Lancaster	Jake Mackenzie	Mark Lovelace	Unanimous	10.18.12
Form an ad hoc committee comprised of Tribal PRP and TPRC members, and potentially other PRP and TPRC members up to Brown Act membership limits; extend the Tribal RFP deadline by 3 weeks; integrate tribal priorities outlined in PRP and TPRC Tribal proposal to the degree that it is consistent with the DWR contract.	Judy Morris	Kendall Smith	9 ayes, 3 noes; Chair Mackenzie requested additional members for tribal Ad Hoc Committee. Supervisor Sundberg volunteered, and was approved as the final member of the ad hoc committee.	10.18.12
New name for NCIRWMP is NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP (NCRP)	Kendall Smith	John McCowen	Unanimous	10.18.12
NCRP Conference to be held on October 2, 3, 4, 2012 (Technical Sessions on 2nd)	Jake Mackenzie	Kendall Smith	Unanimous	10.18.12
PRP will not support the addition of population as a criterion in the NCIRWMP Project Application, Review and Selection Process as suggested by Russian River Watershed Association.	Kendall Smith	John McCowen	Unanimous	10.18.12
Accept staff recommendation on Project Application, Review and Selection Process, revised to include Supervisor Armstrong's recommended additions, and revisit potential economic units for further discussion at a future meeting	Judy Morris	Mark Lovelace	Unanimous	10.18.12
To authorize a letter to respond to concerns provided to the team from Kara Heckert and to state the intention to debrief with the TPRC and to continually improve the project review process	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Lovelace	Unanimous	01.17.13
To accept/approve the TPRC recommended NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 2 Implementation Priority Project portfolio	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Bennett	Unanimous (Recusals: Dale Roberts and Javier Silva)	01.17.13
Approve Proposed Process & Criteria for Sub-Contracts to Counties and Tribes	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Hemmingsen	Nays –Armstrong and Bennett Ayes - Chair Mackenzie, Vice-Chair Morris, Hemmingsen, Sundberg, Lovelace, McCowen, Gjerde, Dale Roberts (alternate),	01.17.13

			Leaf Hillman, Javier Silva (alternate)	
Formation of ad-hoc committees: Prop 84 Planning Grant & Strategic Growth Council Planning Grant	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Armstrong	Unanimous	01.17.13
To accept staff recommendation for NCIRWM Plan, Version 3 review and input process.	Chairman Mackenzie		Unanimous	01.17.13
To accept the Planning Ad Hoc Committee recommendation for Representing Diverse Views/Local Autonomy	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Armstrong	Unanimous	07.18.13
Direct staff to compile comments regarding the NCIRWMP Goals and Objectives and provide to PRP and TPRC for additional comments and input	Supervisor Lovelace	Supervisor Bennett	Unanimous	07.18.13
To approve the TPRC and Project Review Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation for process for providing technical assistance: NCIRWMP DAC Water & Wastewater Service Provider Support Program	Leaf Hillman	Supervisor McCowen	Unanimous	07.18.13
If there was a need for a NCRP quarterly meeting in October, that the meeting be held at the NCRP Conference location in Fortuna in the afternoon on October 2.	Supervisor Lovelace	Supervisor Bennett	Unanimous	07.18.13
To approve agenda with change to an error on Page 8 of the meeting materials (change Item # V to Item # XI)	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Lovelace	Unanimous	04.17.14
To approve staff recommendation (see below) with the addition of the following language "and when the North Coast Executive Committee determines that it is necessary". <b>New practice/policy:</b> when time is not a constraint, NCRP staff will agendize plans, processes, and project applications at a PRP/TPRC meeting for formal approval prior to finalization. When this is not feasible due to the challenges outlined under "issues", above, NCRP staff will agendize the item for the next following PRP/TPRC meeting. The finalized plan, process or project application will be discussed and any suggestions for future revisions incorporated.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Carrillo	<b>Opposed</b> - Supervisor Armstrong, Supervisor Bennett <b>Approved</b> - Jake Mackenzie, Javier Silva, Supervisor Hemmingsen, Supervisor Sundberg, Supervisor Lovelace, Supervisor McCowen, Supervisor Gjerde, Supervisor Byrne, and Supervisor Carrillo	04.17.14
1) Disband 2013 Project Review Ad Hoc Committee 2) Form Project Evaluation Ad Hoc Committee to advise staff, TPRC, and make recommendations to PRP on project evaluation and selection criteria. Disband upon completion of this task. 3) Convene a 2014 SGC Planning Ad Hoc Committee to review draft approach and content for SGC grant, provide input into SGC planning sub-grant criteria to counties and tribes, make recommendation to PRP on funding awards for planning sub-grants – disband upon completion of this task 4) Convene a 2014 NCIRWM Planning Ad Hoc Committee to review draft NCIRWM Plan sections and make recommendations to staff, TPRC and PRP. Disband when task is complete.	Supervisor Armstrong	Supervisor McCowen	Unanimous	04.17.14

5) At a future PRP meeting, evaluate whether the PRP would like to establish a standing planning committee to advise and make recommendations on all planning efforts for the NCRP.				
Directing staff to develop suggestions to provide to the legislature on payment processes and enhancements to the process and in conjunction with the Executive Committee, develop a letter for submittal to legislation and assembly regarding streamlined process for payment.	Supervisor Armstrong	Supervisor Gjerde	Unanimous	04.17.14
The PRP directs staff to move forward with a NCRP 2014 Drought Solicitation with a funding cap of \$8.7 million. The draft 2014 NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines and NCRP 2014 Drought Solicitation Project Application was approved with the provision that refinements would be made with input from the Ad Hoc Committee and TPRC. In addition, the PRP approved that the NCRP 2014 Drought Solicitation grant would be considered phase one of two funding phases to ensure regional representation in project selection for the remaining Proposition 84 Implementation funding. During the final 2015 Proposition 84 Implementation Funding round, project selection will take into account the location of the NCRP 2014 Drought Projects, if funded.	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor McCowen	Unanimous	04.17.14
To finalize the NCRP/NCIRWM Plan Goals and Objectives per the meeting materials	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Carrillo	Unanimous	04.17.14
Approve NCRP 06/13/14 agenda	Supervisor Sundberg	Supervisor Lovelace	Unanimous	06.13.14
To approve the Prop 84 Drought slate as recommended by the TPRC and secondly consider augmenting individual applications up to the \$8.7 M limit.	Supervisor Lovelace	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Lovelace withdrew motion. Supervisor Morris rescinded motion.	06.13.14
To approve the Prop 84 Drought slate as recommended by the TPRC	Supervisor Armstrong	Supervisor Bennett	<b>Approved:</b> Chair, Mackenzie, Supervisor Morris, Carol Cook, Supervisor Armstrong, Supervisor Bennett <b>Opposed:</b> Supervisor Hemmingsen, Supervisor Finigan, Supervisor Sundberg, Supervisor Lovelace, Supervisor McCowen, Supervisor Gjerde, Supervisor Carrillo, Mark Lancaster <b>Motion fails.</b>	06.13.14
To fund the following projects and funding amounts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yurok Tribe, Weitchpec Water Station: \$201,770, as recommended</li> </ul>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Gjerde	<b>Public Comment on the motion:</b> Tim Ericson, City of Ukiah recommends the motion.	06.13.14



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>City of Rio Dell, Rio Dell and Scotia CSD Emergency Water Intertie: \$783,000, as recommended</li> <li>Lewiston Park Mutual Water Company, Meter Installation: \$224,604, as recommended</li> <li>Sanctuary Forest Inc., Mattole Flow Program: \$255,200, as recommended</li> <li>Gualala River Watershed Council, the Flow Bank Program: \$594,226, as recommended</li> <li>Westhaven Community Services, Water Loss Reduction Project: \$493,500, adding <u>\$118,000</u></li> <li>Sonoma County Water Agency, Sonoma-Mendocino Immediate Drought Relief: \$1,050,000, adding <u>\$300,000</u></li> <li>City of Crescent City, Elevated Water Tank Rehabilitation: \$438,000 [100% requested], adding <u>\$438,000</u></li> <li>Mendocino County Resource Conservation District, Implementing On-Farm Water Conservation Strategies in the Navarro Watershed: 0%, <i>deducting \$144,171</i></li> <li>City of Ukiah, Ukiah Valley-Redwood Valley Water Supply Reliability Intertie and Well Development Project: \$1,554,450 (\$836,000 – 100% -- for interties), <i>deducting \$1,156,800 from wells</i></li> <li>California Land Stewardship Institute, Agricultural Water Conservation and Water Supply Reliability Program: \$1,970,251, adding <u>\$1,970,251</u></li> <li>City of Fort Bragg, Summers Lane Reservoir Project: \$700,000, adding <u>\$700,000</u></li> </ul>			<p><b>Decision by roll call:</b>  <b>Approved:</b> Chair Mackenzie, Supervisor Morris, Supervisor Hemmingsen, Supervisor Finigan, Supervisor Sundberg, Supervisor Lovelace, Supervisor McCowen, Supervisor Gjerde, Supervisor Carrillo</p> <p><b>Opposed:</b> Carol Cook, Supervisor Armstrong, Supervisor Bennett, Mark Lancaster</p> <p><b>Decision Approved.</b></p>	
Jake Mackenzie and Supervisor Morris are re-nominated and approved as Chair and Vice Chair.	Supervisor McCowen		<b>Unanimous</b>	10.16.14
To nominate and approve Supervisor Bennett as the third member of the Executive Committee	Chair Mackenzie	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.16.14
To nominate and approve Wayne Haydon and Sandra Perez continue as TPRC Co-Chairs.	Chair Mackenzie	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.16.14
Review and Approve Agenda: suggests moving Items IX & X before Item VIII & suggests adjourning the meeting at 2:00 pm to allow PRP and TPRC members to attend the Water Board Coalition conference call meeting.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Sundberg	<b>Unanimous</b>	01.15.15
To accept new language that defines the Executive Committee election process: <i>The NCRP Executive Committee is a Standing Committee, subject to the Brown Act. The Executive Committee is comprised of the Policy Review Panel Chair, Vice-Chair, <del>and</del> a third member, nominated and approved by the Policy Review Panel <u>and a fourth member nominated by the Tribal representatives and approved by the Policy Review Panel.</u> The Policy Review Panel reconsiders the third <u>and fourth member's</u> members' appointment every two years or on an as-needed basis. The Executive Committee provides day-to-day leadership for the NCRP, including signing letters of support, represents the NCRP with legislators and key agency partners and makes time-sensitive decisions on behalf of the NCRP. Any time</i>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Carrillo	<b>Unanimous</b>	01.15.15

<i>sensitive decisions made by the Executive Committee reflect previous Policy Review Panel direction and are consistent with Policy Review Panel approved goals and objectives. <u>Decisions are made by unanimous or majority vote. When majority vote cannot be reached, the decision is brought before the full Policy Review Panel for consideration.</u> Executive Committee decisions are reported via email or provided as an update to the full Policy Review Panel at their next quarterly NCRP meeting.</i>				
Tribal representatives nominated Leaf Hillman of the Karuk Tribe for the Tribal Executive Committee position. Leaf Hillman accepted the nomination. Motion to approve nomination.	Supervisor Lovelace	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	01.15.15
To authorize the Executive Committee to take necessary actions to provide input on behalf of the NCRP as implementing legislation is developed and to direct staff to set up meetings in Sacramento with key legislators and agency staff to deliver these common messages and promote NCRP goals and objectives.	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	01.15.15
To adopt the TPRC recommendation with minor edits as provided to the TPRC Co-chairs and as needed. <b>TPRC Recommendation:</b> approval of the 2015 NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines, NCRP 2015 Project Proposal Scoring Criteria and the NCRP 2015 Project Application materials to allow for the commencement of the NCRP 2015 Project Solicitation and development of a regional NCRP 2015 Grant Application which is expected to be due to DWR in early August. The recommendation includes approval to allow minor changes made by staff with input from the TPRC Co-chairs to the NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines and NCRP 2015 Project Application materials, if necessary, to comply with the final DWR IRWM 2015 Guidelines and 2015 Project Solicitation PSP expected to be released in May.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Morris	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.16.15
To adopt the TPRC recommendation giving preference to projects that effectively address long-term drought preparedness by providing a weighting factor of 2 to the Drought Preparedness scoring criteria, allowing up to 10 out of 75 points to drought preparedness projects.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Morris	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.16.15
To reconfirm the direction to the TPRC to take into account the location of the NCRP 2014 Drought Projects when developing their project portfolio recommendation for the NCRP 2015 Project funding round.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Hemmingsen	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.16.15
To nominate Supervisor Morris as PRP Chair	Supervisor McCowen		<b>Unanimous</b>	04.16.15
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Bennett	<b>Unanimous</b>	07.02.15
To adopt the Executive Committee recommendation of Efren Carrillo for nomination. No other nominations came from the floor.	Supervisor Bennett		<b>Unanimous</b>	07.02.15

To accept the TPRC recommendation for the 2015 NCRP Priority Projects	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	07.02.15
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor Lovelace	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.15.15
To approve the NCRP Handbook with the inclusion of the suggested improvements and edits discussed by the PRP and listed in the meeting summary.	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.15.15
To develop a Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee to direct staff in development of NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Disadvantaged Community outreach and involvement program per the IRWM Guidelines.	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.15.15
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor Bennett	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.21.16
<p>To approve the NCRP Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee recommendations:</p> <p><b>NCRP Comments to draft Proposition 1 IRWM Grant Documents Recommendation.</b> Direct staff to work with the Prop 1 Ad Hoc Committee and Executive Committee to develop comments and input to the draft Proposition 1 IRWM Program Guidelines, Grant Proposal Solicitation Packages, and Request for Proposals.</p> <p><b>NCRP DAC Outreach &amp; Involvement Program Recommendation.</b> Direct staff to work with the Prop 1 Ad Hoc Committee to develop a NCRP DAC Outreach &amp; Involvement Program proposal based on the Request for Proposal and in keeping with the NCRP Goals/Objectives and building on past initiatives. Potential activities and outcomes.</p> <p><b>NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Planning Grant Recommendation.</b> Direct staff to develop a planning grant for review and input by the Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee to ensure that the North Coast IRWM Plan (adopted in 2014) is compliant with the Proposition 1 IRWM Program Guidelines, if necessary.</p>	Supervisor Carrillo	Supervisor Bennett	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.21.16
To approve NCRP Chair Morris' recommendation to switch the July and October meeting locations due to heat and potential for catastrophic fire concerns in July.	Supervisor Gjerde	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.21.16
To approve NCRP Chair Morris' recommendation to change the days of the meeting from the third Thursday to the third Friday of the quarterly month.	Brandi Brown	Supervisor Fisher	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.21.16
<p>To approve language for the NCRP Goals and Objectives:</p> <p><b>Objective 3</b> - Integrate Traditional Ecological Knowledge in collaboration with Tribes to incorporate these practices into North Coast Projects and Plans</p>	Brandi Brown	Supervisor McCowen	<p><b>Aye Votes:</b> Supervisor Morris, Supervisor Carrillo, Supervisor Howard, Supervisor Lovelace, Supervisor McCowen, Supervisor Gjerde, Brandi Brown, Martina Morgan and Supervisor Karl Fisher.</p> <p><b>Abstain:</b> Siskiyou County Supervisor Bennett</p>	1.21.16

Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Carrillo	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.21.16
To adopt NCRP Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposition 1 IRWM DAC Involvement Funding Amount</li> <li>• Program Service Provider RFP Selection Criteria</li> <li>• Finalize NCRP Outreach &amp; Involvement Program Proposal</li> <li>• PRP Support Letter for the NCRP Outreach &amp; Involvement Proposal</li> </ul>	Supervisor McCowen	Grant Davis	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.21.16
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the NCRP PRP Chair: Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Brown	Supervisor Sundberg	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the NCRP Vice PRP Chair: Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the Executive Committee member: Sonoma County Supervisor James Gore	Supervisor Brown	Supervisor Sundberg	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the Executive Committee member: Brandi Brown, Redwood Valley Little River Band of Pomo		Martina Morgan	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the NCRP TPRC Co-Chair: Sandra Perez, Five Counties Salmonid Conservation Program, Trinity County	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Nomination Motion to elect the NCRP TPRC Co-Chair: Dale Roberts, Sonoma County Water Agency	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Motion to accept the NCRP Ad Hoc Committee Review and Membership Recommendation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) PRP review membership of existing ad hoc committees and disband or augment membership as needed.</li> <li>2) To disband the Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee and develop an ad hoc committee to oversee the NCRP Disadvantaged Community and Tribal Involvement Program and to develop a Tribal representative sub-committee to oversee the Tribal Coordinator elements of this program.</li> </ol>	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.17
Motion to form a NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation Funding Solicitation Ad Hoc Committee comprised of PRP & TPRC member volunteers and staff to develop the NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation process, guidelines and solicitation materials for review and consideration by the PRP during the NCRP April meeting.	Supervisor Brown	Supervisor Sundberg	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.19.18
Motion to approve the NCRP Disadvantaged Community Technical Assistance Selection Process with the provision that minor edits to the process may be allowed with oversight from the NCRP Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee and Tribal Proposition 1 Ad Hoc Committee.	Brandi Brown	Edwin Smith	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.19.18

Motion to approve that the NCRP join the Alliance of Regional Collaboratives for Climate Adaptation as a member organization	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Gjerde	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.19.18
Review and Approve Agenda	Brandi Brown	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
Authorize the allocation of up to 6% of the Proposition 1 Implementation funding to the County of Humboldt for grant administration to ensure associated cost recovery.	Supervisor Brown	Brandi Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
Motion to approve the Draft 2018 NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines, NCRP 2018 Project Proposal Scoring Criteria and the NCRP 2018 Project Application materials (see Attachments B, C & D) to allow for the commencement of the NCRP 2018 Project Solicitation and development of a regional NCRP 2018 Grant Application. The recommendation includes approval to allow changes to the NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines and NCRP 2018 Project Application materials to be made by staff with input from the NCRP Proposition 1 Implementation Funding Solicitation Ad Hoc Committee to comply with the Draft and Final PSPs to be released by DWR in the spring and summer of 2018.	Supervisor Brown	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
Motion to establish the budget limit for the Round 1 Proposition 1 IRWM Implementation grant to allow for the maximum funding amount provided in the Draft Round 1 Proposition 1 IRWM PSP up to 60% of the total amount available to the North Coast funding area for IRWM Implementation funding; allowing 40% to be held for Round 2.	Supervisor Brown	Supervisor Howard	<b>Yes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chair: Supervisor Morris</li> <li>Vice-Chair: Leaf Hillman</li> <li>Alternate: Buzz Ward</li> <li>Supervisor Chris Howard</li> <li>Supervisor Ryan Sundberg</li> <li>Supervisor Carre Brown</li> <li>Supervisor Ray Haupt</li> <li>Supervisor Brandon Criss</li> <li>Alternate: Dale Roberts</li> </ul> <b>Oppose:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Brandi Brown</li> <li>Supervisor Mike Wilson</li> </ul> <b>Motion Passed</b>	4.20.18
Motion to establish the preferred timing of the for PRP Final Priority Project Approval and Regional Application submittal: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>January 18, 2018: PRP consider/approve TPRC recommended suite of Priority North Coast Projects for NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation; March/ April 2019: regional application due to DWR for the NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation Project Grant. This option allows project proponents 5 months to prepare project applications for submittal to NCRP; 5 weeks for TPRC review (given the holidays).</li> </ul>	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Sundberg	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18

The ad hoc committee was directed that the PRP project scoring guidelines should include screening criteria that will confer additional weight to projects that, in addition to meeting other NCRP criteria, will benefit North Coast disadvantaged communities and will provide additional weight for projects that benefit severely disadvantaged communities.	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Criss	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
The PRP directed the ad hoc committee to provide additional weight for projects that assist water infrastructure systems adapt to climate change.	Brandi Brown	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
The PRP directed the ad hoc committee to provide scoring criteria for projects that promote any or all the Program Preferences including Tribal additions for a total of 10 Program Preferences for a maximum amount of 7 points.	Supervisor Wilson	Brandi Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
<p>PRP approval of the NCRP Plan &amp; Storm Water Resource Plan Integration Process which will be included in the updated NCRP Plan and in a new section of the NCRP Handbook titled NCRP Policies.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The SWRP plan will include a summary of the NCRP IRWM Plan under the Existing Plans section.</li> <li>2. The SWRP Plan, Implementation Strategy and Scheduling of Projects section will include a discussion on how the SWRP will be incorporated into the NCRP IRWM Plan per the following steps. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Public Draft SWRP will be provided to the NCRP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) for review to ensure alignment with the NCRP IRWMP Goals and Objectives and for technical comment. The comment period will be 21 calendar days.</li> <li>• The Public Draft SWRP will be presented to the NCRP Policy Review Panel (PRP) at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting for review and comment. If timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meetings does not align with the SWRP finalization, the SWRP may be submitted to the PRP via email for review and comment.</li> <li>• Any TPRC or PRP commentary will be considered and addressed prior to finalizing the SWRP with a “response to comments” memo.</li> <li>• At a NCRP Quarterly Meeting, a copy of the Final SWRP and “response to comments” memo will be presented to the NCRP PRP for the final decision vote. If timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meetings does not align with the SWRP finalization, the SWRP may be submitted to the PRP via email for consideration at the next NCRP Quarterly Meeting.</li> <li>• SWRP project proponents seeking funding that requires project inclusion into an IRWM Plan will follow the steps outlined in the On-Going Project Inclusion Process into the NCRP IRWM Plan found in the NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines (see Attachment B).</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.20.18
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.19.18

<p>Approve staff recommendation: Through April 2019, authorize NCRP staff to work with the Chair, Vice-Chair and Executive Committee to pursue funding and provide legislative input that furthers the goals of the NCRP. The following assumptions apply:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NCRP staff would only pursue funding opportunities that align with PRP approved Goals and Objectives</li> <li>2. Prior to proceeding on any funding or legislative opportunity, NCRP staff would receive approval from the Chair and Vice Chair at a minimum, and would request approval from the full Executive Committee</li> <li>3. NCRP staff would send draft funding requests or legislative input to the full PRP and TPRC for comment</li> <li>4. A decision to formally submit a grant application would be voted on by the full PRP</li> <li>5. Any funding request would honor the PRP approved approach to local autonomy – allowing Tribes or counties to opt out of any element of the funding request in which they do not wish to participate.</li> <li>6. Funding requests or legislative input will predominantly focus on project implementation, but may also include stakeholder outreach and coordination, technical support for project proponents, data, analysis and planning.</li> <li>7. At the April 2019 meeting, this authorization would be revisited by the PRP</li> </ol> <p>Motion amended to stipulate this approach is only suitable if the funding deadline is sooner than the next scheduled meeting of the PRP</p>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.19.18
<p>Approve the staff recommendation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Committee are approved to review a letter regarding the Russian River Pilot from the NCRP Tribal leadership, as well as to consider developing and submitting any additional letters or communication with DWR and California Forward regarding the Russian River Pilot. Note: the NCRP Executive Committee includes two members who reside in the Russian River watershed, and two Tribal members, all of whom are familiar with the Russian River Pilot process to date.</li> <li>• As per past PRP Policy, any letters submitted on this matter will be shared with the full PRP</li> <li>• The Executive Committee and staff will bring a draft policy regarding NCRP interaction with external plans to the full PRP for discussion and consideration during the next NCRP meeting in January</li> </ul> <p>Motion amended to incorporate Supervisor Hopkins' concerns about non-tribal DACs in the lower Russian River</p>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Hopkins	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.19.18

Reconfirm 04/18 motion: NCRP Proposition 1 Round 1 Implementation Funding Solicitation Process with slight scheduling updates	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.19.18
Chair Morris announced that Edwin Smith, Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria had stepped down from his role as PRP member. Chair Morris stated that he has been a PRP member since 2014 and he will be greatly missed. She read the NCRP resolution of appreciation and moved for the PRP to accept it and have the Tribal representatives present it to him.	Supervisor McCowen	Leaf Hillman	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
Chair Morris also described that Devin Theobald has been working on the Humboldt County Contract Admin team for a number of years and has decided to change his role at the County of Humboldt. Humboldt County Supervisor Wilson presented him with an appreciation letter and moved for the PRP to accept it. Devin described that his work on the NCRP contract administration team and visiting the North Coast region has been remarkable.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP nominations and elections: PRP CHAIR: Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Morris	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP nominations and elections: PRP VICE-CHAIR: Leaf Hillman	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP nominations and elections: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Supervisor Gore & Brandi Brown	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP nominations and elections: TPRC CO-CHAIRS: Sandra Perez & Dale Roberts	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP nominations and elections: NCRP JIMMY SMITH LEADERSHIP AWARD to Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
NCRP forms Ad Hoc Committees on an as needed basis to address short duration issues or topics. The PRP reviewed and approved the ad hoc committees	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
Motion: 1. The NCRP PRP will review and consider approving the NCRP Handbook on an annual basis. 2. The PRP approved the updated NCRP Goals and Objectives listed in the meeting materials/summary and directed that they be included in the updated Handbook, website and updated NCRP Plan.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19



3.	The PRP directed staff to send the draft NCRP Policy materials out to the leadership team soon after the quarterly meeting for review. The Handbook will be compiled and presented to the PRP during the July meeting for consideration.				
<p>NCRP Staff Recommendation:</p> <p><b>i. Funding Acceptance</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On behalf of the NCRP, accept the California Natural Resources Agency Regional Forest and Fire Capacity Program Block Grant in the amount of \$4,037,500</li> <li>Authorize Humboldt County to enter into a grant agreement in the amount of \$4,037,500 on behalf of the NCRP with California Natural Resource Agency and/or California Department of Conservation</li> </ul> <p><b>ii. Ad Hoc Committee Formation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Form a Forest Resiliency Block Grant Ad Hoc Committee comprised of NCRP PRP and TPRC members to advise on the implementation of the CNRA block grant</li> </ul> <p><b>iii. PRP Direction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorize NCRP staff to negotiate additional refinements to the Regional Forest and Fire Capacity Program Block Grant scope of work, budget, and grant agreement, in keeping with previous PRP direction and decisions, and with input from the Forest Resiliency Block Grant Ad Hoc Committee.</li> <li>Authorize NCRP staff to work with NCRP PRP and TPRC members from each NCRP tribe and county to identify and select potential Tribal and County Forest Advisors to act as paid advisors on: a) the development of the regional prioritized plan; b) demonstration project selection criteria; c) collaboration and coordination with NCRP staff, ad hoc committees and technical consultants.</li> <li>Provide regular updates to the NCRP Policy Review Panel regarding staff, Forest Resiliency Block Grant Ad Hoc Committee and NCRP Executive Committee actions and agendize the block grant at all NCRP PRP meetings until the grant agreement is complete</li> </ul> <p><b>Motion:</b> Supervisor McCowen moved to accept the recommendation with the added provisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to request information from partners about potential projects for forest resiliency</li> <li>authorize staff to do outreach to gather information</li> </ol>		Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19

To authorize and direct the Executive Committee to work with Tribal representatives to develop a letter of support for local Russian River watershed tribes to work to develop the next phase of the Russian River Pilot.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
<p>PRP moved to accept the TPRC recommendation and suite of NCRP 2019 Proposition 1 IRWM Priority Projects as listed in the meeting summary with the following TPRC Contingencies:</p> <p>1. To fund the City of Trinidad, Trinidad-Westhaven Community Water Reliability, Security and Enhancement Project up to the amount of \$831,389 should a Priority Project drop out of the NCRP 2019 Proposition 1 IRWM Regional Grant application prior to submittal of the application to DWR (summer 2019).</p> <p>2. Should a project drop out of the NCRP 2019 Proposition 1 IRWM Regional Grant after the grant is submitted to DWR, but prior to the NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 2 TPRC Project Review Meeting, the funding will be rolled into the Round 2 funding pot.</p> <p>3. Should a project drop out of the NCRP 2019 Proposition 1 IRWM Regional Grant after the NCRP Proposition 1 IRWM Round 2 TPRC Project Review Meeting, the funding will be reallocated per the policy approved by the PRP in 2018 entitled Project Budget Under-Runs and Funding Reallocation Process (see Meeting Materials Attachment B, NCRP Policies, Policy V).</p>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Hemmingsen	<b>Unanimous</b>	04.26.19
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.18.19
<p><b>Forest Resiliency Block Grant Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation:</b> The Forest Resiliency Ad Hoc Committee requests that the NCRP PRP approve the proposed recommendations as described in the meeting materials for the items below.</p> <p>ii. <b>IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION PROCESS FOR PROJECTS, ACTIONS, STRATEGIES</b></p> <p>iii. <b>DRAFT FOREST ADVISORS CRITERIA &amp; SELECTION PROCESS</b></p> <p>iv. <b>NCRP WATERSHEDS, COMMUNITIES &amp; FIRE RESILIENCY PLAN OUTLINE REVIEW</b></p>	Supervisor Madrone	Grant Davis	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.18.19
<p><b>NCRP Handbook, 2019 Review &amp; Approval</b> To approve the staff recommendations: to approve the NCRP Policies to be included in the updated Handbook as an Appendix and approve the NCRP Handbook, 2019.</p>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	10.18.19
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Jeremy Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.3.20
<p><b>NCRP Regional Forest &amp; Fire Capacity Demonstration Project Solicitation, Round 2</b> <b>NCRP Forestry Ad Hoc Recommendations:</b></p> <p>i. <b>Round 2 Demonstration Project Solicitation Timing &amp; Selection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>APRIL 13: The NCRP announces the 2020 Round 2 Demonstration Project Concept Proposal Solicitation via the <a href="#">NCRP website</a> and eblast.</li> </ul>	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.3.20

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MAY 22: Due date for the NCRP 2020 Round 2 Demonstration Project Concept Proposals.</li> <li>• MAY 24 – JUNE 14: TPRC Demonstration Project Concept Proposal review period.</li> <li>• JUNE 15: TPRC Project Review and Scoring meeting to select a portfolio of priority projects as a TPRC recommendation to be presented to the NCRP Policy Review Panel for final approval.</li> <li>• JUNE 19 or TBD: NCRP Policy Review Panel consider TPRC recommended suite of Priority NCRP 2020 Round 2 Demonstration Projects and approve a final list of projects.</li> <li>• JUNE/JULY: Priority NCRP 2020 Round 2 Demonstration Project sponsors work with NCRP staff to develop detailed scopes and budgets and finalize sub-grant agreements.</li> <li>• JULY 30, 2021: NCRP 2020 Demonstration Projects are completed.</li> </ul> <p><b>ii. Resubmittal of Proposals</b>  Demonstration Project proposals not selected in Round 1 will not be automatically rolled into Round 2. Project proponents whose projects were not selected in Round 1 are encouraged to resubmit their proposals to the Round 2 solicitation and may incorporate improvements based on the feedback from the TPRC Round 1 project proposal review prior to resubmission.</p> <p><b>iii. Funding Round Award Limits</b>  A total of \$1.8 million of the CNRA block grant funding will go to fund demonstration processes and on-the-ground projects in two rounds of funding. The TPRC may recommend a suite of projects with a total combined budget up to \$1 million during the first round of funding with the remainder of funding to be allocated to the second round of funding.</p>				
<p><b>NCRP 2020 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT TPRC RECOMMENDATION:</b>  The TPRC recommends funding the top six ranked projects at 80% except for the Mattole Restoration Council funded at 50% and Northwest California Resource Conservation &amp; Development Council at 100% per the meeting summary.  The TPRC also recommends reserving the approximately \$83,000 leftover from Round 1 to Round 2. The decision not to allocate the remaining \$83,000 was multi-faceted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TPRC felt they could not adequately fund the next highest ranked project with the remaining funds and would prefer to give it full consideration in the next round, should the project proponent choose to resubmit.</li> <li>• Several projects could be improved with TPRC's comments and TPRC would like to consider those in Round 2, should the project proponents choose to resubmit.</li> <li>• Adding funding to Round 2 will also provide more opportunity for funding for Tribes or DACs that might have needed more time or assistance to prepare proposals.</li> <li>• Lastly, it will provide more funding for a wider regional spread of projects.</li> </ul>	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Wilson	<p><b>Unanimous</b></p> <p>Recused: Leaf Hillman &amp; Dale Roberts</p>	4.3.20

The TPRC recommends that a statement be added to the Round 2 solicitation notice explicitly stating preference will be given to counties or areas not funded in Round 1 to help ensure regional participation and representation.				
<b>NCRP REGIONAL FOREST AND FIRE CAPACITY: CONCEPT PROPOSALS FOR PROJECT IDENTIFICATION &amp; TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE</b> NCRP Staff Recommendation: All submitted proposals that meet NCRP eligibility criteria will be listed in the NCRP project list. NCRP staff will work with the NCRP Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) and NCRP Disadvantaged Community and Tribal Involvement (DACTI) ad hoc committees appointed by the NCRP leadership to develop the Request for Concept Proposals and staff will distribute the Request for Proposals throughout the region. Proposals for technical assistance will be evaluated based on a selection process developed by the two RFFC and DACTI committees. Additional support for proposal evaluation may be provided by NCRP technical consultants and agency partners as requested. Technical assistance proposals will be evaluated by staff using the criteria developed by the ad hoc committees and will recommend a suite of projects based on available funding. NCRP DACTI and RFFC ad hoc committees will consider the draft suite of projects and make the final selection.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.3.20
<b>DIRECTION TO STAFF RE: APPLICATION TO CAL FIRE GRANT PROGRAM</b> NCRP Staff Recommendation: Given the long history of the NCRP providing technical assistance to project proponents, the fact that the NCRP has current funding and technical consultant capacity in place to provide technical assistance for forest health and fuel load reduction projects, and that significant need for technical assistance has been identified in the North Coast region, direct NCRP staff to evaluate this grant program, reach out to prospective partners such as North Coast Tribes, the North Coast RCD network, the UCCE Forest Advisor Network and the Watershed Research and Training Center to determine their interest in collaborating. If deemed a viable opportunity, staff would seek review of a draft grant proposal by the Forestry Ad Hoc Committee and the approval of the Chair and Vice-chair to move forward with a grant application. If awarded, the grant would only be accepted after approval of the full Policy Review Panel.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.3.20

<p><b>NCRP LEADERSHIP HANDBOOK UPDATE: TRIBAL REPRESENTATION PROCESS</b></p> <p><b>NCRP Tribal PRP &amp; TPRC Recommendation</b></p> <p>To better represent the “Tribal Representation Process” and to make the existing process available to the public in an open and transparent way, the NCRP Tribal PRP and TPRC members recommend developing a webpage on the NCRP website with relevant information about Tribal participation in the Tribal Representation Process. They also recommend changing the following language in the NCRP Handbook as shown below.</p> <p><b>Policy Review Panel</b></p> <p>The NCRP Policy Review Panel (PRP) consists of two Board of Supervisors' appointees and alternates from each of the seven counties and three Tribal representatives and alternates selected by the North Coast Tribes according to <u>the “Tribal Selection &amp; Representation Process” as posted in the NCRP website with related Tribal nomination and voting documents. the “Tribal Representation Process” as defined in the NCRP MoMU.</u></p> <p><b>Technical Peer Review Committee</b></p> <p>The Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) is comprised of technical &amp; scientific staff appointed by Policy Review Panel members or Board of Supervisors from each county and Tribal representatives (and alternates) selected by the North Coast Tribes according to <u>the “Tribal Selection &amp; Representation Process” as posted in the NCRP website with related Tribal nomination and voting documents.”. the “Tribal Representation Process” as defined in the NCRP MoMU.</u></p> <p><b>Amendment:</b> An additional edit was proposed to the TPRC section of NCRP Handbook excerpted on page 10 of the meeting materials: Strike “Policy Review Panel members” from the second line and substitute “Board of Supervisors”.</p>	Supervisor McCowen	Dale Roberts	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.3.20
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Jeremy Criss	<b>Unanimous</b>	6.19.20
<p><b>TRIBAL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER NOMINATION &amp; ELECTION</b></p> <p>Brandi Brown’s replacement on the Executive Committee will be Nathan Rich, following approval of the Kashia Band of Pomo Tribal Council.</p>	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	6.19.20
<p><b>NCRP 2020 Round 2 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT TPRC RECOMMENDATION:</b></p> <p>The TPRC recommends funding seven of the top eight projects for the amounts listed in the table in the meeting summary.</p>	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b> <b>Recused: Dale Roberts</b>	6.19.20
<p><b>NCRP Handbook, 2020 Review &amp; Approval</b></p> <p>To approve the staff recommendations: to approve the NCRP Policies to be included in the updated Handbook as an Appendix and approve the NCRP Handbook, 2019.</p>	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor McCowen	<b>Unanimous</b>	6.19.20
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Hemmingsen	<b>Unanimous</b>	12.11.20

<b>APPROVE CONSOLIDATED RESOLUTION FOR OUTGOING PRP MEMBERS:</b> Mendocino County Supervisors Carre Brown and John McCowen and Siskiyou County Supervisor Lisa L. Nixon	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	12.11.20
<b>NCRP GOVERNANCE POLICY</b> To expand the Executive Committee to six members: two Co-Chairs (one Tribal, one county), two Co-Vice-Chairs (one Tribal, one county) and two Executive Committee members (one Tribal, one county)	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Jeremy Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	12.11.20
<b>NCRP FUNDING AND LEGISLATIVE OPPORTUNITIES POLICY</b> Minor changes to the existing policy intended to facilitate rapid response to funding or legislative opportunities. Any letters would be reviewed/approved by Executive Committee or PRP Co-Chairs at a minimum. It also would give staff the ability to accept funding up to \$10,000 so long as it is in alignment with previous PRP direction and are for logistical support for stakeholder outreach (e.g., workshops, meetings, handouts) and not for planning or project implementation.	Supervisor McCowen	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	12.11.20
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Criss	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> Tribal Co-Chair: Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Madrone	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> County Co-Chair: Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Madrone	Leaf Hillman	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> Tribal Co-Vice-Chair: Nathan Rich	Leaf Hillman	Edwin Smith	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> County Co-Vice-Chair: Supervisor Madrone	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> Tribal Executive Committee Member: Edwin Smith	Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> County Executive Committee Member: Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> TPRC Co-Chairs: Sandra Perez and Dale Roberts	Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>NCRP NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS</b> JIMMY SMITH LEADERSHIP AWARD: Judy Morris	Leaf Hillman	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>REVIEW AD HOC COMMITTEE VACANCIES</b>	Supervisor Madrone	Supervisor Gore	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21

Funding Ad Hoc Committee should be disbanded and the duties taken on by the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee may call on other PRP members for specific related tasks.				
<b>ARCCA GRANT FUNDING FOR AMPLIFICATION OF EQUITY</b> Staff recommends PRP approval of grant funding. If awarded, staff would work with Ex. Committee, Humboldt County, and ARCCA to clarify work scope and timing and sub-grantee administration.	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Brown	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
<b>CALIFORNIA LANDSCAPE STEWARDSHIP NETWORK MEMBERSHIP</b> To join the California Landscape Stewardship Network and direct staff to participate on topics that align with NCRP Goals and Objectives via the Network's Working Groups.	Supervisor Gore	Supervisor Williams	<b>Unanimous</b>	1.15.21
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Madrone	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.16.21
<b>NCRP REGIONAL FOREST &amp; FIRE CAPACITY: GOVERNOR'S EARLY ACTION BUDGET</b> NCRP staff expects to receive requests from State agency partners for funding amounts needed to support NCRP forest health planning and project priorities. Staff will develop a preliminary list of funding priorities, consult with the NCRP RFFC Ad Hoc and the NCRP Executive Committee on priorities, poll the full PRP for their ideas, and share the preliminary ideas with State agency partners for their review and approval. If funding is allocated to the NCRP, the protocol in the NCRP Handbook for accepting grant awards will be followed (e.g., approval by the full PRP, approval by Humboldt County as fiscal sponsor).	Supervisor Criss	Nathan Rich	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.16.21
<b>PROPOSITION 1 DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY &amp; TRIBAL INVOLVEMENT AD HOC COMMITTEE</b> Policy Review Panel can either fills vacant roles on Prop 1 DACTI Ad Hoc Committee or disband. Staff recommend disbanding.	Supervisor Groves	Supervisor Ogren	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.16.21
<b>TECHNICAL PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE VACANCIES</b> Staff recommends that these positions be filled immediately, via follow-up conversations between staff and the appointing entity within the next quarter.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Groves	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.16.21
<b>REVIEW NCRP HANDBOOK, 2021</b> i. <b>Title</b> NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP LEADERSHIP HANDBOOK title change to: NORTH COAST RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES HANDBOOK ii. <b>NCRP Structure and Roles</b> The Handbook section is updated to reflect changes to the NCRP governance structure approved by the PRP in December 2020. It also includes a description of the NCRP staff and consultant roles and titles. iii. <b>Mission and Guiding Principles</b> A new section includes the NCRP mission and guiding principles, and removes NCRP themes (these are reflected in the mission, principles and goals/objectives)	Supervisor Madrone	Nathan Rich	<b>Unanimous</b>	4.16.21

<b>iv. Miscellaneous Revisions</b> Updates to grammar and sentence structure, revisions for clarity such as removal of one column from project description, referral to website for those items that may change more than annually (e.g., PRP and TPRC membership)				
Review and Approve Agenda	Supervisor Criss	Supervisor Madrone	<b>Unanimous</b>	7.16.21
<b>NAME CHANGE – POLICY REVIEW PANEL</b> Motion to change the name of the “Policy Review Panel” to “Leadership Council” per Executive Committee and staff recommendation.	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Ogren	<b>Unanimous</b>	7.16.21
<b>OTHER DECISIONS NOT ON THE AGENDA</b> Supervisor Gore introduced an action item for consideration regarding acquisition of lidar funding for the region. Motion to direct staff to draft and collect NCRP co-chair signatures on a letter from the NCRP.	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Criss	<b>Unanimous</b>	7.16.21
<b>OTHER DECISIONS NOT ON THE AGENDA</b> Supervisor Gore introduced a motion to replace Supervisor Howard with Supervisor Ogren on the Executive Committee.	Supervisor Howard	Supervisor Wilson	<b>Unanimous</b>	7.16.21
<b>NCRP REGIONAL FOREST &amp; FIRE CAPACITY PROGRAM BUDGET ALLOCATIONS</b> Authorize the NCRP staff team to negotiate a grant agreement between the County of Humboldt (on behalf of the NCRP) and the California Department of Conservation for future RFFC funding via the Early Action Budget and 2021-22 State Budget. <b>CAL FIRE PILOT GRANT AGREEMENT</b> Authorize NCRP staff team to negotiate a grant agreement between the County of Humboldt, on behalf of the NCRP, and CAL FIRE for the Pilot project for the North Coast Region <b>HUMBOLDT AREA FOUNDATION &amp; WILD RIVERS COMMUNITY FOUNDATION GRANT: FIRE RESPONSE CAPACITY ASSESSMENT &amp; ENHANCEMENT</b> Authorize NCRP staff team to negotiate a grant agreement between the County of Humboldt, on behalf of the NCRP, and Humboldt Area Foundation/Wild Rivers Community Foundation. <b>CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH SUSTAINABLE FEEDSTOCK PROJECT</b> Authorize NCRP staff team to negotiate a scope of work and grant agreement between the County of Humboldt, on behalf of the NCRP, and the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research, and work with the RFFC Ad Hoc Committee on a detailed work plan and implementation approach. Provide regular updates to the Policy Review Panel. <b>Motion to approve the full slate of NCRP staff recommendations as outlined in the meeting materials.</b>	Supervisor Wilson	Supervisor Howard	<b>Unanimous</b>	7.16.21



---

# Appendix B

---

NCRP WEBSITE  
USEFUL LINKS

---

2021

---

## NCRP WEBSITE USEFUL LINKS

The NCRP website provides for information sharing among a diverse audience across a large, rural, decentralized region. The website provides background information about the North Coast region and NCRP process; links users to NCRP programs and projects; and offers a library of relevant planning documents and resources. An on-line mapping feature allows users to view and download various watershed, natural resources, socio-economic, and jurisdictional data as well as project locations. Members receive eblast updates about funding opportunities and NCRP events.

<http://www.northcoastresourcepartnership.org/>

- **North Coast Resource Management Plan:** <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/planning/>
- **North Coast Region:** to provide a brief description about the North Coast Region and the benefits it provides to California related to water, carbon sequestration and biodiversity.  
<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/region/>
- **NCRP – Overview and Governing Body and Leadership:**  
<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/partnership/>
- **NCRP Regional Forest and Fire Capacity Planning Program:** describes the NCRP RFFC program and grant awarded by the California Natural Resources Agency and Department of Conservation for planning and the identification and implementation of local and regional projects to improve forest health and increase fire resiliency. <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/ncrp-regional-forest-planning/>
- **NCRP Meeting Materials:** Leadership Council and Technical Peer Review Committee Meeting agendas and summaries <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/north-coast-resource-partnership-quarterly-meetings/>
- **NCRP Implementation Projects:** Project descriptions, photos, funding, benefits.  
<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/projects/>
- **NCRP Project Planning Tools:** model planning and policy tools.  
<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/planning/#regional-planning>
- **NCRP Small Community Toolbox:** to provide resources for small community water and wastewater service providers to help with system maintenance, replacement and upgrades as well as to assist in the project development process.  
<http://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/ncrp-small-community-toolbox/>
- **Funding Opportunities:** <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/planning/>
- **North Coast Interactive Maps:** NCRP projects, economically disadvantaged communities, county boundaries, Tribal lands, impaired waterways, protected areas and critical habitat.  
<https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/data/>
- **North Coast Events Calendar:** <https://northcoastresourcepartnership.org/calendar/>

---

# Appendix C

---

NCRP Policies

---

2021

---

# NCRP POLICIES, 2021

---

## Background

The North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP) is led by a Leadership Council (LC) comprised of voting members from North Coast Tribes and counties. The LC is the governance and decision-making body for the NCRP, and sets policy on a regular basis to provide direction to the NCRP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) and NCRP staff. The NCRP has a strong focus on transparent decision making and regional equity, and seeks to share and disseminate its policies and processes so that stakeholders in the North Coast region have a clear understanding of the decision-making process that is used by the NCRP. The policies and processes of the NCRP are regularly reviewed and updated by the LC based on new information and the needs and opportunities facing the NCRP and the North Coast region. Approved policy updates are included and/or referenced in the NCRP Handbook.

### **i. [MEMORANDUM OF MUTUAL UNDERSTANDINGS, 2010](#)**

The Memorandum of Mutual Understandings (MoMU) developed in 2004 and updated in 2010, defined the purpose, general goals, definitions and mutual understandings of North Coast agencies towards developing a North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan<sup>1</sup> and planning process to increase regional coordination and collaboration to obtain funding for water-related projects. The MoMU, delineated the North Coast boundary and described the roles, composition and decision-making process of the Leadership Council (LC) and Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC). The 2010 MoMU established adherence to the Ralph M. Brown Act and outlined the Tribal Representation Process. A copy of the MoMU can be found in Appendix F and a list of signatories to the MoMU in Appendix E.

### **ii. NCRP STRUCTURE, ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, STAFFING, 2011 - current**

During the July 2011 NCRP Meeting, the LC adopted the NCRP Handbook that described the roles and responsibilities of the project team: LC as decision-making body, TPRC providing technical review and advice, Humboldt County as contract administrator & overseeing project implementation, and Sonoma Water providing ongoing support & direction for planning & fund development. During the December 2020 meeting, the LC updated the governance structure and established the Executive Committee comprised of the Leadership Council Co-Chairs, Co-Vice-Chairs, a fifth member nominated and approved by the LC and a sixth member nominated by the Tribal representatives and approved by the LC. Additionally, the LC allowed the formation of Ad Hoc Committees on an as needed basis to address a short duration issue or topic. The NCRP Handbook has been updated on an annual basis and includes detailed descriptions of the NCRP roles and election processes.

### **iii. NCRP PROJECT REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS GUIDELINES, ongoing**

The [NCRP Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines](#) standardize the process steps and guidelines developed by the NCRP LC and utilized by the LC and TPRC to identify, rank, and select priority projects to implement the NCRP Plan. The NCRP Project Review and Selection Process

---

<sup>1</sup> The North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan was the original name of the North Coast Resource Partnership.

Guidelines are subject to continual review and refinement per recommendations of the LC, TPRC, NCRP staff, and the current Grant Program Guidelines and solicitation requirements.

#### **iv. NCRP PROPOSITION 1 IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT ADMIN FUNDING, 2018**

Since 2006, 5% of each grant award has been allocated to the County of Humboldt for the costs of grant administration. During the April 2018 NCRP meeting the Leadership Council authorized an allocation of up to 6% for grant administration, for the Proposition 1 implementation project grant funding. The actual proposed administration percentage (up to 6%) will be determined by the County after the projects are selected, but before the funding application is submitted to DWR, based on the total number of projects, the number of first-time subgrantees, an assessment of the administrative workload needed to satisfy the IRWM program requirements, and an estimate of projected costs.

#### **v. PROJECT BUDGET UNDER-RUNS AND FUNDING REALLOCATION PROCESS, 2021**

**Background:** In some cases, a NCRP sub-grantee may complete their project under budget or otherwise not expend their entire grant allotment. Depending on the funding source, the reallocation of available funds to another project *within the existing suite of* projects approved by the LC may be allowed. Reallocation of funding may be necessary for a variety of reasons. Potential scenarios include: when a project is completed under-budget; or when a sub-grantee elects not to implement their approved project, or is determined to be substantially out of compliance with the sub-grantee agreement. Another potential scenario is the availability of excess funds from the grant administration budget category. In accordance with the terms of the master grant agreement, the NCRP may allow reallocation of funds to another project within *the existing suite* of approved projects to supplement budget short-falls and/or expand the current scope of work to increase the project benefits. Funds will not be reallocated to a project not included within the existing suite of projects. NCRP staff will have the discretion to determine if a portion of the reallocation is necessary to supplement the grant administration budget.

##### **NCRP Project Funding Reallocation Process**

1. For amounts less than \$50,000, NCRP staff will use discretion to reallocate the funds to an eligible project within the existing suite of projects with a priority for:
  - a. Supplementing budget short-falls.
  - b. Supplementing a project that received less than their requested amount during the original selection process.
2. For amounts greater than \$50,000, project funding reallocation will occur, to the greatest extent feasible, within the County or Tribal region where the original project is located and is within *the existing suite* of projects approved by the LC. LC members from the County or Tribal region, where the original project is located, will determine which projects receive reallocation and the amount of funding.

- a. If the original funds are from a non-Tribal project, they will be made available to another project within the existing suite of projects in the county where the original project was located. The LC members representing that County will determine which projects receive reallocation and the amount of funding.
  - b. If the original funds are from a Tribal project, the funds will be made available to another project within the existing suite of projects in the Tribal region where the original project was located. The LC member representing that Tribal region will determine which projects receive reallocation and the amount of funding.
- 3. If the County or Tribal region of origin option is not available (i.e., no projects from the County or Tribal region of origin within the project suite need additional funding):
  - a. Staff will announce the availability of funds to project proponents within the full suite of projects; staff will solicit project requests and description of need from eligible project proponents
  - b. Staff will determine eligible projects
  - c. TPRC ad hoc committee will be formed via email or at NCRP meeting if timing allows
  - d. Ad hoc committee will develop criteria for project reallocation selection
  - e. Ad hoc committee will develop project reallocation option recommendations
  - f. LC will review and approve recommendations at the next LC meeting
  - g. TPRC ad hoc committee will be disbanded.

**vi. NCRP DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE  
SELECTION PROCESS, 2018**

During the January 2018 NCRP meeting, the LC adopted a process for selection of entities to receive technical assistance based on a Water and Wastewater Service Provider Needs Assessment in North Coast disadvantaged communities to better understand the capacity, training and project needs in the region. Technical Assistance for North Coast Tribes will be selected through a subsequent process led by the North Coast Tribal Representatives and the Tribal Engagement Coordinator. The technical assistance process is subject to review and refinement per recommendations of the LC, TPRC, NCRP staff, and the current Grant Program Guidelines, technical assistance funding opportunities and requirements.

**vii. ON-GOING PROJECT INCLUSION PROCESS INTO THE NCRP PLAN,  
updated 2019**

Increasingly, funding opportunities for project implementation require or give preference to projects that are included in an Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWM) Plan. Regardless if projects are being submitted during a regular IRWM project selection cycle or between regular cycles, each project must be first recommended by the TPRC and be approved by the LC. The following process will provide a mechanism for including projects on an on-going basis into the NCRP Plan.

1. Project proponents will complete preliminary project information:
  - Project Name
  - Organization Name, Type & Contact information
  - Project location address
  - Funding Program names
  - Total project cost & Funding request
  - Start/End dates (tentative)
  - Alignment with NCRP Plan Objectives (selection boxes)
  - Project Summary & Goals
  - Project partners
  - Description of benefits (including if/how the project will benefit disadvantaged communities)
  - Project management strategies/ project elements (selection boxes)
2. Project proponent will submit a signed [Memorandum of Mutual Understandings \(MoMU\)](#) if one has not already been submitted.
3. Staff will review the project and follow-up with project proponents regarding any eligibility concerns (Urban Water Management Plan, Agricultural Water Management, Surface Water Diverter, Groundwater Management Plan, CASGEM/SGMA compliance, proponent type)
4. Staff will submit the project to the NCRP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) for 30 days of TPRC review.
5. The TPRC will review eligible projects to ensure alignment with the NCRP Goals and Objectives and for technical comment.
6. Should the TPRC identify that the project is in alignment, the TPRC will recommend the project be provided to the LC for approval at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting for review and comment. If the timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meeting does not align with the project deadline, it may be submitted by the TPRC to the Executive Committee for approval.
7. Staff will 'Publish' eligible NCRP Projects and project summaries will be included on the website; and staff will report to the LC at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting
8. Additional project information will be required when NCRP funding solicitations and calls for proposals occur; NCRP project proponents will be allowed to edit preliminary project information.
9. NCRP Priority Projects will be selected by the LC. NCRP Priority Project proponents will need to adopt the NCRP Plan when completed per the IRWM Guidelines.

#### **viii. NCRP POLICY ON EXTERNAL PLAN INTEGRATION, 2019**

The NCRP engages in multi-objective integrated planning to achieve its regional goals and to guide local project implementation. The NCRP regularly reviews local, regional, state and federal planning documents, and where relevant, integrates or references data and information from these plans into updated NCRP plans as updated. NCRP data integration from local and statewide plans may include local Tribal, RCD or watershed plans, reports from business or academic partners, State plans focused on watershed and community health, sustainable groundwater management, and data and planning

related to climate change. The NCRP has a history of synchronizing statewide planning priorities with local planning efforts, including Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Plans and Storm Water Resource Management Plans. Occasionally, the NCRP will be requested to fully integrate or ratify the recommendations from other planning processes, or to lend support to outreach and stakeholder engagement for planning processes not initiated by the NCRP. For the purposes of this policy discussion, plans that are not commissioned or executed by the NCRP will be called External Plans. The following section outlines the NCRP policy and process for addressing External Plans.

The NCRP process to a) incorporate or integrate External Plan recommendations, b) be referenced as supporting an External Plan – in part or in full, or c) engage with another planning process, is outlined below. When any variation on items a-c (above) are requested, NCRP staff will initiate the following:

- 1) NCRP staff will work with External Plan staff or plan proponents to determine the purpose of the External Plan, its potential relevance to the NCRP planning process, and alignment with NCRP goals, objectives, technical review and decision-making processes, and an approach to NCRP staff support, and stakeholder outreach and engagement.
- 2) The TPRC will be notified of the staff recommendations, and a 30-day comment period will be initiated for the TPRC to review, confirm alignment with the NCRP Goals and Objectives, and for their technical comment. Before the conclusion of the comment period, the TPRC Co-chairs will coordinate with NCRP staff to provide the TPRC with the opportunity to meet in person or by phone to discuss the merits of the proposal.
- 3) NCRP staff will then bring the request and a staff recommendation to the NCRP Executive Committee for consideration. The Executive Committee will determine if the proposal should be brought forward during a regular quarterly meeting cycle, or if the proposal should be expedited between these cycles. Based on the time required for action the Executive Committee will also determine if the full LC or the Executive Committee will make the final decision.
- 4) Any Local Plan project proponents seeking funding that requires project inclusion into an IRWM Plan will follow the steps outlined in the On-Going Project Inclusion Process into the NCRP Plan found in the NCRP Handbook.

#### **ix. NCRP PLAN & STORM WATER RESOURCE PLAN INTEGRATION PROCESS, 2018**

The development of Storm Water Management Plans satisfies the requirements of Senate Bill 985 and State Water Board SWRP Guidelines to establish eligibility for local agencies and organizations to receive future State Storm Water Grant implementation funds. The purpose of a SWRP is to integrate storm water management with other basic aspects of aquatic resource protection and overall water management including flood control, water supply, and habitat conservation.

The following process was approved by the LC on January 20, 2018 to incorporate a SWRP into the NCRP Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan.



1. The SWRP plan will include a summary of the NCRP Plan under the Existing Plans section.<sup>2</sup>
2. The SWRP Plan, Implementation Strategy and Scheduling of Projects section will include a discussion on how the SWRP will be incorporated into the NCRP Plan per the following steps.
  - The Public Draft SWRP will be provided to the NCRP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) for review to ensure alignment with the NCRP Goals and Objectives and for technical comment. The comment period will be 30 calendar days.
  - The Public Draft SWRP will be presented to the NCRP Leadership Council (LC) at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting for review and comment. If timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meetings does not align with the SWRP finalization, the SWRP may be submitted to the LC via email for review and comment.
  - Any TPRC or LC commentary will be considered and addressed prior to finalizing the SWRP with a “response to comments” memo.
  - At a NCRP Quarterly Meeting, a copy of the Final SWRP and “response to comments” memo will be presented to the NCRP LC for the final decision vote. If timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meetings does not align with the SWRP finalization, the SWRP may be submitted to the LC via email for consideration at the next NCRP Quarterly Meeting.<sup>3</sup>
  - SWRP project proponents seeking funding that requires project inclusion into an IRWM Plan will follow the steps outlined in the On-Going Project Inclusion Process into the NCRP Plan.

#### **x. NCRP PROCESS FOR EVALUATION OF FUNDING & LEGISLATIVE OPPORTUNITIES, 2020**

The NCRP has been very successful in pursuing funding and influencing legislation to achieve the goals and objectives approved by the LC. Typically, NCRP staff apprises the LC and TPRC of funding and legislative opportunities at the NCRP quarterly meetings, and makes staff recommendations to the LC related to these opportunities, whereby the LC provide direction to staff and the Executive Committee regarding any approved actions on these opportunities. Formal authorization to accept a grant agreement is typically agendized at a NCRP quarterly meeting, and requires the approval of the LC as well as the formal authorization of the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors, acting as the NCRP fiscal and contracts sponsor.

---

<sup>2</sup> An example description: *The North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP) Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (NCRP Plan) provides a centralized and collaborative framework for addressing local, regional, and statewide water resource priorities. The NCRP Plan emphasizes the creation of a sustainable environmental and socio-economic framework for the North Coast, by engaging in integrated planning for water infrastructure and natural resources. Planning and project focus areas include the recovery of salmonid populations, enhancement of the beneficial uses of water, support for energy independence, climate adaptation, local autonomy and intra-regional cooperation. The NCRP Plan focuses on areas of common interest and concern to North Coast stakeholders and on attracting funding to the North Coast Region, and recognizes unique local solutions in different parts of the Region. The NCRP is comprised of the seven North Coast counties and Tribes within the NCRWQCB watershed boundary. The NCRP Plan is supported by over 100 agencies, special districts, Tribal organizations, non-governmental organizations, watershed groups, and other stakeholders.*

<sup>3</sup> *The SWRP Guidelines note that for the purposes of receiving project funding, submittal of the SWRP to the IRWM group (for further incorporation into the existing IRWM plan) fulfils the requirement for “incorporation”.*

There are times when funding or legislative opportunities have a rapid turnaround, and in these cases the Executive Committee is authorized to direct and provide guidance to staff in order for the NCRP to pursue these opportunities. Actions that may be taken between quarterly meetings by the NCRP staff with guidance and review from the NCRP Executive Committee include:

- 1) Writing letters related to legislation or funding opportunities that are in support of NCRP Goals and Objectives and aligned with NCRP policies and previous LC direction
- 2) Evaluating funding or legislative opportunities that are aligned with NCRP goals, objectives and policies, including attending meetings, engaging in discussions, and drafting preliminary concepts for legislator, agency or funder review
- 3) Prior to substantively proceeding on any funding or legislative opportunity, NCRP staff will receive approval from the Co-Chairs at a minimum, and will request approval from the full Executive Committee. Where relevant, TPRC co-chairs will be consulted and engaged. A decision to formally submit a grant application may be authorized by the Executive Committee. In the absence of the full Executive Committee, the Co-chairs may authorize this action.
- 4) For grants or funding under \$10,000 that are in alignment with previous LC direction, NCRP staff may independently pursue these opportunities provided that Humboldt County is willing to take on the administration of the funding. These funds may be used only for logistical support for stakeholder outreach (e.g., workshops, meetings, handouts) and not for planning or project implementation.
- 5) NCRP meeting materials will include a summary of any actions taken by the Executive Committee and/or staff related to funding or legislative opportunities
- 6) A decision to accept grant funding would be voted on by the full LC and approved by Humboldt County Board of Supervisors, acting as fiscal and contract sponsor for the NCRP.
- 7) Any funding request would honor the LC approved approach to local autonomy – allowing Tribes or counties to opt out of any element of the funding request in which they do not wish to participate.
- 8) Funding requests or legislative input will predominantly focus on NCRP project implementation, but may also include stakeholder outreach and coordination, technical support for project proponents, data, analysis and planning, or enhanced funding for economically disadvantaged communities
- 9) The LC may choose to form an Ad Hoc committee focused on funding and/or legislative opportunities – either for specific funding and/or legislative opportunities, or in service of developing more general funding and legislative strategies. An ad hoc committee will be created as needed, serve for a specified period of time, and be disbanded when no longer required. The ad hoc committee is intended to advise NCRP staff and make recommendations to the LC, and may be comprised of LC and TPRC members appointed by the LC.
- 10) Refinements to this approach may be added at each NCRP quarterly meeting, and refined LC direction may apply generally or to a specific legislative or funding opportunity.

## **xi SUB-GRANTEE AGREEMENT COMPLIANCE POLICY, 2021**

The County of Humboldt (COUNTY), in its role as the Regional Grant Administrator on behalf of the North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP), aims to create an environment in which each Sub-Grantee can be successful. The COUNTY intends to actively assist Sub-Grantees in understanding and meeting the grant agreement requirements. However, it is the responsibility of the Sub-Grantee to comply with grant agreement terms and conditions, NCRP policies, and applicable laws and regulations. When errors or delays arise, the COUNTY will work with the Sub-Grantee to try to find solutions before they become compliance issues. However, when sufficient corrective actions are not taken by a Sub-Grantee to resolve compliance issues, the COUNTY will initiate the following procedures.

### **Failure to Execute the Sub-Grantee Agreement**

The Project Sponsor is required to sign and return the Sub-Grantee agreement, so that it may be fully executed, within the prescribed amount of time depending on the grant program. The prescribed amount of time will be specified when the Sub-Grantee agreement is provided to the Project Sponsor.

- If the Sub-Grantee is unable to sign the agreement within the prescribed amount of time, the submittal of a time extension request is required. In order to be approved, a written request shall explain the reason the extension is necessary and provide a proposed timeline, stating when the signed agreement will be submitted to the COUNTY. Approval of the extension request will be at the discretion of the COUNTY grant manager.
- If the Sub-Grantee fails to submit the signed Sub-Grantee Agreement or an acceptable extension request within the prescribed amount of time, COUNTY staff may recommend that the NCRP Leadership Council (LC) withdraw the project funding offer and initiate the process of reallocating the funds to a different project, per the NCRP Policy, *Project Funding Reallocation Process*.

### **Other Significant Compliance Issues**

Compliance with Sub-Grantee Agreement terms and conditions, NCRP policies, and applicable laws and regulations is a mandatory requirement to maintain good standing with grant program. Common non-compliance circumstances include the following:

- Repeated failure to follow required administrative procedures and requirements after multiple reminders, such as:
  - Late invoice and report submittals
  - Insufficient quality and completeness of forms and reports
  - Incorrect or altered forms
  - Inadequate communication to remediate errors, delaying the payment process
- Repeated lack of responsiveness to communications regarding grant compliance
- Failure to submit signed grant agreement amendments within the prescribed amount of time
- Noncompliance with state and local permits
- Noncompliance with grantor contract requirements

### **Procedures to Remediate Significant Compliance Issues:**

1. When COUNTY staff determines that there are significant compliance issues, the Sub-Grantee will be notified via email that they are out of compliance and a corrective action is required within 10 calendar days.
  - a. If corrective action is not taken and communicated to the COUNTY within 10 days, the Sub-Grantee shall submit a written request for a time extension to come into compliance, with an explanation for why an extension is warranted and a schedule for coming into compliance.

- b. **Mandatory Compliance Refresher Training:**  
The COUNTY will hold a conference call or personal meeting with the Sub-Grantee to review the applicable procedures and requirements of the agreement.

A follow-up letter will be sent to the Sub-Grantee identifying the issues discussed during the refresher training. The letter shall be signed by the Sub-Grantee and returned to the COUNTY, acknowledging an understanding of and commitment to comply with the terms of the grant agreement.

2. **Formal Noncompliance Letter:**  
If the Sub-Grantee still fails to correct the issue within 10 days, the COUNTY will send a Noncompliance Letter to the Project Manager, the Project's Agency/Organization Director, and copied to the NCRP Executive Committee Members. The letter will reference the compliance issue, prior attempts from the COUNTY to attain compliance, and the suggested actions to bring the Sub-Grantee back into compliance within 10 days.
3. **Conference Call/Meeting:**  
The Non-Compliance Letter will suggest a conference call or meeting to discuss the compliance issues and corrective action required. The call or meeting is an opportunity for the Sub-Grantee to present a corrective action plan that can be agreed upon by the Sub-Grantee and the COUNTY to resolve the compliance issues.
4. **Termination of Agreement:**  
If the compliance issue remains uncorrected after the deadline indicated in the letter and there continues to be no agreed upon plan of action as a result of the meeting, COUNTY staff may recommend that the NCRP Leadership Council authorize termination of the Sub-Grantee agreement and, as applicable, initiate the reallocation of funds, per the NCRP Policy, *Project Funding Reallocation Process*.

The Sub-Grantee will be added to a list of entities that failed to comply with the grant agreement requirements, which will be provided to the Technical Peer Review Committee as a reference at future project review meetings for funding opportunities.

# Appendix E

NCRP Projects

2020

## NCRP Projects – All projects

---

As of December 2020, the NCRP process has identified 370 projects from throughout the North Coast Region with a total project cost of \$898,189,899 and a combined funding request of \$523,441,023. The table below updates the [NCRP Plan](#), Appendix L, NCRP Project Information, Table 60 which list all the projects submitted to the NCRP for review by the TPRC. More information about the projects funded by the NCRP can be found on the [Projects](#) portion of the website.

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 50 PROJECT NAME
City of Crescent City	Crescent City Wastewater Treatment Plant Renovation
California State Parks - North Coast Redwoods District	Head Hunter/Smoke House Non-point Sediment Reduction Project
City of Arcata Environmental Services Department	Arcata Storm Water Master Plan Elements
City of Arcata Environmental Services Department	Arcata Watershed Enhancement through I & I Reduction
City of Arcata Environmental Services Department	Jolly Giant Dam Retrofit
City of Blue Lake	Blue Lake Wastewater Treatment Plant
City of Eureka	Eureka Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Project
City of Eureka	Mad River Pipeline Improvements
City of Eureka	Martin Slough Interceptor Project
City of Ferndale	Ferndale Drainage Improvements
City of Ferndale	Ferndale Infiltration & Inflow Reduction
City of Ferndale	Ferndale Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements
City of Rio Dell	Sludge Disposal and Handling Improvement Project
City of Rio Dell	Stormwater Master Plan
City of Rio Dell	Valve and Fire Hydrant Replacement Project
City of Rio Dell	Wastewater Disposal Project
City of Rio Dell	Wastewater Master Plan and Inflow and Infiltration Study
City of Rio Dell	Water Treatment System Improvements
City of Trinidad	Water Storage improvement Project
Fieldbrook Community Services District	Water Storage improvement Project
Garberville Sanitary District (Garberville Water Company)	Garberville Water Supply Reliability Project
Garberville Sanitary District (Garberville Water Company)	Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 50 PROJECT NAME
Gasquet Community Services District	Gasquet Community Services District Water System Upgrade
Hoopla Valley Tribal Protection Agency	Klamath-Trinity Water Quality and Water Supply Database and
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ranney Collectors Rehabilitation/Upgrade
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Samoa Peninsula Pipeline Replacements
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Water Supply Interties
Humboldt Community Services District	CR Transmission Main
Humboldt Community Services District	Steel Water Main Replacement
Humboldt County Department of Agriculture	BMP for Control of Invasive Plants in North Coast Watersheds
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Eel River Cooperative Sediment Reduction Program
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Mid Van Duzen River Ranch Road Sediment Reduction Program
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Salt River Restoration Project
Hydesville County Water District	Infrastructure Upgrade
Loleta Community Services District	Loleta I&I
Loleta Community Services District	Water Supply
McKinleyville Community Services District	Sewer Main Construction
Orick Community Services District	Orick Community Services District Wastewater Treatment Sys.
Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife and Wetlands Restoration Association	Redwood Creek Erosion Control
Redwood Community Action Agency	Humboldt Bay Water Quality Improvement Program
Redwood Community Action Agency	Humboldt Bay Watershed Plan Implementation
Redwood Community Action Agency	KRIS Humboldt Bay
Redwood Community Action Agency	KRIS Mad River
Redwood Community Action Agency	Luffenholtz Creek Barrier Modification Designs and Sediment
Westhaven Community Services District	Water Storage Improvement Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 50 PROJECT NAME
Willow Creek Community Services District	Hwy 96 Stormceptor
Willow Creek Community Services District	Water Filtration Plant
North Coast Regional Land Trust	Six Rivers to the Sea
Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program
Mendocino National Forest	Soda Creek Riparian Improvement
The Conservation Fund	Big River/Salmon Creek Watershed Restoration Project
Bioengineering Institute	Ten Mile Creek Watershed Outreach and Organizing Project
Bioengineering Institute	Walker Creek Restoration Project
California State Parks Mendocino District	Big River Focused Landform and Habitat Restorations
City of Ukiah	Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Project
City of Ukiah	Reclaimed Water System
City of Ukiah	Wastewater Secondary Treatment Upgrade
City of Ukiah	Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project
City of Willits	Willits Wastewater Treatment/ Water Reclamation Project
Covelo CSD (Community Services District)	Covelo Wastewater Facilities Improvement Project
E Center, Mendocino Fisheries Program	Hollow Tree Road Improvement Project
Mendocino County RCD	Navarro Watershed Road Sediment Reduction Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Navarro Watershed Upslope Road Inventory Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Upper Rancheria Creek Riparian Enhancement Project
Mendocino County Water Agency	Eel River Basin KRIS database
Mendocino County Water Agency	Mendocino County Water Quality/Supply Database (KRIS)
Mendocino County Water Agency	Russian River Basin KRIS Database
Mendocino County Water Agency	U. S. Army Corps Coyote Valley Dam Feasibility Study
Mendocino County	Development of Mendocino County Grading Ordinance
Russian River Unlimited	2005 River Clean-up and River Education in Schools
Westport County Water District	Wages Creek Source Water Protection
Westport County Water District	Water Supply Reliability Project
Mendocino County RCD	Garcia Effectiveness Monitoring



PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 50 PROJECT NAME
Mendocino County RCD	Sinkyone Road Restoration Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	BMPs for Invasive Plant Control in Coastal Watersheds
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Robinson Creek Restoration Demonstration Project
Modoc County	Newell Water System Renovation
Siskiyou County	Siskiyou Co. Integrated Water Mgt/Coho Recovery Project
California Department of Forestry	California Forest Improvement Program
California Department of Forestry	Sensitive Watershed Monitoring and Mapping Resource
California Land Stewardship Institute	Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program
California Land Stewardship Institute	Sediment Reduction and Habitat Improvements - 4 River tribs
City of Cotati	Low Water Use Demonstration Program
City of Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park/Cotati Urban Recycled Water System Expansion
City of Santa Rosa	Citywide Creek Master Plan
City of Santa Rosa	Colgan Creek Restoration
City of Santa Rosa	Development of Standby Water Supply Wells
City of Santa Rosa	Prince Memorial Greenway Pierson Reach Restoration
City of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Creek B Street Outfall Retrofit Project
City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma County Water Recycling and Habitat Preservation Proj
City of Sebastopol	Sebastopol MWS Groundwater Management Program
Community Clean Water Institute	Humboldt Bay Regional Water Quality Monitoring Project
Community Clean Water Institute	Middle Reach Russian River Citizen Monitoring Project
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Dutch Bill Creek Coho Habitat Enhancement
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Laguna de Santa Rosa Restoration Program
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Salmon Creek Watershed Assessment and Implementation
Graton Community Service District	Graton Wastewater Treatment Upgrade and Reclamation Project
Gualala River Watershed Council	Lower Fuller Creek Sediment Source Implementation Plan
Institute for Fisheries Resources	Networked Watershed Library for the North Coast Region
Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation	Laguna de Santa Rosa Cotati Reach Restoration
Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation	Laguna de Santa Rosa Riparian and Wetland Restoration
LandPaths	Dam Failure Prevention & Sediment Reduction Santa Rosa Creek

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 50 PROJECT NAME
Occidental Arts and Ecology Center's WATER Institute	Dutch Bill Watershed Literacy Project: No Coho Left Behind
Occidental County Sanitation District	Camp Meeker-Occidental Joint Wastewater Reclamation Project
Sebastopol Water Information Group (SWiG)	Groundwater Studies in the Sebastopol Area
Sonoma County Regional Parks	Cloverdale River Park, Russian River Bank Restoration
Sonoma County Regional Parks	Shiloh Ranch & Foothill Regional Parks Erosion Prevention
Sonoma County Regional Parks	Storm Water System and Natural Resource Inventory
Sonoma County Water Agency	Cook Creek Restoration Project
Sonoma County	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project
Sotoyome Resource Conservation District	Russian River Arundo Removal and Habitat Restoration Project
Town of Windsor	Sonoma County Airport Area Recycled Water Irrigation-Phase 1
Sonoma County	Implementing an Effective Storm Water Management Program
Gualala River Watershed Council	Sediment Solutions for the Gualala: Phase III
North Coast Resource Conservation & Development Council	Rural Municipal Service Provider Technical Assistance Program
The Watershed Research and Training Center	Hayfork Forest Health Phase II
Trinity County Resource Conservation District	East Branch Irrigation Ditch Piping Project
Trinity County Waterworks District #1	Raw & Recovered Water for Irrigating Public Agencies
Trinity County	Trinity Drinking Water Source Sediment Reduction Project
Weaverville Community Services District	East Weaver Creek Booster Pump Station
Weaverville Sanitary District	Weaverville Sanitary District Water Reclamation Project
Trinity County Resource Conservation District	Reading Creek Water Conservation Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 1 PROJECT NAME
California Land Stewardship Institute	Russian River Watershed Agricultural Water Conservation and Water Supply Reliability Program
California Land Stewardship Institute	Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program, Mendocino and Sonoma Counties
City of Blue Lake	Powers Creek Fish Passage Enhancement Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 1 PROJECT NAME
City of Fort Bragg	Waterfall Gulch Transmission Main
City of Fortuna	Rohner Creek Flood Control and Salmonid Habitat Improvement Project
City of Montague	Lift Station Upgrade
City of Rio Dell	Rio Dell Stormwater Control Flood Reduction Project
City of Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Creek Master Plan
City of Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Urban Reuse Expansion Project
City of Santa Rosa	Trash Exclusion
City of Santa Rosa	North Coast Regional Indoor Water Efficiency Program
City of Santa Rosa	Russian River Regional Cash for Grass Program
City of Santa Rosa - Utilities Department	Santa Rosa Plain Subbasin Salt and Nutrient Management Plan
City of Santa Rosa - Utilities Department	Sonoma County Water Recycling and Habitat Preservation Project
City of Trinidad	Trinidad Westhaven Coastal Water Quality Restoration Program / OWTS Emphasis
City of Tulelake	Tulelake Wastewater Project
City of Ukiah	City of Ukiah Recycled Water Plan
Colgan Creek Restoration Project	City of Santa Rosa
Del Norte Resource Conservation District	Real-Time Weather Data for Irrigation Water Management
Del Norte Resource Conservation District	Del Norte Agricultural Enhancement Program
Freshwater Conservation Trust	Instream Water Dedications
Gold Ridge RCD	Bodega Bay HU Water Resources Management Project
Gualala River Watershed Council	Gualala River Sediment Reduction Program
Gualala River Watershed Council	Gualala River Wood In the Stream Program
Happy Camp Community Services District (CSD)	Water Treatment System Upgrade
Happy Camp Sanitary District	Indian Creek Sewer Pipeline Crossing
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	Nissa-kah Creek Fish Passage at Nokomis Road

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 1 PROJECT NAME
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	Nissa-kah Creek Fish Passage at Hwy 175
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	Russian River Tribal Watershed Group - Non-profit organization
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ranney Collector 3 Lateral Replacement
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ranney Collectors 1, 2, & 4 Lateral Replacement
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	15-inch Samoa Peninsula Pipeline Replacement
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	HBMWD-Blue Lake Fieldbrook Pipeline Support Retrofit
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ruth Hydro Plant Generator & Turbine Replacement
Karuk Tribe	Camp Creek Habitat Protection-Road Decommissioning Implementation Project
Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Watershed Management Initiative
McKinleyville Community Services District	Murray Road Water Supply Tank and Piping
McKinleyville Community Services District	Water Meter Replacement and Upgrade
McKinleyville Community Services District	Critical Emergency Drinking Water Supply Wells and Piping
McKinleyville Community Services District	Waste Water Management Facility Treatment System Improvements
McKinleyville Community Services District	Solar Pilot Project
McKinleyville Community Services District	Regional Intertie for Emergency Drinking Water Supply and Water Reliability
Mendocino County RCD	Mendocino Headwaters Integrated Water Quality Enhancement Project
Mendocino County Water Agency	Mendocino County Stormwater Retrofit, Water Conservation and Rainwater Capture Project
Mendocino County Water Agency	Mendocino Jumpstart Integrated Water Plan
Occidental County Sanitation District	Wastewater Reclamation and Storage Project
Pinoleville Pomo Nation	Ackerman Creek Habitat Restoration
Redwood Community Action Agency, Natural Resources Services Division	The North Coast Stormwater Coalition's Non-Point Source Pollution Prevention Program

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 1 PROJECT NAME
Redwood Forest Foundation Inc. (RFFI)	Sustainable Forests, Clean Water & Carbon Sequestration Demonstration Project, Redwood Forest Foundation Inc.
Russian River Watershed Association (RRWA)	Russian River Friendly Landscapes (RRFL) and Low Impact Development (LID) Demonstration Project
School of Performing Arts and Cultural Education (SPACE)	SPACE Theater Water Efficiency Project
Siskiyou County	Septage Receiving Pond Closure
Siskiyou County	Siskiyou County Septage Receiving Facility
Smith River Community Services District	Smith River Community Services District Infrastructure Improvement Plan - Phase 1 Back-up Power System
Sonoma County Water Agency	The Copeland Creek Watershed Detention/Recharge, Habitat Restoration, and Steelhead Refugia Project
Sotoyome Resource Conservation District	Russian River Arundo donax Removal and Riparian Enhancement Program
Sotoyome Resource Conservation District	Lower Russian River Water Quality Improvement Project
Town of Windsor	Windsor Groundwater Exploration Project
Town of Windsor	Esposti Park Well Connection Project
Willow Creek Community Services District	Hwy 96 Stormceptor

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 2 PROJECT NAME
Big Rock Community Services District	Big Rock CSD Stabilize Water Storage Tank
County of Del Norte	Waste Water Lift Station Replacement
Smith River Community Services District	Smith River Community Services District Infrastructure Improvement Project
California State Parks	Benbow Dam Removal
California Trout	Elk River Recovery Assessment and Pilot Implementation Projects
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ranney Collectors 1 & 1A Lateral Replacement
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Humboldt Bay Nutrient Management Program
Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Watershed Integrated Climate Adaptation Initiative

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 2 PROJECT NAME
McKinleyville Community Services District	Mad River Estuary Enhancement
McKinleyville Community Services District	McKinleyville CSD Radio-Read Water Meter Upgrade
McKinleyville Community Services District	McKinleyville CSD Water Reliability Development
Redwood Community Action Agency	Martin Slough Enhancement Project
Resort Improvement District #1	Teleraph Creek Barrier Removal and Channel Restoration
City of Trinidad	Trinidad-Westhaven Coastal Water Quality Restoration Program
Westhaven Community Services District	Westhaven CSD Water Tank
California Land Stewardship Institute	Fish Friendly Farming and Fish Friendly Ranching Environmental Certification in the Russian, Navarro, and Gualala River Watersheds
California Land Stewardship Institute	Russian River Watershed Agricultural Water Conservation and Water Supply Reliability Program
Gualala River Watershed Council	Gualala River Watershed Management and Enhancement
Jug Handle Creek Farm and Nature Center	Jug Handle Creek Farm and Nature Center Ecological Enhancement Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Mendocino County Working Landscapes Riparian Demonstration Project
Siskiyou County	Siskiyou County Septage Pond Closure
Gualala River Watershed Council	Gualala River Sediment Reduction Program
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Gold Ridge Coastal Watersheds Enhancement Project
Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation	Restoring Sebastopol Railroad Forest
Occidental County Sanitation District/ SCWA	Occidental Recycled Water and Restoration Project
Russian River Watershed Association	Russian River Watershed Urban Creek Care Program
City of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Plain Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Data Analysis
City of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa's Russian River Friendly Landscapes and Low Impact Development Project
City of Sebastopol Public Works	Arsenic Removal Pilot Project for Well 6
City of Sebastopol Public Works	Arsenic Treatment Implementation for Well 6

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 ROUND 2 PROJECT NAME
Sonoma County Water Agency	Regional Water Use Efficiency Program
Sotoyome Resource Conservation District	Austin Creek Watershed Restoration Program
Sotoyome Resource Conservation District	LandSmart Laguna: Achieving TMDL Compliance and Ag Water Quality Improvements in the Laguna de Santa Rosa Watershed
Town of Windsor	Windsor Groundwater Banking Pilot Demonstration Project
Salier Mutual Water Company	Larger capacity storage tanks, dedicated main line, meters/master meter
Trinity County Resource Conservation District	West Weaver Creek - Channel and Floodplain Rehabilitation
Weaverville Community Services District	East Weaver Treatment Plant Improvement Project
Weaverville Sanitary District	Weaverville Sanitary District Water Reclamation Project
Karuk Tribe	Lower Mid-Klamath Habitat Protection-Road Decommissioning Implementation Project
Yurok Tribe - Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program (YTFP)	Restoration of Lower Klamath River Habitats
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	DAC/ Tribal DAC Implementation Circuit Rider

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84, 2014 DROUGHT ROUND PROJECT NAME
California Land Stewardship Institute	Agricultural Water Conservation and Water Supply Reliability Program
Sonoma Resource Conservation District	Agricultural Water Conservation Rebate Program
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Rural Water Conservation and Drought Preparedness Project
Kelly Mutual Water Company	New Well
Town of Windsor	Off-River Water Supply Program - Esposti Well Project
Yulupa Mutual Water Company	Water Meter Project 2014
Clear Creek Water Company	Repair and Increased Efficiency of Water System
Sonoma County Water Agency	Sonoma-Mendocino Immediate Drought Relief Project
Gualala River Watershed Council	The Flow Bank - Protecting Stream Flow in the Gualala River

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84, 2014 DROUGHT ROUND PROJECT NAME
12th District Agricultural Association	Redwood Empire Water Supply Improvement and Conservation Project
City of Ukiah	Ukiah Valley - Redwood Valley Water Supply Reliability Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Implementing On-Farm Water Conservation Strategies
City of Fort Bragg	Summers Lane Reservoir Project
Resort Improvement District No. 1	Telegraph Creek Drinking Water Diversion Habitat Protection Project
Westhaven Community Services District	Water Loss Reduction Project
Sanctuary Forest Inc.	Mattole Flow Program: Storage and Forbearance
City of Rio Dell	Rio Dell Metropolitan Well Project
City of Crescent City	Elevated Water Tank Rehabilitation
Yurok Tribe	Weitchpec Water Station
Newell County Water District	Newell Well Deepening Project
Weaverville Community Services District	Meter Reading Upgrade
Lewiston Park Mutual Water Company	Meter Installation
Rush Creek Mutual Water Company	Drought Relief Assistance

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 2015 PROJECT NAME
Alderpoint County Water District	Alderpoint Capacity Study
Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria	Bear River Band of The Rohnerville Rancheria Reclaimed Water Project
Brooktrails Township Community Services District	Tank #3 Replacement
California Trout, Inc	Elk River Sediment Remediation Pilot Implementation Projects
Caspar Community	Caspar Water Project
City of Arcata, Environmental Division	City of Arcata Discharge Permit Compliance and Energy Conservation Project



PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 2015 PROJECT NAME
City of Blue Lake	Blue Lake Water Supply Protection and Creek Restoration Project
City of Dorris	Dorris Water Storage Tank
City of Ferndale	City of Ferndale Flood Management Plan
City of Santa Rosa	Lower Colgan Creek Restoration - Phase 2
City of Ukiah	Ukiah Valley and Russian River Water Supply Reliability Project
City of Weed	Boles Fire Water System Rehabilitation/Water System Restoration
City of Willits	Emergency Groundwater Supply Project
City of Willits	Permanent Groundwater Supply Project
Del Norte County	County Service Area # 1 and Crescent City Lift Station Rehabilitation
Fieldbrook Glendale Community Services District (FGCSD)	FGCSD Water Storage Improvement Project
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Working Landscapes Drought Resiliency Project
Gualala River Watershed Council	Flow Bank Program - Phase II
Happy Camp Community Services District	Happy Camp Water System Upgrades - Phase 1
Hoopa Valley Tribe	Hoopa Valley Public Utility District Conservation Project
Hoopa Valley Tribe	Lower Supply Flood Risk Reduction and Fisheries Habitat Improvement Project
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	Hopland Band of Pomo Indians Community Test Wells and Water Security Study
Huckleberry Mutual Water Company	Huckleberry Mutual Water Company Disinfection, Storage, Distribution and Intertie Planning and Execution
Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District	Humboldt Bay Spartina Control
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (HBMWD)	Ranney Collector 2 Rehabilitation Project
Humboldt Community Services District	Ridgewood Water Reliability Improvement Project
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District (HCRCD)	Restoring Stream Flow and Fish Passage on the Eel River Delta

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 2015 PROJECT NAME
Lewiston Community Services District (LCSD)	Lewiston Community Services District Wastewater Collection, Treatment, and Disposal Project
Lewiston Park Mutual Water Company (LPMWC)	Lewiston Valley Drinking Water Intertie Pipeline
Mattole Restoration Council	Lower Mattole River and Estuary Enhancement and Drought Resiliency Project
Mendocino Coast Botanical Gardens	Mendocino Coast Botanical Gardens Water Infrastructure Project
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Implementing On-Farm Water Conservation Projects in the Navarro to Address Critical Low Flows
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Water Conservation Technical Assistance to Mendocino County Tribes
Mid Klamath Watershed Council	Horse Creek Wood Loading, Floodplain Relief and Groundwater Recharge Project: Phase III
Mid Klamath Watershed Council (MKWC)	Mid Klamath Tributary Thermal Refugia Improvement and Groundwater Recharge Project
Montague Water Conservation District	Montague Water Conservation District- Instream Flow Enhancement through Water Conservation
Northern California Resource Center	Scott River Water Yield Enhancement
Northwest CA Resource Conservation & Development Council	Trinity River Water Reliability and Drought Resiliency Project
Palmer Creek Community Service District	Palmer Creek Water System Reliability Project
Redwood Community Action Agency	Martin Slough Enhancement Project
Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation (RCHDC)	Landscape & Irrigation Water Conservation for Low Income Senior and Multi-Family Housing Complexes
Russian Riverkeeper	Scarlet Wisteria (Sesbania punicea) Invasive Plant Removal Project
Salmon River Restoration Council	Taylor Creek In-Stream Barrier Removal
Sanctuary Forest Inc.	Mattole Flow Program: Mainstem & Tributary Storage and Forbearance
Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District	Shasta River Drought Response and Irrigation Efficiency Project
Resort Improvement District No.1 (RID)	Shelter Cove Water Recycling Project
Sonoma County Water Agency	Northern Sonoma County Water Conservation Program

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 84 2015 PROJECT NAME
Sonoma Resource Conservation District	Russian River Coho Drought Resiliency Planning and Implementation Program
Trinidad Rancheria	Trinidad Harbor ASBS Discharge Elimination Project
Trinity County Waterworks Dist #1	TCWW Filter Plant Compliance Project
Watershed Research and Training Center (WRTC)	South Fork Trinity River (SFTR) - Spring Run Chinook Salmon Restoration Project
Weaverville Sanitary District	Weaverville Sanitary District Wastewater Reclamation Project
Weott Community Services District	Additional Water Storage
Westhaven Community Services District	Water Storage Tank and Roof Replacement Project
Westside Community Improvement Association	Jefferson Community Park and Urban Farm Sustainable Irrigation Project
Willow County Water District	Willow County Water Security Project
Yurok Tribe	Yurok Watershed Restoration and Drinking Water Security

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 1 2019 PROJECT NAME
12th District Agricultural Association	Drought Response and Water Efficiency Project
Blue Lake Rancheria	Water Storage Project
Briceland Community Services District	Water Supply Enhancement Project
California Trout	Scott River Valley Managed Aquifer Recharge
Conservation Biology Institute	Fire Risk Reduction Decision Support for the Russian River Watershed
Covelo Community Services District	Collection System and Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements
County Service Area No. 1	Onsite Emergency Power Supply for Sanitary Sewer Lift Stations
City of Dorris	Water System Infrastructure Project
Eel River Watershed Improvement Group	Cuneo Creek Riparian Restoration Project
Eel River Watershed Improvement Group	Kenny Creek Instream Habitat Enhancement Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 1 2019 PROJECT NAME
City of Eureka	Storm Water Management and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Project
City of Ferndale	California Street Sewer Replacement
Fieldbrook Glendale Community Services District	Water Tank Seismic Retrofit Project
City of Fort Bragg	Pudding Creek Water Main Relocation
City of Fort Bragg	Storm Water Trash Capture Devices
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Rainwater Catchment Rebate and Streamflow Enhancement Pilot Project
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Ranney Collector 2 Rehabilitation Project
Lewiston Community Services District	Water Distribution System Replacement Project
Mattole Restoration Council	Carbon Sequest Lower Mattole River and Estuary Enhancement Project Phase II
Mendocino Woodlands Camp Association	Mendocino Woodlands State Park Sediment Reduction Project
County of Mendocino	Mendocino County Coastal MS4 Area Trash Capture Devices
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Forsythe Creek Floodplain and Riparian Restoration Project
Newell County Water District	Water System Improvements Project
Pacific Reefs Water District	Water Tank Replacement Project
Round Valley County Water District	Upper Grist Creek Watershed Restoration Plan
Sanctuary Forest Inc.	Drought and Emergency Water Project
Scotia Community Service District	Emergency Power Generator Project
Scott River Watershed Council	Scott River Headwaters Forest Health, Fire Safety, and Water Quality Improvement Project
Smith River Community Services District	Water System Emergency Generator Project
Treasure Creek Woods Mutual Water Company	Water Storage and Distribution System Improvement Project
City of Trinidad	Trinidad-Westhaven Community Water Reliability, Security and Enhancement Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROPOSITION 1 2019 PROJECT NAME
Weaverville Sanitary District	Sewer Improvements Project
City of Willits	Improving Willits Water Supply Reliability and Drought Resiliency with Groundwater and Conjunctive Use
Watershed Research and Training Center	South Fork Trinity River - Spring Run Chinook Salmon Restoration Project - Phase II
Yurok Tribe	Upgrading Critical Infrastructure to Support Resource Recovery in the Blue Creek Sanctuary
City of Weed	Automated Meter Reading Project

PROJECT SPONSOR	RFFC DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS PROJECT NAME
California Land Stewardship Institute	Developing a tool to test and demonstrate the feasibility of livestock grazing for fuel reduction and ecosystem enhancement
Forestscapes	Roadside Biochar production for one acre of roadside fuels reduction
Healdsburg Fire Department	Fitch Mountain Fire Resiliency and Russian River Watershed Protection Plan
Hoopa Valley Tribe	Mill 1 Post-Fire Forest Restoration and Firewood Sales Project
County of Humboldt Economic Development Division	USFS Bio-Mass – Converting Waste to Cash Flow
Humbots Data & Analysis	UAV - Structure from Motion - Carbon Inventory (For a 100 acre test project)
Humbots Data & Analysis	IGNIS Fire Starting Drone
Humbots Data & Analysis	Post Fire Emergency Response
Karuk Tribe	Burning Across Boundaries: An Inter-Tribal Collaborative Planning Project for Increased Wildfire Resiliency in the North Coast Region
Mattole Restoration Council	Prosper Ridge Prairie Restoration Project
Humboldt Redwood Company	Dual Exemption Fire Security and Oak Woodland Restoration
Mid Klamath Watershed Council	NCRP Strategic Fire Planning and WKRP State-and-Transition Modeling
Northwest California Resource Conservation & Development Council	California Resource & Habitat Zone Development
Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District	Siskiyou County Collaborative Prescribed Burn Association and Demonstration Projects

PROJECT SPONSOR	RFFC DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS PROJECT NAME
Sonoma Resource Conservation District	North Coast Forestry Education Program – Inspiring the Next Generation
Sonoma Ecology Center	Falk Forestry Carbonator 500 Test Project
Sonoma Ecology Center	Use of Portable Field Kilns to Process Biomass and Make Biochar
Watershed Research and Training Center	North Coast All Hands All Lands Prescribed Fire Team
California Land Stewardship Institute	Developing a tool to test and demonstrate the feasibility of livestock grazing for fuel reduction and ecosystem enhancement
Center for Social & Environmental Stewardship	Fire School Pilot Program at Lake Sonoma & Dry Creek
Healdsburg Fire Department	Fitch Mountain Fire Resiliency and Russian River Watershed Protection Plan
Conservation Biology Institute	A Multi-Agency Strategy for Implementing Wildfire Hazard Reduction Projects in the Lake Sonoma Watershed
Dry Creek Rancheria	Vegetation Management and Demonstration Project Using Native Vegetation and TEK
Eel River Recovery Project	Tenmile Creek Watershed Forest Health Pilot Project
Forest Reciprocity Group	Forest and Mill Restoration for Fire Safe Housing Outcomes
Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District	Forest Stewardship Project Tracking
County of Humboldt Economic Development Division	USFS Bio-Mass – Converting Waste to Cash Flow
Humboldt Redwood Company, LLC	Forest Fuel Hazard Reduction and Utilization/Oak Woodland Restoration
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District	Redwood Region Greenhouse Gas Calculator Toolkit
Round Valley Indian Tribes	Learning to Burn
Scott River Watershed Council	Siskiyou County Fuel Reduction, Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Soil Health Amendment Demonstration Using Innovative Carbon Sequestering Biochar Technology
Scott River Watershed Council	Klamath Meadow Restoration Planning Demonstration Project
Sonoma Ecology Center	Use of Portable Field Kilns to Process Biomass and Make Biochar

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NAME
<b>PROPOSITION 1E IRWM STORMWATER FLOOD MANAGEMENT</b>	
City of Fortuna	Rohner Creek Flood Control and Riparian Habitat Restoration Project
<p>The Rohner Creek Flood Control and Riparian Habitat Improvement Project is a watershed-based, channel corridor-scale project with multiple objectives and benefits including habitat restoration and enhancement, water quality improvement and flood alleviation. The project is intended to provide immediate and substantial improvements to channel corridor function, reduce the frequency of flood related property damage and improve riparian habitat along a 1-mile stretch of creek which runs through the center of the City of Fortuna.</p>	
Sonoma County Water Agency	Copeland Creek Enhancement and Restoration Project: Detention and Recharge Basins Project
<p>The Project will provide habitat enhancement and restoration and sediment removal from Copeland Creek which will improve storm water management, surface water quality, the quantity and quality of habitat available for native wildlife, and enhance stream conditions to support fisheries. It also includes construction of storm water detention/groundwater recharge basins sited to capture runoff from the Copeland Creek headwaters which would reduce the impacts of future 100 year floods upon the downstream properties and structures. The regional and local impacts of a 100 year flood have been determined to affect at least one – quarter of the downstream City of Rohnert Park including Sonoma State University, Rancho Cotati High School, businesses, residences, and adjoining City arterial roadways, such as Rohnert Park Expressway, Snyder Lane, and Commerce Boulevard.</p>	
<b>OTHER PROJECTS</b>	
Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District	Groundwater Monitoring Implementation Program for the Shasta Valley GSA
<p>The purpose of this project is to provide technical assistance to the Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) for the Shasta Valley Medium Priority Basin 1-004 (the Basin) and the Basin’s public and private stakeholders, gather and submit pertinent data to the GSA to utilize in preparation of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) to satisfy the requirements set forth by the 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). This objective will be accomplished by establishing a groundwater and surface water monitoring network in the Basin that will establish baseline conditions in the Basin, and to assess the complex interactions between groundwater, surface water, and irrigation practices in the Shasta Valley. Data will be collected and analyzed in accordance with the Best Management Practices (BMPs) outlined by the Department of Water Resources (DWR). The data and analysis will be summarized and submitted to the Shasta Valley GSA for the purpose of informing an effective GSP.</p>	
City of Fortuna	12th Street Integrated Stormwater Enhancement Project
<p>The project proposes to reduce common nonpoint sources of pollution from reaching Rohner Creek, a salmonid-bearing stream tributary to the Eel River, while attenuating peak flows of urban runoff, enhancing groundwater recharge and providing urban greening to the 12th Street corridor of the city of</p>	

PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NAME
	<p>Fortuna. The project focuses on mitigating stormwater generated from 17.8 acres of commercial, and residential development, including heavily paved street right of ways. This generates approximately 0.7 acre-feet of runoff during an 85th percentile storm. This volume will be directed into a system of streetside bioretention basins. An estimated 30% of this runoff will be captured and infiltrated, resulting in a reduction of peak flows and reduction in pollutants. Much of the remainder will also benefit from its contact with plant material and soil media, also contributing to reductions in pollutants to receiving waters. The bioretention basins intended for use in this system are selected from the CASQA-LIDI details. The street bioretention planter box, no parking, no underdrain (SW-4A) was selected for bioretention facilities located within the roadway parking lanes. The street bioretention bulb out, no parking, no underdrain, single slope (SW-5) was selected for the Fortuna High School parking lot. In select locations, to accommodate tree plantings, portions of the bioretention facilities are composed of two types of cells. One cell follows the CASQA design standard bioretention soil media composed of sand and compost best suited to grasses and drought tolerant wetland emergent plant species; the second cell is slightly elevated and composed of amended native soil to accommodate trees and/or shrubs. Bioretention basins within the parking lanes strike a balance between capture opportunities, pedestrian visibility and safety, and parking needs. The Fortuna High School parking lot mitigates runoff from this site while providing screening and visual enhancements.</p>
<p>Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians</p>	<p>Clean and Safe Water for Bi' Du Khaale Senior Housing Project</p>
	<p>The Dry Creek Rancheria Safe and Reliable Water Project will provide water to residents of the Tribe's Bi'Du Khaale Housing Project, a proposed 25-unit affordable rental community for low-income elderly Tribal members. The Project extends the municipal water system from South Cloverdale Blvd. along Kelly Rd, to each dwelling unit. Offsite water line improvements will connect to the existing municipal water main, extending 920 linear feet of 8" line with valves and 2 fire hydrants. Onsite improvements include installation of 2,850 linear feet of 8" line, valves and 7 fire hydrants. The new homes will connect to the new water main with ¾" water lines, valves, and water meters.</p> <p>Located in southwest Cloverdale at the base of a hillside oak woodland, Bi'Du Khaale includes a network of common areas consisting of open spaces, walking trails, and active and passive recreation areas. The Community Center includes a large assembly area, kitchen, and exercise room. Immediately adjacent are a community garden, covered patio dining area, and sitting areas. Designed to meet the City of Cloverdale Residential Design Guidelines, Bi' Du Khaale will feature energy efficient appliances, low water use fixtures, all electric appliances, rooftop solar panels, WiFi, and water efficient landscape design.</p> <p>Bi'Du Khaale's design minimizes site grading to preserve existing oak woodland and reduce soil erosion. To protect downstream water quality and reduce risk of flooding, a system of bioremediation areas will slow and filter stormwater runoff from roofs, roads, and landscaped areas before it exits the site to existing drainage ways. These permeable bioswales will also allow filtered stormwater to recharge onsite groundwater resources. Residential and common area landscaping will feature low water use, native plants, and be designed to meet firewise standards to create defensible space and reduce property vulnerability to wildfires.</p>



# Appendix E

NCRP Memorandum of  
Mutual Understandings  
Signatories

2021

## **Memorandum of Mutual Understandings Signatories**

### **Del Norte County:**

County of Del Norte  
Big Rock Community Service District  
City of Crescent City  
Del Norte Resource Conservation District  
Gasquet Community Service District  
Smith River Community Services District

### **Humboldt County:**

County of Humboldt  
County of Humboldt Economic Development Division  
Briceland Community Service District  
City of Arcata  
City of Blue Lake  
City of Eureka  
City of Ferndale  
City of Fortuna  
City of Rio Dell  
City of Trinidad  
Fieldbrook Community Service District  
Fieldbrook Glendale Community Service District  
Garberville Sanitary District  
Humboldt Bay Harbor, Recreation and Conservation District  
Humboldt Municipal Water District  
Humboldt Community Service District  
Humboldt County Resource Conservation District  
Hydesville Community Water District  
Loleta Community Service District  
Mattole Restoration Council  
McKinleyville Community Service District  
Myers Flat Mutual Water System  
Orick Community Service District  
Redway Community Service District  
Sanctuary Forest Inc.  
Weott Community Services District  
Westport County Water District  
Westhaven Community Service District

### **Lake County:**

County of Lake  
Lake County Watershed Protection District

**Mendocino County:**

Brooktrails Township Community Service District  
Covelo Community Service District  
County of Mendocino  
City of Willits  
City of Ukiah  
City of Fort Bragg  
Covelo Community Service District  
Eel River Watershed Improvement Group  
Gualala River Watershed Council  
Mendocino County Resource Conservation District  
Mendocino County Water Agency  
Mendocino Woodlands Camp Association  
Noyo Watershed Alliance  
Pacific Reef Water District  
Redwood Forest Foundation Inc.  
Redwood Valley County Water District  
Resort Improvement District No. 1

**Modoc County:**

County of Modoc  
Newell County Water District

**Siskiyou County:**

County of Siskiyou  
City of Etna  
City of Weed  
Happy Camp Community Service District  
Happy Camp Sanitary District  
Montague Water Conservation District  
Scott River Watershed Council  
Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District

**Sonoma County:**

County of Sonoma  
Circuit Rider Productions, Inc.  
City of Cloverdale  
City of Rohnert Park  
City of Santa Rosa  
City of Healdsburg  
City of Sebastopol  
City of Cotati  
Dutch Bill Creek Watershed Group

Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District  
Graton Community Service District  
Gualala River Watershed Council  
Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation  
LandPaths  
Occidental Arts and Ecology Center  
Sonoma Ecology Center  
Sonoma County Water Agency  
Sebastopol Water Information Group  
Sonoma Resource Conservation District  
Town of Windsor  
Westminster Woods Environmental Education Program

**Trinity County:**

County of Trinity  
Lewiston Community Services District  
Lewiston Park Mutual Water Company  
Northwest CA Resource Conservation & Development Council  
Salier Mutual Water District  
Trinity County Resource Conservation District  
Trinity County Water Works District #1  
Weaverville Sanitary District  
Weaverville Community Service District  
The Watershed Research and Training Center  
Willow Creek Community Services District

**Tribal Nations:**

Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria  
Blue Lake Rancheria  
Dry Creek Rancheria  
Hopland Band of Pomo Indians  
Hoopa Valley Tribe  
Karuk Tribe  
Kashia Band of Pomo Indians  
Pinoleville Pomo Nation  
Pit River Tribe  
Redwood Valley Little River Band of Pomo Indians  
Round Valley Indian Tribes  
Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians  
Tolowa Dee-ni' Nation, (previously Smith River Rancheria)  
Trinidad Rancheria  
Wiyot Tribe  
Yurok Tribe

**Other Entities:**

North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Trout Unlimited  
Community Clean Water Institute  
Russian River Watershed Association (*group of 10 cities, counties and special districts in Sonoma and Mendocino counties*)  
North Coast Resource Conservation and Development Council  
Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife and Wetlands Restoration Association  
California State Parks  
Stewards of the Coast and Redwoods  
Institute for Fisheries Resources  
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection  
California Land Stewardship Institute  
E Center  
Botanical Dimensions  
North Coast Regional Land Trust  
The Conservation Fund  
Redwood Community Action Agency  
Redwood Forest Foundation, Inc.  
Forestscapes  
Healdsburg Fire Department  
Humboldt Data & Analysis  
Humboldt Redwood Company  
Mid Klamath Watershed Council  
Conservation Biology Institute  
University of California Cooperative Extension  
Earthcycles at Greenfield Ranch  
Forest Reciprocity Group  
Salvage Lumber Warehouse  
Polecraft Solutions  
Cloud Forest Institute  
Humboldt Redwood Company

---

# Appendix F

---

NCIRWMP/ NCRP  
Memorandum of Mutual  
Understandings

---

2010/ Revised 2020

---

## **Attachment**

### **Memorandum of Mutual Understandings Integrated Regional Water Management Plan November 11, 2010**

#### **1. PURPOSE**

The purpose of this Memorandum is to establish the mutual understandings of North Coast area agencies, tribes and stakeholders with respect to their joint efforts towards an ongoing, adaptive North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) that will increase regional coordination, collaboration and communication and help in obtaining funding for water-related projects, watershed protection and enhancement, energy programs and projects, and climate change initiatives and increase regional economic vitality.

#### **2. GOALS**

The goals of the IRWMP are:

2.1. To develop a comprehensive plan to facilitate regional cooperation in providing water supply reliability, water recycling, water conservation, water quality improvement, storm water capture and management, flood management, watershed protection and enhancement, wetlands enhancement and creation, and environmental and habitat protection and improvement.

2.2. To foster coordination, collaboration and communication between North Coast agencies, tribes and stakeholders responsible for water-related and climate/energy issues and interested stakeholders, to achieve greater efficiencies, enhance public services, and build public support for vital projects.

2.3. To improve regional competitiveness for State and Federal grant funding.

#### **3. DEFINITIONS**

3.1. Integrated Regional Water Management Plan: The plan envisioned by state legislators, state resource agencies and local governments and stakeholders in the North Coast Region that integrates the projects and management plans of all water-related agencies, tribes and stakeholders in the North Coast Region, in order to foster coordination, collaboration and communication among those entities and to assist decision-makers in awarding grants and other funding. The plan will address water supply, water quality, wastewater, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning and aquatic habitat protection and restoration as well as economic development, assistance to disadvantaged communities, climate change mitigation and adaptation and energy independence.

3.2. Agency: A public entity, be it a special district, city or other governmental entity, responsible for providing one or more services in the areas of water supply, water quality, wastewater, recycled water, water conservation, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning, aquatic habitat protection, restoration, climate change mitigation and/or adaptation and local economic development.

3.3. Service Function: A water or climate-related individual service function provided by an agency or tribe, i.e. water supply, water quality, wastewater, recycled water, water conservation, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning, aquatic habitat protection or restoration, or energy programs

3.4. Project: An integrated, multi-benefit implementation activity in need of funding that addresses: water supply, water quality, wastewater, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning or aquatic habitat protection and restoration, local economic development, climate mitigation or adaptation and energy independence

3.5. Management Plan: An agency's, tribe's, or organization's plan, based in part on the land-use plans within the entity's jurisdiction, that addresses how that entity will provide service in the future in one or more of the following service functions: water supply, water quality, wastewater, recycled water, water conservation, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning, climate change mitigation/adaptation, or aquatic habitat protection or restoration.

3.6. Integration: Assembling into one document the water-related management strategies, projects and plans in the North Coast Region. The plan will identify water management and climate mitigation/adaptation strategies and priority projects for the region and demonstrate how these strategies and priority projects work together to provide reliable water supply, protect or improve water quality, provide watershed protection and planning, mitigate the effects of climate change, assist the region in adapting to climate change, provide local economic development – especially to disadvantaged communities - or provide environmental restoration and fisheries protection. Projects and plans would be categorized, and the regional benefits of linkages and interrelationships between multiple water and climate change management strategies, projects and plans of separate service functions would be identified, e.g. wastewater treatment and water recycling, stormwater/flood management, climate mitigation/adaptation or habitat restoration.

3.7. North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel (PRP). The governing and decision making body for the North Coast IRWMP, described in Section 5.4. The PRP reviews and approves plans and applications for grants or other types of financial assistance on behalf of the NCIRWMP and makes policy decisions on behalf of the NCIRWMP.

3.8. North Coast IRWMP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC). The panel is comprised of up to two technical representatives from each North Coast County and three tribal representatives. The TPRC representatives from each North Coast County will be appointed by the PRP members from each County. The tribal representatives on



the TPRC will be selected through the Tribal Representation Process attached hereto as Exhibit A. The TPRC is advisory to the PRP and evaluates and makes recommendations based on technical and scientific data. They will support staff in compiling and integrating projects and management plans of the North Coast region. Review committee members will define the process of compilation and integration including format, schedules, and ground rules to ensure process consistency and uniformity.

#### 4. IRWMP PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

4.1 Local Public Agencies. Public agencies, which have developed projects and management plans, and are devoting staff to the process, will contribute to the development of the NCIRWMP both via in-kind staff support and in some cases direct financial support. These agencies will be signatories to this Memorandum of Mutual Understandings. As authorized by the NCIRWMP Policy Review Panel, a local public agency may act as a contracting entity on behalf of the NCIRWMP.

4.2. Tribes. Tribes, which have developed projects and management plans, are responsible to their respective constituents and members, and are devoting staff to the process, will contribute to the development of the NCIRWMP both via in-kind staff support and in some cases direct financial support. These tribes will be signatories to this Memorandum of Mutual Understandings.

4.3 Contributing entities. Other entities (including, but not limited to, business and environmental groups, and landowner organizations) are considered valuable contributors to the process. Contributing entities will be kept informed via the NCIRWMP website, will continue to be invited and encouraged to participate in all meetings and workshops, and may be signatories to this Memorandum of Mutual Understandings.

4.4. State and Federal Agencies. Such agencies may include the Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, the California State Coastal Conservancy, Department of Fish and Game, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Protection Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Department of Conservation, California Energy Commission, and Department of Energy. Such agencies will be invited to participate in a variety of ways, including but not limited to, providing input into the NCIRWMP planning process, and updating the PRP and staff on relevant legislative, policy, regulatory and funding initiatives and opportunities. If they cannot participate in work meetings, staff and representatives of the PRP and TPRC will keep them advised of project and plan progress and seek guidance as needed.

#### 5. MUTUAL UNDERSTANDINGS

##### 5.1. Need for a North Coast IRWMP

5.1.1. The North Coast IRWMP process is intended to foster increased coordination, collaboration and communication between North Coast agencies, tribes and interested stakeholders that may result in more effectively managed resources, cost efficiencies and better service to the public.

5.1.2. Also, representatives of state resource agencies and state legislators have suggested that qualification of some state grants and other funding criteria will require development and implementation of Integrated Regional Water Management Plans.

5.2. Subject matter scope of the IRWMP. The IRWMP will include, but may not necessarily be limited to, water supply, water quality, wastewater, recycled water, water conservation, stormwater/flood control, watershed planning and aquatic habitat protection and restoration, climate mitigation and adaptation, local economic development or energy independence programs. It is acknowledged that the management plans of each individual public agency or tribe are based, in part, on the land-use plans within an agency's or tribe's jurisdiction. Therefore, the NCIRWMP will by design incorporate the land-use plans and assumptions intrinsic to the respective service functions of these local agencies

5.3. Geographic scope of the IRWMP. The North Coast Region for this Memorandum is defined as the seven North Coast counties – Modoc, Del Norte, Siskiyou, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, and Sonoma. These counties lie within the North Coast Hydrologic Region, even though some areas of some counties and individual agencies may lay outside the North Coast hydrologic region. Where it demonstrably supports the purpose of the NCIRWMP, as determined by the NCIRWMP Policy Review Panel, collaborations may extend beyond the NCIRWMP regional boundary into other counties and/or states. In the case of energy independence endeavors, boundaries may encompass full counties.

#### 5.4. Approach to developing the IRWMP

5.4.1. The first phase of the NCIRWMP formed the PRP and TPRC, developed a NCIRWMP website for stakeholder communication and data sharing, developed the North Coast IRWM plan, identified water management strategies for the region and the integrated priority projects that demonstrate how these strategies work together to provide reliable water supply, protect or improve water quality, provide watershed protection and planning, and provide environmental restoration and fisheries protection. Opportunities to identify regional benefits and linkages between multiple water management strategies among projects and plans of separate service functions were identified

5.4.2 Future phases of the NCIRWMP are expected to expand upon and further integrate existing strategies, add new regional strategies (including but not limited to climate mitigation and adaptation and energy independence) continue and enhance stakeholder outreach and inclusion, and coordinate and collaborate – where applicable – beyond the boundaries of the North Coast IRWMP with other regional, statewide and

national efforts that are relevant to NCIRWMP goals and objectives.

5.4.3 Policy Review Panel. The North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel shall consist of two representatives from each of the seven Counties (Modoc, Del Norte, Siskiyou, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, and Sonoma) plus three tribal representatives selected by the North Coast tribes according to the "Tribal Representation Process" attached hereto as Exhibit A. Such County representatives shall be designees of the Board of Supervisors of the County. Each County shall also designate two alternates who have expertise in water, wastewater, land-use, or energy planning, and are familiar with the North Coast IRWMP process, and who may be members of the Technical Peer Review Committee. Each tribal representative may have one alternate who would be selected according to the Tribal Representation Process (Exhibit A), and who may be a member of the Technical Peer Review Committee. In the event that the elected or tribal representatives are unavailable for a particular meeting, the alternates may participate on their behalf. All meetings of the Policy Review Panel will be subject to and carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act. All PRP members acknowledge and agree that the PRP is subject to the Ralph M. Brown Act. Panel members may participate in panel meetings via teleconferencing, consistent with the requirements of the Brown Act.

5.5. Decision-making. Decision-making will be conducted by the North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel. The panel shall seek to arrive at a consensus if the need for a decision arises. If the panel cannot reach consensus, decisions shall be made as follows:

5.5.1. Quorum. Representatives or alternates constituting one-half or more of the total number of representatives on the Policy Review Panel shall constitute a quorum for purposes of transacting business or arriving at a decision.

5.2.2. One vote per representative. Each representative (or alternate, if a representative is not present) shall have one vote.

5.2.3. Majority vote. If a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a majority of members of the Policy Review Panel present at a meeting is required to, and is sufficient to, approve any item of business or make any necessary decision.

5.6. Approval of the NCIRWMP. Review and approval of the final North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan will occur by voting of the NCIRWMP Policy Review Panel, with input from the NCIRWMP Technical Peer Review Committee, North Coast region stakeholders and NCIRWMP staff. The NCIRWMP will also be brought before each North Coast County's Board of Supervisors for consideration and adoption. Tribes will approve the NCIRWMP according to the Tribal Representation Process.

5.7. Non-binding nature; termination and withdrawal. Execution of this Memorandum and participation in this IRWMP effort are legally nonbinding, and in no way impair an

agency or tribe from continuing its own planning or undertaking its own, separate efforts to secure project funding from any source. An agency or tribe may withdraw from this Memorandum and participation in the NCIRWMP at any time by notifying the NCIRWMP contracting entity in writing. Nothing in this Memorandum is intended to give the NCIRWMP or its Policy Review Panel any decision-making authority over matters within the jurisdiction of any signatory County, agency, or tribe.

5.8. Personnel and financial resources. It is expected that agencies, tribes, and organizations will contribute the personnel and financial resources necessary to develop the NCIRWMP.

5.9. Reports and communications. Staff and the Policy Review Panel and Technical Peer Review Committee will regularly report on their progress to the agencies, tribes, and stakeholders participating in the NCIRWMP process and the associations or organizations to which they belong that are involved in the NCIRWMP process.

6. Entirety of Memorandum of Mutual Understandings. This Memorandum of Mutual Understandings (MOMU) shall constitute the entire MOMU between the parties relating to the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan and shall supersede all prior MOMUs concerning the same subject matter.

#### 7. SIGNATORIES TO THE MEMORANDUM OF MUTUAL UNDERSTANDINGS

We, the undersigned representatives of our respective agencies or tribes, acknowledge the above as our understanding of how the North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan will be developed and maintained over time.

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

---

Signature

---

Date

---

Printed Name

---

Agency / Tribe

More signature blocks as required

## **Exhibit A - Tribal Representation Process**

November 2010

In response to a proposal endorsed by 20 tribes, the Policy Review Panel (PRP) of the North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (NCIRWMP) voted to establish three seats on the PRP and three seats on the Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) for tribal representatives.

The tribes of the North Coast region devised the following process to select representatives and approve the NCIRWMP. This process can be modified upon a unanimous vote of the three acting tribal PRP representatives. Modifications to this document will not require approval of signatories to the MOMU and will not be treated as a modification of the MOMU.

Exhibit A has been modified by the NCRP PRP Tribal representatives and is referenced in the North Coast Resource Partnership Leadership Handbook. Updates are posted prior to each solicitation for new Tribal Representatives on the NCRP Website.

~~Central and Southern – for the purpose of selecting tribal representatives to fill the PRP and TPRC seats. Tribes within each district may select one PRP representative and one TPRC representative. Each representative may have one alternate. Tribes within each district may use one of the following two options to select their representatives.~~

### **Option 1:**

~~When there is a vacancy for a tribal PRP or tribal TPRC seat in a given district, each tribe within the district will be given 31 days to select one nominee to fill the seat. The maximum number of vacancies for a given district would be two in the event that the tribal PRP and TPRC seats become vacant.~~

~~Each tribe may appoint one voting delegate. Each voting delegate will retain her/his status unless (s)he is removed or replaced by the tribe that appointed her/him.~~

~~At the end of the 31 day period allotted to nominate representatives, each voting delegate will be given ten days to cast one vote for each vacant seat in their district.~~

~~The PRP and TPRC nominees who receive the largest number of votes become the representative for that district. The PRP and TPRC nominees who receive the second largest number of votes may become an alternate representative for that district if they choose to do so. If not, then the representative with the third largest number of votes may choose to be the alternate representative for that district. Districts are not required to select alternate representatives.~~

### **Option 2:**

~~When there is a vacancy for a tribal PRP or tribal TPRC seat in a given district, each tribe within the district will be given 31 days to select one nominee to fill the seat. The~~

~~maximum number of vacancies for a given district would be two in the event that the tribal PRP and TPRC seats become vacant.~~

~~Each tribe may appoint one voting delegate. Each voting delegate will retain her/his status unless (s)he is removed or replaced by the tribe that appointed her/him.~~

~~The majority of voting delegates within a region can meet in person and/or by conference call and choose their district's representative(s), and alternate representative(s) if any, by consensus. All voting delegates within the respective region must be notified at least two (2) weeks in advance of this meeting. Voting delegates who cannot participate in this meeting must be contacted and consent to the decision(s) reached at the meeting. If a consensus cannot be reached, Option 1 must be utilized.~~

#### **~~Approval of the NCIRWMP:~~**

~~NCIRWMP review and approval will occur by voting of the three tribal PRP members with input from tribal TPRC representatives and tribal voting delegates.~~





**ATTACHMENT C**

**DRY CREEK RANCHERIA SAFE AND RELIABLE WATER PROJECT**



## **PRELIMINARY IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT APPLICATION**

Increasingly, funding opportunities for project implementation require or give preference to projects that are included in an Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. The following process will provide a mechanism for including projects on an on-going basis into the North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP) Plan.

1. Project proponents will complete the following preliminary project information.
2. Project proponent will submit a signed [Memorandum of Mutual Understandings \(MoMU\)](#) if one has not already been submitted.
3. Staff will review the project and follow-up with project proponents regarding any eligibility concerns (Urban Water Management Plan, Agricultural Water Management, Surface Water Diverter, Groundwater Management Plan, CASGEM/SGMA compliance, proponent type)
4. Staff will submit the project to the NCRP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC) for 30 days of TPRC review.
5. The TPRC will review eligible projects to ensure alignment with the NCRP Goals and Objectives and for technical comment.
6. Should the TPRC identify that the project is in alignment, the TPRC will recommend the project be provided to the PRP for approval at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting for review and comment. If the timing of the NCRP Quarterly Meeting does not align with the project deadline, it may be submitted by the TPRC to the Executive Committee for approval.
7. Staff will 'Publish' eligible NCRP Projects and project summaries will be included on the website; and staff will report to the PRP at a NCRP Quarterly Meeting
8. Additional project information will be required when NCRP funding solicitations and calls for proposals occur should the project sponsor wish to apply for NCRP funding; NCRP project proponents will be allowed to edit preliminary project information.
9. NCRP Priority Projects will be selected by the PRP. NCRP Priority Project proponents will need to adopt the NCRP Plan when completed per the IRWM Guidelines.

Please fill out grey text boxes and select all the check boxes that apply to your project. **It is important to save the application file with a distinct file name that references the project name. When the application is complete, please email the application to [kgledhill@westcoastwatershed.com](mailto:kgledhill@westcoastwatershed.com)**

Application responses should be clear, brief and succinct. Character limits are provided and include spaces. **If you have questions or need additional information please contact Katherine Gledhill at [kgledhill@westcoastwatershed.com](mailto:kgledhill@westcoastwatershed.com) or 707.795.1235.**

## Preliminary Implementation Project Information

### Organization Information

1. **Organization Name:** Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians
  2. **Organization Address (City, County, State, Zip Code):**  
1450 Airport Blvd., Santa Rosa, CA 95403
  3. **Contact Name/Title**
    - a) Name: Chris Wright
    - b) Title: Tribal Chairman
    - c) Email: ChrisW@drycreekrancheria.com
    - d) Phone Number (include area code) : (707) 814-4166
  4. **Organization Type**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Agency
<input type="checkbox"/>	Nonprofit Organization
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tribe
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: _____
  5. **Organization Information Notes:**  
Tribe's mailing address is PO Box 607, Geyserville, CA 95441
- 

### Eligibility

1. **North Coast Resource Partnership and North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Objectives**

[for more information see the [North Coast Resource Partnership Plan](#)]

Check any of the following that apply to your project:

#### **GOAL 1: INTRAREGIONAL COOPERATION & ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT**

☒ **Objective 1** - Respect local autonomy and local knowledge in Plan and project development and implementation

- ☐ **Objective 2** - Provide an ongoing framework for inclusive, efficient intraregional cooperation and effective, accountable NCRP project implementation
- ☒ **Objective 3** - Integrate Traditional Ecological Knowledge in collaboration with Tribes to incorporate these practices into North Coast Projects and Plans

#### **GOAL 2: ECONOMIC VITALITY**

- ☒ **Objective 4** - Ensure that economically disadvantaged communities are supported and that project implementation enhances the economic vitality of disadvantaged communities by improving built and natural infrastructure systems and promoting adequate housing
- ☒ **Objective 5** - Conserve and improve the economic benefits of North Coast Region working landscapes and natural areas

#### **GOAL 3: ECOSYSTEM CONSERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT**

- ☒ **Objective 6** – Conserve, enhance, and restore watersheds and aquatic ecosystems, including functions, habitats, and elements that support biological diversity
- ☐ **Objective 7** - Enhance salmonid populations by conserving, enhancing, and restoring required habitats and watershed processes

#### **GOAL 4: BENEFICIAL USES OF WATER**

- ☒ **Objective 8** - Ensure water supply reliability and quality for municipal, domestic, agricultural, Tribal, and recreational uses while minimizing impacts to sensitive resources
- ☒ **Objective 9** - Improve drinking water quality and water related infrastructure to protect public health, with a focus on economically disadvantaged communities
- ☒ **Objective 10** - Protect groundwater resources from over-drafting and contamination

#### **GOAL 5: CLIMATE ADAPTATION & ENERGY INDEPENDENCE**

- ☒ **Objective 11** - Address climate change effects, impacts, vulnerabilities, including droughts, fires, floods, and sea level rise. Develop adaptation strategies for local and regional sectors to improve air and water quality and promote public health
- ☒ **Objective 12** - Promote local energy independence, water/ energy use efficiency, GHG emission reduction, and jobs creation

#### **GOAL 6: PUBLIC SAFETY**

- ☒ **Objective 13** - Improve flood protection, forest and community resiliency to reduce the public safety impacts associated with floods and wildfires

### **2. Describe how your project addresses the North Coast Resource Partnership Plan Goals and Objectives selected [1000 characters max.]**

This Project provides safe and reliable water to Bi'Du Khaale, a new affordable housing community for low income Tribal elders. The Tribe provided ecological knowledge on native plants to reduce water use and stabilize slopes, preserving oak woodlands, plant & animal habitat, watershed resources, and scenic vistas.

Bi'Du Khaale's design protects existing ground water sources from over-drafting and contamination, and reduces downstream flood potential thru use of permeable surfaces, bioswales, and stormwater retention. It will provide Tribal residents and an unserved portion of Cloverdale with access to high quality, safe drinking water and sewer.

Bi'Du Khaale includes green building & fire safe strategies i.e., rooftop PV panels, EV charging stations, "Energy Star" appliances, water efficient fixtures, drought resistant landscaping, subsurface & drip irrigation, and fire resistant materials. The site is not located within the 100-year floodplain or active earthquake fault zones.

---

## General Project Information

### 1. Project Name: Clean and Safe Water for Bi' Du Khaale Senior Housing Project

### 2. Project Description/Summary

[2000 characters max.]

The Dry Creek Rancheria Safe and Reliable Water Project will provide water to residents of the Tribe's Bi'Du Khaale Housing Project, a proposed 25-unit affordable rental community for low-income elderly Tribal members. The Project extends the municipal water system from South Cloverdale Blvd. along Kelly Rd, to each dwelling unit. Offsite water line improvements will connect to the existing municipal water main, extending 920 linear feet of 8" line with valves and 2 fire hydrants. Onsite improvements include installation of 2,850 linear feet of 8" line, valves and 7 fire hydrants. The new homes will connect to the new water main with ¾" water lines, valves, and water meters.

Located in southwest Cloverdale at the base of a hillside oak woodland, Bi'Du Khaale includes a network of common areas consisting of open spaces, walking trails, and active and passive recreation areas. The Community Center includes a large assembly area, kitchen, and exercise room. Immediately adjacent are a community garden, covered patio dining area, and sitting areas.

Designed to meet the City of Cloverdale Residential Design Guidelines, Bi' Du Khaale will feature energy efficient appliances, low water use fixtures, all electric appliances, rooftop solar panels, WiFi, and water efficient landscape design.

Bi'Du Khaale's design minimizes site grading to preserve existing oak woodland and reduce soil erosion. To protect downstream water quality and reduce risk of flooding, a system of bioremediation areas will slow and filter stormwater runoff from roofs, roads, and landscaped areas before it exits the site to existing drainage ways. These permeable bioswales will also allow filtered stormwater to recharge onsite groundwater resources. Residential and common area landscaping will feature low water use, native plants, and be designed to meet firewise standards to create defensible space and reduce property vulnerability to wildfires.

### 3. Specific Project Goals/Objectives

[for each goal list specific objectives]

Goal 1: Respect local autonomy and knowledge in project planning, development & implementation [100 characters max.]

Goal 1 Objective: Integrate Tribal ecological knowledge in selecting Native plant materials to reduce water use, stabilize slopes, and reduce sediment run-off to protect sensitive habitats & watershed.

[200 characters max.]

Goal 1 Objective: Consult with Tribal Community to select water efficient fixtures & appliances for use in homes to reduce water use. [200 characters max.]

Goal 1 Objective: Solicit public input thru community meetings. [200 characters max.]

Goal 1 Objective: Meet with local government officials & staff to review and comment on project development plans. [200 characters max.]

Goal 2: Ensure disadvantaged community is supported and project enhances economic vitality.

Goal 2 Objective: Provide 24 low income elderly Tribal members with safe, secure, affordable homes to age-in-place

Goal 2 Objective: Units are designed to provide residents with accessible indoor and outdoor spaces for passive and active recreation

Goal 2 Objective: Project is designed to preserve and enhance the existing oak woodland, scenic vistas, and watershed

Goal 2 Objective: \_\_\_\_\_

Goal 3: Protect existing groundwater sources from over-drafting & contamination

Goal 3 Objective: Tie-in new homes to municipal water & sewer and discontinue use of existing well

Goal 3 Objective: Utilize bioswales, clean run-off and retention structures to promote groundwater recharge

Goal 3 Objective: \_\_\_\_\_

Goal 3 Objective: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Additional Goals & Objectives (List)

Goal 4: Beneficial uses of water.

Goal 4 Objective: Ensure water supply reliability & quality by tying into municipal water & sewer system.

Goal 4 Objective: Reduce downstream flooding potential thru use of permeable surfaces, & stormwater retention structures.

Goal 4 Objective: Provide safe water to unserved portion of Cloverdale by installing new water & sewer mains on S.Cloverdale Blvd.

Goal 5: Address climate change effects, impacts & vulnerabilities i.e., drought, fires, floods, & sea level rise.

Goal 5 Objective: Utilize "Firewise Landscaping" increase fire resistance of residential property thru creation of defensible space, selection of firewise plants, building materials, and proper maintenance.

Goal 5 Objective: Preserve & enhance existing site drainage with permeable surfaces, bioswales, & stormwater retention structures to treat and reduce run-off and create wildlife habitat.

Goal 5 Objective: Promote local energy independence, water & energy efficiency thru use of PVs, EV charging stations, Energy Star appliances, low flow water fixtures, drought resistant landscaping, & drip irrigation.

Goal 6: Public Safety - Reduce public safety impacts associated with floods & wildfires

Goal 6 Objective: Project not built in flood plain.

Goal 6 Objective: Preserve & enhance site drainage with strategic use of permeable surfaces, bioswales, & stormwater retention structures.

Goal 6 Objective: Utilize "Firewise Landscaping" increase fire resistance of residential property thru creation of defensible space, selection of firewise plants, building materials, and proper maintenance.

Goal 6 Objective: Preserve health of existing oak woodland to reduce vulnerability to fire.

4. **Projected Project Start Date** (format M/d/yyyy): 4/1/2022

5. **Anticipated Project End Date** (format M/d/yyyy): 5/30/2023

6. **Project Type:**

[select all that apply]

- ☒ Water supply reliability, water conservation, and water use efficiency
- ☒ Stormwater capture, storage, clean-up, treatment, and management
- ☒ Removal of invasive non-native species, the creation and enhancement of wetlands, and the acquisition, protection, and restoration of open space and watershed lands
- ☒ Non-point source pollution reduction, management, and monitoring
- ☒ Groundwater recharge and management projects
- ☐ Contaminant and salt removal through reclamation, desalting, and other treatment technologies and conveyance of reclaimed water for distribution to users
- ☐ Water banking, exchange, reclamation, and improvement of water quality
- ☒ Non-point source pollution reduction, management, and monitoring
- ☐ Planning and implementation of multipurpose flood management programs
- ☒ Watershed protection and management
- ☒ Drinking water treatment and distribution
- ☒ Ecosystem and fisheries restoration and protection
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

7. **Current Project Phase:**

- ☐ Feasibility Study
- ☐ Planning
- ☒ Environmental Documentation & CEQA
- ☒ Permitting
- ☐ Implementation / Construction
- ☐ Maintenance
- ☐ Monitoring
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

8. **Project Elements**

[select all that apply]

- ☒ Water supply reliability, water conservation and water use efficiency
- ☒ Storm water capture, storage, clean-up, treatment, monitoring and management
- ☒ Water banking, exchange, reclamation and improvement of water quality
- ☐ Non-point source pollution reduction, management and monitoring
- ☒ Groundwater recharge and management projects
- ☐ Contaminant and salt removal through reclamation, desalting, and other treatment technologies and conveyance of reclaimed water for distribution to users
- ☐ Planning and implementation of multipurpose flood management programs
- ☐ Removal of invasive non-native species, the creation and enhancement of wetlands, and the acquisition, protection, and restoration of open space and watershed lands
- ☒ Watershed protection and management

- ☒ Drinking water treatment and distribution
- ☐ Ecosystem and fisheries restoration and protection
- ☒ Critical water quality or supply enhancement for Economically Disadvantaged Communities
- ☒ Stormwater management to reduce flood damage
- ☐ Monitoring / assessment of resources
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 9. Project Information Notes:

The Project has the following stormwater and wastewater improvements. Stormwater Management onsite and offsite improvements include the installation of storm drain lines, drainage structures (drain inlets and manholes), bioretention structures to clean, retain and slow the release of stormwater runoff into the municipal storm drain system. The offsite stormwater system includes 865 linear feet of 18 inch diameter storm drain line, three (3) manholes, and eight (8) drain inlets. The bioswale portion of the offsite storm water management system includes 3,420 square feet of bioretention area. The onsite stormwater improvements include over 1,500 linear feet of 8", 12", and 24" diameter storm drain piping, two (2) manholes, and 34 drain inlets. The onsite bioretention areas total more than 13,400 square feet of bioswales. These include bioretention structures scattered throughout the site that will capture, filter, and provide groundwater recharge for stormwater runoff from the roofs, yards and paved surfaces. Overflow from these structures will be directed to additional bioretention structures for additional treatment, before flowing into the municipal storm drain system.

The project will pipe sewage to the City wastewater treatment facility. The sewer line improvements include the installation of over 3,000 linear feet of 4" and 8" diameter sewer lines and 13 manholes. The onsite 8" sewer main will be tied into the offsite sewer line improvements that will be located in Kelly Road. The offsite sewer improvements include 900 linear feet of 8 inch diameter sewer line and 5 manholes. The Kelly Road sewer line will flow to a new sewer lift station, to be located near the intersection of Kelly Road and S. Cloverdale Blvd. The lift station will pump sewage from this project and other (future) projects into a pressurized 8" sewer main for delivery to the City wastewater treatment plant.

---

### Project Funding

1. **Total Project Cost: \$18,880,000.00**
2. **Total Funding Request: \$589,800.00**

#### 3. Funding Type

- ☐ Loan
- ☒ Grant
- ☐ Other

#### 4. List Potential Funding Program Name(s)

2019/2020 Competitive IHBG – funded \$4.83m  
2020 ICDBG - Community Center – funded \$1.39m  
DCR IRR Match - Phase 1 – committed \$630k  
DCR IRR Match - Community Ctr– committed \$113k  
IHBG Reserves – from current and annual NAHASDA grants \$495k -- committed  
Tribal funds used as match on 2020 ICDBG grant award -- \$103k



Westwater/Oweesta low-interest loan – pending \$1.5m  
DOE Energy Deployment on Tribal Lands - applied Feb 2021, for solar energy on all 25 homes & community center \$682k (includes \$68k in Tribal matching funds)  
2021 Competitive IHBG – applying, summer 2021  
Title VI - land & infrastructure bank loan guarantee or home construction if needed up to \$4m - applying fall 2021  
2021 ICDBG or Sec 184 applying, summer 2021  
Department of Water Resources -- water system infrastructure -- applying later in 2021, \$589,800  
AHP - SF FHLB and/or Des Moines FHLB – applying 2022 supplement vertical costs \$500k est.  
DCR IRR Match - Phase 2 & 3 – to be committed in future TBD  
Title VI recapture \$2.8m (funding left after paying off the current short-term loan on the property)

**5. Total Amount of Matching Funds: \$5,709,000.00**

Select the source of these funds (select all that apply):

- ☒ Local
- ☐ State
- ☒ Federal

Select the status of these funds:

- ☐ N/A
- ☒ Received and Date when funds were received: 6/1/2020
- ☒ Pending and Date when funds were requested: 2/11/2021
- ☐ Have not applied

**6. List Matching Fund Sources**

DCR IRR Match - Phase 1 - committed \$630k  
DCR IRR Match - Community Ctr -- committed \$113k  
IHBG Reserves – from current and annual NAHASDA grants \$495k - committed  
Tribal funds used as match on 2020 ICDBG grant award -- \$103k - committed  
Westwater/Oweesta low interest loan \$1.5m  
Title VI recapture \$2.8m  
DOE grant -- \$68k - committed  
DCR IRR Match - Phase 2 & 3 -- TBD

**7. Funding Information Notes:**

Of the \$18,880,000, we have already secured \$7,561,000. And we have applied for an additional \$2,130,000, with other applications in process.

---

## Collaborative Partnerships

**1. List all collaborating partners and agencies and nature of collaboration:**

RCAC is providing TA and capacity building training to Dry Creek Rancheria to identify and secure funding for drinking water and wastewater treatment infrastructure and housing development for this project.

2. **Describe local and/or political support for this project.** [500 characters max.]

The City of Cloverdale is currently processing the annexation, prezone, and entitlement applications for the Bi' Du Khaale Housing Project. The annexation of this site is a priority for the City, since it will facilitate the extension of sewer and water service to South Cloverdale.

3. **Partnership Information Notes:**

\_\_\_\_\_

---

## Project Location

1. **Project Location Site Address or Description:**

235 Kelly Road, Cloverdale (APN 117-04-073)

2. **Mapped Location**

- a) County(s): Sonoma.  
b) City/Town(s): Cloverdale  
c) Stream(s): \_\_\_\_\_

3. **Is this project located in a Disadvantaged Community?**

[\[Click layer on North Coast interactive maps\]](#)

- ☐ Entirely
- ☐ Partially
- ☒ No

**List the Disadvantaged Community(s)**

\_\_\_\_\_

---

## Project Benefits

1. **Project Benefits**

[select all that apply]

*Increase Water Supply*

- ☒ Increased water supply or range in water supply (i.e. acre-feet per year)
- ☒ Improved water quality
- ☒ Increased recreational opportunities
- ☐ Decreased reliance on imported water
- ☒ Reduced groundwater overdraft
- ☐ Creation of wetlands and riparian habitat
- ☒ Decreased operational costs
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Water Quality Improvement*

- ☒ Increased water supply
- ☐ Improved aquatic and wetland species habitat and populations
- ☐ Increased cropland production
- ☐ Creation of wetlands and riparian habitat

- ☒ Improved recreation opportunities
- ☒ Decreased treatment costs
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Groundwater Improvements*

- ☒ Improved flood protection
- ☐ Decreased reliance on imported water
- ☒ Reduced surface water use, reduced pumping costs
- ☒ Decreased or prevention of groundwater overdraft
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Water Conservation and Reuse*

- ☒ Increased water saving
- ☐ Efficient reuse of wastewater
- ☐ Costs savings from reduced purchases of imported water
- ☒ Saving construction of water storage facilities
- ☐ Increased nutrient levels for plant and crop use from use of reclaimed wastewater
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Watershed Rehabilitation*

- ☒ Long-term sediment reduction and temperature improvements
- ☐ Reduced surface water nutrient and bacteria concentrations (improved water supply quality)
- ☐ Improved fish and wildlife habitat and passage
- ☒ Enhanced public safety and recreational opportunities
- ☐ Instream rehabilitation to redress hydromodification
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Habitat Improvement*

- ☐ Reduced surface water nutrient and bacteria concentrations (improved water supply quality)
- ☐ Enhanced fish habitat
- ☐ Increased opportunities for recreational hunting and viewing
- ☐ Increased numbers of native species
- ☒ Reduced flood risks
- ☐ Education opportunities
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Flood Management*

- ☒ Increased aquifer recharge
- ☒ Runoff reduction
- ☒ Improved surface water quality
- ☒ Natural resources preservation and restoration
- ☒ Reduced risk to life and property
- ☐ Decreased flood insurance costs
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

2. **Describe how your project benefits the Economically Disadvantaged Communities it serves:**  
[1000 character max.]

The Dry Creek Rancheria Safe and Reliable Water Project will provide water to elderly residents of the Tribe's Bi'Du Khaale Housing Project, which consists of 25 rental homes. The Project will ensure elder households have a consistent supply of high-quality water for domestic daily consumption, and strengthen Tribal sovereignty by creating the first Tribally-owned housing.

There will be twenty-four deed-restricted affordable units serving lower-income Tribal elders, all of whom currently live with housing insecurity. In accordance with HUD rules (1996) and Section 18.13.070 of the Cloverdale Municipal Code (2015), each housing unit will remain affordable for the useful life of the project.

To reduce utility expenses and GHG emissions, the homes will meet or exceed California's energy efficiency requirements, and will include all electric appliances and rooftop solar panels. The tribal housing project promotes energy independence and the efficient use of community water resources.

**3. Project Benefits Information Notes:**

While the maximum allowable household income for residents under the HUD rules will be 80% AMI, the majority of Tribal elders on the waiting list now have household incomes below 60%.